IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world

View Online

[1]

Abdenur, A.E. 2016. Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. International Peacekeeping. 23, 3 (May 2016), 389–415. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2016.1155413.

[2]

Abdenur, A.E. et al. 2014. The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South–South cooperation. South African Journal of International Affairs. 21, 3 (Sep. 2014), 303–319. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.972442.

[3]

Acharya, A. 2011. Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 39, 3 (May 2011), 619–637. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811406574.

[4]

ALDEN, C. and SCHOEMAN, M. 2013. South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. International Affairs. 89, 1 (Jan. 2013), 111–129. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12007.

[5]

Alden, C. and Schoeman, M. 2015. South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. International Politics. 52, 2 (Feb. 2015), 239–254. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.47.

[6]

Alden, C. and Soko, M. 2005. South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. The Journal of Modern African Studies. 43, 3 (Jul. 2005), 367–392. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X05001011.

[7]

Alexander J. Motyl 2015. THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. World Affairs. 177, 5 (2015).

[8]

Amrita Narlikar 2007. All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. Third World Quarterly. 28, 5 (2007).

[9]

Andrés Malamud 2011. A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. Latin American Politics and Society. 53, 3 (2011).

[10]

Andrew B. Kennedy 2011. India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. International Security. 36, 2 (2011).

[11]

Barnett, M. and Duvall, R. 2005. Power in International Politics. International Organization. 59, 01 (Jan. 2005). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050010.

[12]

Beresford, A. 2015. A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. International Politics. 52, 3 (May 2015), 288–304. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2015.11.

[13]

Blanchard, J.-M.F. and Flint, C. 2017. The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. Geopolitics. 22, 2 (Apr. 2017), 223–245. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503.

[14]

Bloomfield, A. 2015. India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. Contemporary Security Policy. 36, 1 (Jan. 2015), 27–55. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13523260.2015.1012347.

[15]

Bond, P. 2013. Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. Third World Quarterly. 34, 2 (Mar. 2013), 251–270. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2013.775783.

[16]

Brewster, D. 2014. Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? Journal of the Indian Ocean Region. 10, 2 (Jul. 2014), 133–149. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/19480881.2014.922350.

[17]

Brewster, D. 2011. Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. Journal of Strategic Studies. 34, 6 (Dec. 2011), 825–852. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2011.627155.

[18]

Burchill, S. and Linklater, A. 2013. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[19]

Buszynski, L. 2012. The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.–China Strategic Rivalry. The Washington Quarterly. 35, 2 (Apr. 2012), 139–156. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2012.666495.

[20]

Callahan, W.A. 2008. Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? International Studies Review. 10, 4 (Dec. 2008), 749–761. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00830.x.

[21]

Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. 2016. Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future. Cambridge University Press.

[22]

Cambridge Books Online EBS. 2017. Bandung, global history, and international law: critical pasts and pending futures. Cambridge University Press.

[23]

Carranza, M.E. 2014. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. Foreign Policy Analysis. (Jun. 2014), n/a-n/a. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12065.

[24]

Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences: https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135.

[25]

Chatin, M. 2016. Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. Journal of Political Power. 9, 3 (Sep. 2016), 369–393. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232286.

[26]

Chatterjee Miller, M. 2014. The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. India Review. 13, 1 (Jan. 2014), 1–14.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2014.873670.

[27]

China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute: 2013. https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia.

[28]

Chiriyankandath, J. 2004. Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. The Round Table. 93, 374 (Apr. 2004), 199–211. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00358530410001679567.

[29]

Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira 2005. The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. Third World Quarterly. 26, 7 (2005).

[30]

Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective: 2000. https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/ vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor =0&men_tab=srchresults.

[31]

Cunliffe, P. and Kenkel, K.M. eds. 2016. Brazil as a rising power: intervention norms and the contestation of global order. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[32]

Dauvergne, P. and BL Farias, D. 2012. The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. Third World Quarterly. 33, 5 (Jun. 2012), 903–917. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2012.674704. Derek Averre 2009. From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 85, 3 (2009).

[34]

Dreyer, J.T. 2015. The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? Journal of Contemporary China. 24, 96 (Nov. 2015), 1015–1031. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2015.1030951.

[35]

Dunne, T. et al. eds. 2016. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Dunne, T. et al. eds. 2016. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[37]

Enloe, C. and JSTOR. 2014. Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics. University of California Press.

[38]

Ferchen, M. 2013. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. Review of International Political Economy. 20, 2 (Apr. 2013), 390–420. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.660184.

