

IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world

View Online



1.
G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs*. 2011;90(3):56-68. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

2.
Mearsheimer JJ. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2010;3(4):381-396. doi:10.1093/cjip/poq016

3.
Robinson WI. The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. *Third World Quarterly*. 2015;36(1):1-21. doi:10.1080/01436597.2015.976012

4.
Weber C, Taylor & Francis. *International Relations Theory: A Critical Introduction*. 4th ed. Routledge; 2014.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

5.
Weber C. *International Relations Theory: A Critical Introduction*. Fourth edition. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.

- 6.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

7.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

8.

Burchill S, Linklater A. *Theories of International Relations*. Fifth edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013.

9.

Enloe C, JSTOR. *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. 2nd ed. University of California Press; 2014.

<http://0-www.jstor.org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/10.1525/j.ctt6wqbn6>

10.

Acharya A. *Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West*. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2011;39(3):619-637.

doi:10.1177/0305829811406574

11.

Anghie, A. *Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective*. *Villanova Law Review*. Published 2000.

https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

12.

Weber C, Taylor & Francis. *International Relations Theory: A Critical Introduction*. 4th ed. Routledge; 2014.

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

13.

Weber C. *International Relations Theory: A Critical Introduction*. Fourth edition. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.

14.

Barnett M, Duvall R. *Power in International Politics*. *International Organization*. 2005;59(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818305050010

15.

Hobson JM, *Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA*. *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge University Press; 2012. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

16.

Hobson JM. *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760-2010*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

17.

Prashad V. *NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS*. Published 2013. <http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/>

18.

Hopewell K. The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. *International Affairs*. 2017;93(6):1377-1396. doi:10.1093/ia/iix192

19.

SHIMAZU, NAOKO. *Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955*. *Modern Asian Studies*. 48:225-252. <https://0-search-proquest-com.wam.city.ac.uk/docview/1469760013?pq-origsite=summon>

20.

Cambridge Books Online EBS. Bandung, Global History, and International Law: Critical Past and Pending Futures. (Eslava L, Fakhri M, Nesiah V, eds.). Cambridge University Press; 2017. <http://0-doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/9781316414880>

21.

Rodrigues Vieira V. Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. *International Negotiation*. 2016;21(2):267-294. doi:10.1163/15718069-12341333

22.

Keukeleire S, Hooijmaaijers B. The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2014;52(3):582-599. doi:10.1111/jcms.12102

23.

Xiaoyu P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2012;5(4):341-367. doi:10.1093/cjip/pos017

24.

Mark T. Berger. After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. *Third World Quarterly*. 2004;25(1). https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993775?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

25.

Hameiri S, Jones L. Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2016;22(1):72-98. doi:10.1177/1354066115578952

26.

Miller MC. The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2016;9(2):211-238. doi:10.1093/cjip/pow006

27.

Mearsheimer JJ. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2010;3(4):381-396. doi:10.1093/cjip/poq016

28.

Lee PK, Chan LH. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2016;70(2):179-214. doi:10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968

29.

Miller MC, ProQuest (Firm). *Wronged by Empire: Post-Imperial Ideology and Foreign Policy in India and China*. Stanford University Press; 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=1319336>

30.

Dreyer JT. The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2015;24(96):1015-1031. doi:10.1080/10670564.2015.1030951

31.

Shaun Breslin. Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 2009;85(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695092>

32.

Callahan WA. Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? *International Studies Review*. 2008;10(4):749-761. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00830.x

33.

Ferchen M. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2013;20(2):390-420. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660184

34.

Suzuki S. Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. *International Peacekeeping*. 2011;18(3):271-285. doi:10.1080/13533312.2011.563079

35.

Kiely R. "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven Development? *Journal of Contemporary Asia*. 2008;38(3):353-372. doi:10.1080/00472330802078451

36.

Legro JW. What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2007;5(03). doi:10.1017/S1537592707071526

37.

Logan J. China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute. Published 2013. <https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia>

38.

Shambaugh D. Coping with a Conflicted China. *The Washington Quarterly*. 2011;34(1):7-27. doi:10.1080/0163660X.2011.537974

39.

Summers T. China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly*. 2016;37(9):1628-1643. doi:10.1080/01436597.2016.1153415

40.

Xiaoyu P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2012;5(4):341-367. doi:10.1093/cjip/pos017

41.

Miller MC, Sullivan de Estrada K. Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. *International Affairs*. 2017;93(1):27-49. doi:10.1093/ia/iiv001

42.

NARLIKAR A. India rising: responsible to whom? *International Affairs*. 2013;89(3):595-614. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12035

43.

IAN TAYLOR. India's rise in Africa. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 2012;88(4).
https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23255618?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=India%27s&searchText=rise&searchText=in&searchText=Africa&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2BIndia%2527s%2Brise%2Bin%2BAfrica%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100185&ab_segments=0%2Ftbsub-1%2Frelevance_config_with_tbsub&refreqid=search%3Acaf5d9907d63af2a8f7187028a7febf8&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

44.

Foot R, Gaddis JL, Hurrell A, ebrary, Inc, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. *Order and Justice in International Relations*. Oxford University Press; 2003.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F3738>

45.

Bloomfield A. India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. *Contemporary Security Policy*. 2015;36(1):27-55. doi:10.1080/13523260.2015.1012347

46.

Chiriyankandath J. Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. *The Round Table*. 2004;93(374):199-211. doi:10.1080/00358530410001679567

47.

Ganguly S, Pardesi MS. Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. *India Review*. 2009;8(1):4-19. doi:10.1080/14736480802665162

48.

Kampani G. New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. *International Security*. 2014;38(4):79-114. doi:10.1162/ISEC_a_00158

49.

Andrew B. Kennedy. India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. *International Security*. 2011;36(2). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41289700>

50.

Ladwig WC. Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging Influence in the Asia-Pacific. *Asian Security*. 2009;5(2):87-113. doi:10.1080/14799850902886476

51.

Ladwig WC. India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a Conventional Great Power? *Asian Survey*. 2010;50(6):1162-1183. doi:10.1525/as.2010.50.6.1162

52.

Mehta PB. Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. *India Review*. 2009;8(3):209-233. doi:10.1080/14736480903116750

53.

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel. India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. *Climate Policy*. 2012;12:575-590.
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1321407678?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14510>

54.

Chatterjee Miller M. The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. *India Review*. 2014;13(1):1-14.
doi:10.1080/14736489.2014.873670

55.

MUKHERJEE R, MALONE DM. Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. *International Affairs*. 2011;87(1):87-104. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.00961.x

56.

Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone. From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. *Global Governance*. 2011;17(3).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/23033750>

57.

Amrita Narlikar. All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 2007;28(5). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20454975>

58.

Narang V, Staniland P. Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. *India Review*. 2012;11(2):76-94. doi:10.1080/14736489.2012.674818

59.

PANT HV, SUPER JM. India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. *International Affairs*. 2015;91(4):747-764. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12336

60.

Pant HV. INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. *Asian Affairs*. 2009;40(1):90-101. doi:10.1080/03068370802658724

61.

Scott D. India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising Power. *India Review*. 2009;8(2):107-143. doi:10.1080/14736480902901038

62.

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang. 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. *Asian Perspective*. 2011;35(3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42704764>

63.

Scott D. The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. *Geopolitics*. 2008;13(1):1-26. doi:10.1080/14650040701783243

64.

Pan C. The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2014;68(4):453-469. doi:10.1080/10357718.2014.884054

65.

Kampani G. From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test. *The Nonproliferation Review*. 1998;6(1):12-24. doi:10.1080/10736709808436732

66.