[39]

Foot, R. et al. 2003. Order and justice in international relations. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Forsberg, T. and Herd, G. 2015. Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. Journal of Contemporary European Studies. 23, 1 (Jan. 2015), 41–57. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001824.

[41]

de Freitas Barbosa, A. et al. 2009. Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? Politikon. 36, 1 (Apr. 2009), 59–86. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/02589340903155401.

[42]

G. John Ikenberry 2011. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs. 90, 3 (2011), 56–68.

[43]

Ganguly, S. and Pardesi, M.S. 2009. Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. India Review. 8, 1 (Feb. 2009), 4–19. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480802665162.

[44]

Guihong, Z. 2005. US-India Strategic Partnership. International Studies. 42, 3–4 (Oct. 2005), 277–293. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/002088170504200306.

[45]

Gusterson, H. 2008. Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. The Nonproliferation Review. 15, 1 (Mar. 2008), 21–42. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700701852894.

[46]

Habib, A. 2009. South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. South African Journal of International Affairs. 16, 2 (Aug. 2009), 143–159. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10220460903265857.

[47]

Hameiri, S. and Jones, L. 2016. Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. European Journal of International Relations. 22, 1 (Mar. 2016), 72–98. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066115578952.

[48]

Haukkala, H. 2015. From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU–Russia Relations. Journal of Contemporary European Studies. 23, 1 (Jan. 2015), 25–40. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001822.

[49]

Hobson, J.M. 2012. The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760-2010. Cambridge University Press.

[50]

Hobson, J.M. and Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. 2012. The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge University Press.

[51]

Hopewell, K. 2017. The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. International Affairs. 93, 6 (Nov. 2017), 1377–1396. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix192.

[52]

Hornsby, D.J. and Black, D.R. 2016. Breaking with tradition? South Africa–UK relations. Commonwealth & Comparative Politics. 54, 2 (Apr. 2016), 268–286. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151167.

[53]

Howorth, J. 2017. 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies. 55, 1 (Jan. 2017), 121–136. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12448.

[54]

Hugh Gusterson 1999. Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. Cultural Anthropology. 14, 1 (1999).

[55]

Hughes, J. 2013. Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. Europe-Asia Studies. 65, 5 (Jul. 2013), 992–1016. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2013.792448.

[56]

IAN TAYLOR 2012. India's rise in Africa. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 88, 4 (2012).

[57]

Janis Van Der Westhuizen 1998. South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. Third World Quarterly. 19, 3 (1998).

[58]

Jerden, B. 2014. The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 7, 1 (Mar. 2014), 47–88. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pot019.

[59]

John J. Mearsheimer 2014. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. Foreign Affairs. 93, 5 (2014).

[60]

Jordaan, E. 2015. Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. Journal of Human Rights. 14, 4 (Oct. 2015), 463–485. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14754835.2014.988784.

[61]

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs. 91, 157–162.

[62]

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs. 91, 157–162.

[63]

Kampani, G. 1998. From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test. The Nonproliferation Review. 6, 1 (Dec. 1998), 12–24. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10736709808436732.

[64]

Kampani, G. 2014. New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. International Security. 38, 4 (Apr. 2014), 79–114. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00158.

[65]

Kenneth N. Waltz 2012. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs. 91, 4 (2012).

[66]

Kenneth N. Waltz 2012. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs. 91, 4 (2012).

[67]

Keukeleire, S. and Hooijmaaijers, B. 2014. The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies. 52, 3 (May 2014), 582–599. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12102.

[68]

Kiely, R. 2008. "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven Development? Journal of Contemporary Asia. 38, 3 (Aug. 2008), 353–372. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00472330802078451.

[69]

Koo, M.G. 2017. Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. Ocean Development & International Law. 48, 1 (Jan. 2017), 52–68. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00908320.2017.1265365.

[70]

Kreuzer, P. 2016. A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 9, 3 (Sep. 2016), 239–276. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow008.

[71]

Kumar, A.V. and Cambridge Books Online EBS. 2014. India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier. Cambridge University Press.

[72]

Kurowska, X. 2014. Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. Conflict, Security & Development. 14, 4 (Aug. 2014), 489–508. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930589.

[73]

Ladwig, W.C. 2009. Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging Influence in the Asia-Pacific. Asian Security. 5, 2 (Jun. 2009), 87–113. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14799850902886476.

[74]

Ladwig, W.C. 2010. India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a

Conventional Great Power? Asian Survey. 50, 6 (Nov. 2010), 1162–1183. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2010.50.6.1162.