Ollapally DM. Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India-US-China maritime triangle lens. *Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India*. 2016;12(1):1-12. doi:10.1080/09733159.2016.1181392

67.

Sornarajah M, Wang J, Cambridge Books Online EBS. China, India and the International Economic Order. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511760235>

68.

Brewster D. Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region*. 2014;10(2):133-149.
doi:10.1080/19480881.2014.922350

69.

Palit A. India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*. 2017;22(2):292-309. doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1274305

70.

Pant HV, Cambridge Books Online EBS. The Rise of China: Implications for India. Cambridge University Press; 2012.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9788175969377/type/BOOK>

71.

Sharma SD, Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. China and India in the Age of Globalization. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609916>

72.

Brewster D. Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. *Journal of Strategic Studies*. 2011;34(6):825-852. doi:10.1080/01402390.2011.627155

73.

Blanchard JMF, Flint C. The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*. 2017;22(2):223-245. doi:10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503

74.

Lee PK, Chan LH. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2016;70(2):179-214. doi:10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968

75.

Turner O. China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. *Geopolitics*. 2016;21(4):922-944. doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1190708

76.

Guihong Z. US-India Strategic Partnership. *International Studies*. 2005;42(3-4):277-293. doi:10.1177/002088170504200306

77.

Abdenur AE, Folly M, Moura K, Jordão SAS, Maia P. The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South-South cooperation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 2014;21(3):303-319. doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.972442

78.

van der Westhuizen J. Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 2016;54(2):232-251. doi:10.1080/14662043.2016.1151169

79.

Merke F. Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. *International Politics*. 2015;52(2):178-192. doi:10.1057/ip.2014.49

80.

Ogunnubi. South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. *Journal of developing societies*. 2017;33(4).

81.

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden. India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. *Global Governance*. 2011;17(4).
https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23104289?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=%22india%2C+brazil+and+south+africa%22&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2522india%252C%2Bbrazil%2Band%2Bsouth%2Bafrica%2522%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000814&refreqid=search%3Afd8a0d48c16faddc1066d4c0d180c142&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

82.

Jordaan E. Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. *Journal of Human Rights*. 2015;14(4):463-485.
doi:10.1080/14754835.2014.988784

83.

Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira. The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. *Third World Quarterly*. 2005;26(7).
https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/4017805?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

84.

Carranza ME. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. Published online June 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12065

85.

McDougall D. Responsibility While Protecting. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 2014;6(1):64-87. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00601004

86.

Stuenkel O, Tourinho M. Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 2014;14(4):379-402.
doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930593

87.

Abdenur AE. Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. *International Peacekeeping*. 2016;23(3):389-415. doi:10.1080/13533312.2016.1155413

88.

Dauvergne P, BL Farias D. The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 2012;33(5):903-917. doi:10.1080/01436597.2012.674704

89.

de Freitas Barbosa A, Narciso T, Biancalana M. Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? *Politikon*. 2009;36(1):59-86. doi:10.1080/02589340903155401

90.

Andrés Malamud. A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. *Latin American Politics and Society*. 2011;53(3).
https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/41342327?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

91.

Oliveira G de LT. The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*. 2016;43(2):348-372. doi:10.1080/03066150.2014.992337

92.

Sotero P. Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. *Politics*. 2010;30(1_suppl):71-81. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2010.01394.x

93.

Cunliffe P, Kenkel KM, eds. *Brazil as a Rising Power: Intervention Norms and the Contestation of Global Order*. Vol 113. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2016.

94.

Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future. (Paul TV, ed.). Cambridge University Press; 2016.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781316460191>

95.

Chatin M. Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. *Journal of Political Power*. 2016;9(3):369-393. doi:10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232286

96.

ALDEN C, SCHOEMAN M. South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. *International Affairs*. 2013;89(1):111-129.
doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12007

97.

Alden C, Soko M. South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*. 2005;43(3):367-392.
doi:10.1017/S0022278X05001011

98.

Alden C, Schoeman M. South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. *International Politics*. 2015;52(2):239-254. doi:10.1057/ip.2014.47

99.

Bond P. Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. *Third World Quarterly*. 2013;34(2):251-270. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.775783

100.

Hornsby DJ, Black DR. Breaking with tradition? South Africa-UK relations. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 2016;54(2):268-286. doi:10.1080/14662043.2016.1151167

101.

Pretorius J, Sauer T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 2014;21(3):321-334. doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.965273

102.

Verhoeven H, Murthy CSR, Soares de Oliveira R. 'Our identity is our currency': South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 2014;14(4):509-534. doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930594

103.

Beresford A. A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. *International Politics*. 2015;52(3):288-304. doi:10.1057/ip.2015.11

104.

Habib A. South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 2009;16(2):143-159. doi:10.1080/10220460903265857

105.

Janis Van Der Westhuizen. South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 1998;19(3). https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993132?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

106.

Schoeman PM. South Africa as an emerging middle power. *African Security Review*. 2000;9(3):47-58. doi:10.1080/10246029.2000.9628050

107.

John J. Mearsheimer. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. *Foreign Affairs*. 2014;93(5). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24483306>

108.

Alexander J. Motyl. THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. *World Affairs*. 2015;177(5). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43555427>

109.

SAKWA R. 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. *International Affairs*. 2008;84(2):241-267. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00702.x

110.

Derek Averre. From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 2009;85(3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695032>

111.

Cooley A. Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences. <https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135>

112.

Forsberg T, Herd G. Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*. 2015;23(1):41-57. doi:10.1080/14782804.2014.1001824

113.

Haukkala H. From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU-Russia Relations. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*. 2015;23(1):25-40. doi:10.1080/14782804.2014.1001822

114.

Hughes J. Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. *Europe-Asia Studies*. 2013;65(5):992-1016. doi:10.1080/09668136.2013.792448

115.

Howorth J. 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2017;55(1):121-136. doi:10.1111/jcms.12448

116.

Sebastian Job. Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. *Third World Quarterly*. 2001;22(6). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3993455>

117.

Kurowska X. Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 2014;14(4):489-508. doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930589

118.

Peng C. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. *International Critical Thought*. 2017;7(2):267-278. doi:10.1080/21598282.2017.1316436

119.

Romanova T. Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. *Geopolitics*. 2016;21(4):857-879. doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1155049

120.

Sakwa R. The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. *International Politics*. 2012;49(4):449-465. doi:10.1057/ip.2012.10

121.

Sakwa R, Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Russia against the Rest: The Post-Cold War Crisis of World Order. Cambridge University Press; 2017.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316675885>

122.

Hugh Gusterson. Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. Cultural Anthropology. 1999;14(1). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/656531>

123.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs. 2012;91(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

124.

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs. 91:157-162.
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

125.

Pretorius J, Sauer T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs. 2014;21(3):321-334.
doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.965273

126.

Gusterson H. Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. The Nonproliferation Review. 2008;15(1):21-42. doi:10.1080/10736700701852894

127.

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts. A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? Journal of International Affairs. 2007;60(2).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/24357975>

128.

Moshirzadeh H. Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. *Security Dialogue*. 2007;38(4):521-543. doi:10.1177/0967010607084999

129.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs*. 2012;91(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

130.

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*. 91:157-162. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

131.

Müller H. Icons Off the Mark. *The Nonproliferation Review*. 2013;20(3):545-565. doi:10.1080/10736700.2013.849911

132.

Kumar AV, Cambridge Books Online EBS. *India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier*. Cambridge University Press; 2014. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107297319>

133.

Buszynski L. The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.–China Strategic Rivalry. *The Washington Quarterly*. 2012;35(2):139-156. doi:10.1080/0163660X.2012.666495

134.

M. Taylor Fravel. Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. *International Security*. 2005;30(2):46-83. <http://0-muse.jhu.edu.wam.city.