[75]

Lee, P.K. and Chan, L.-H. 2016. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? Australian Journal of International Affairs. 70, 2 (Mar. 2016), 179–214. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968.

[76]

Lee, P.K. and Chan, L.-H. 2016. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? Australian Journal of International Affairs. 70, 2 (Mar. 2016), 179–214. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968.

[77]

Legro, J.W. 2007. What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. Perspectives on Politics. 5, 03 (Sep. 2007). DOI:https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592707071526.

[78]

Lewis, J.W. and Litai, X. 2016. China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. 72, 4 (Jul. 2016), 212–221. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2016.1194056.

[79]

Liff, A.P. and Ikenberry, G.J. 2014. Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. International Security. 39, 2 (Oct. 2014), 52–91. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00176.

[80]

M. Taylor Fravel 2005. Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. International Security. 30, 2 (2005), 46–83.

[81]

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden 2011. India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. Global Governance. 17, 4 (2011).

[82]

Mark T. Berger 2004. After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. Third World Quarterly. 25, 1 (2004).

[83]

McDougall, D. 2014. Responsibility While Protecting. Global Responsibility to Protect. 6, 1 (Jan. 2014), 64–87. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1163/1875984X-00601004.

[84]

Mearsheimer, J.J. 2010. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 3, 4 (Dec. 2010), 381–396. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016.

[85]

Mearsheimer, J.J. 2010. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 3, 4 (Dec. 2010), 381–396. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016.

[86]

Mehta, P.B. 2009. Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. India Review. 8, 3 (Aug. 2009), 209–233. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480903116750.

[87]

Merke, F. 2015. Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. International Politics. 52, 2 (Feb. 2015), 178–192. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.49.

[88]

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel 2012. India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. Climate Policy. 12, (2012), 575–590.

[89]

Miller, M.C. 2016. The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 9, 2 (Jun. 2016), 211–238. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow006.

[90]

Miller, M.C. and ProQuest (Firm) 2013. Wronged by empire: post-imperial ideology and foreign policy in India and China. Stanford University Press.

[91]

Miller, M.C. and Sullivan de Estrada, K. 2017. Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. International Affairs. 93, 1 (Jan. 2017), 27–49. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiw001.

[92]

MORTON, K. 2016. China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? International Affairs. 92, 4 (Jul. 2016), 909–940. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12658.

[93]

Moshirzadeh, H. 2007. Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. Security Dialogue. 38, 4 (Dec. 2007), 521–543. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607084999.

[94]

MUKHERJEE, R. and MALONE, D.M. 2011. Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. International Affairs. 87, 1 (Jan. 2011), 87–104. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.00961.x.

[95]

Müller, H. 2013. Icons Off the Mark. The Nonproliferation Review. 20, 3 (Nov. 2013), 545–565. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700.2013.849911.

[96]

Narang, V. and Staniland, P. 2012. Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. India Review. 11, 2 (Apr. 2012), 76–94. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2012.674818.

[97]

NARLIKAR, A. 2013. India rising: responsible to whom? International Affairs. 89, 3 (May 2013), 595–614. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12035.

[98]

NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS: 2013. http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/.

[99]

Ogunnubi 2017. South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. Journal of developing societies. 33, 4 (2017).

[100]

Oliveira, G. de L.T. 2016. The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. The Journal of Peasant Studies. 43, 2 (Mar. 2016), 348–372. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2014.992337.

[101]

Ollapally, D.M. 2016. Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India–US–China maritime triangle lens. Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India. 12, 1 (Jan. 2016), 1–12. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/09733159.2016.1181392.

[102]

Palit, A. 2017. India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. Geopolitics. 22, 2 (Apr. 2017), 292–309. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1274305.

[103]

Pan, C. 2014. The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. Australian Journal of International Affairs. 68, 4 (Aug. 2014), 453–469. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2014.884054.

[104]

Pant, H.V. 2009. INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. Asian Affairs. 40, 1 (Mar. 2009), 90–101. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/03068370802658724.

[105]

Pant, H.V. and Cambridge Books Online EBS. 2012. The Rise of China: Implications for India . Cambridge University Press.

[106]

PANT, H.V. and SUPER, J.M. 2015. India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. International Affairs. 91, 4 (Jul. 2015), 747–764. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12336.

[107]

Peng, C. 2017. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. International Critical Thought. 7, 2 (Apr. 2017), 267–278. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/21598282.2017.1316436.

[108]

Pretorius, J. and Sauer, T. 2014. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs

disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs. 21, 3 (Sep. 2014), 321–334. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273.

[109]

Pretorius, J. and Sauer, T. 2014. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs. 21, 3 (Sep. 2014), 321–334. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273.

[110]

Raine, S. 2011. Beijing's South China Sea Debate. Survival. 53, 5 (Nov. 2011), 69–88. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2011.621633.

[111]

Robinson, W.I. 2015. The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. Third World Quarterly. 36, 1 (Jan. 2015), 1–21. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2015.976012.

[112]

Rodrigues Vieira, V. 2016. Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. International Negotiation. 21, 2 (Jun. 2016), 267–294. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1163/15718069-12341333.

[113]

Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone 2011. From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. Global Governance. 17, 3 (2011).

[114]

Romanova, T. 2016. Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. Geopolitics. 21, 4 (Oct. 2016), 857–879. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1155049.

SAKWA, R. 2008. 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. International Affairs. 84, 2 (Mar. 2008), 241–267. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00702.x.

[116]

Sakwa, R. 2012. The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. International Politics. 49, 4 (Jul. 2012), 449–465. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2012.10.

[117]

Sakwa, R. and Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. 2017. Russia against the rest: the post-Cold War crisis of world order. Cambridge University Press.

[118]

Schoeman, P.M. 2000. South Africa as an emerging middle power. African Security Review. 9, 3 (Jan. 2000), 47–58. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2000.9628050.

[119]

Scott, D. 2009. India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising Power. India Review. 8, 2 (Jun. 2009), 107–143. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480902901038.

[120]

Scott, D. 2013. India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. India Review. 12, 2 (Apr. 2013), 51–69. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2013.786965.

[121]

Scott, D. 2008. The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. Geopolitics. 13, 1 (Feb. 2008), 1–26. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040701783243.

[122]

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts 2007. A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? Journal of International Affairs. 60, 2 (2007).

[123]

Sebastian Job 2001. Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. Third World Quarterly. 22, 6 (2001).

[124]

Shambaugh, D. 2011. Coping with a Conflicted China. The Washington Quarterly. 34, 1 (Feb. 2011), 7–27. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2011.537974.

[125]

Sharma, S.D. and Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. 2009. China and India in the Age of Globalization. Cambridge University Press.

[126]

Shaun Breslin 2009. Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 85, 4 (2009).

[127]

SHIMAZU, NAOKO Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955. Modern Asian Studies. 48, 225–252.

[128]

Sornarajah, M. et al. 2010. China, India and the International Economic Order. Cambridge University Press.

[129]

Sotero, P. 2010. Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. Politics. 30, 1_suppl (Dec. 2010), 71-81. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2010.01394.x.

[130]

Stuenkel, O. and Tourinho, M. 2014. Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. Conflict, Security & Development. 14, 4 (Aug. 2014), 379–402. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930593.

[131]

Summers, T. 2016. China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. Third World Quarterly. 37, 9 (Sep. 2016), 1628–1643. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2016.1153415.

[132]

Suzuki, S. 2011. Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. International Peacekeeping. 18, 3 (Jun. 2011), 271–285. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2011.563079.

[133]

Turner, O. 2016. China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. Geopolitics. 21, 4 (Oct. 2016), 922–944. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1190708.

[134]

Verhoeven, H. et al. 2014. 'Our identity is our currency': South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. Conflict, Security & Development. 14, 4 (Aug. 2014), 509–534. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930594.

[135]

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang 2011. 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. Asian Perspective. 35, 3 (2011).

[136]

Weber, C. 2014. International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[137]

Weber, C. 2014. International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

[138]

Weber, C. and Taylor & Francis 2014. International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge.

[139]

Weber, C. and Taylor & Francis 2014. International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge.

[140]

van der Westhuizen, J. 2016. Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? Commonwealth & Comparative Politics. 54, 2 (Apr. 2016), 232–251. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151169.

[141]

Xiaoyu, P. 2012. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 5, 4 (Dec. 2012), 341–367. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017.

[142]

Xiaoyu, P. 2012. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 5, 4 (Dec. 2012), 341–367. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017.

[143]

Yahuda, M. 2013. China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. Journal of Contemporary China. 22, 81 (May 2013), 446–459. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2012.748964.

[144]

Zhang ([] []), F. 2017. Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. Australian Journal of International Affairs. 71, 4 (Jul. 2017), 440–459. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2017.1287876.

[145]

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications.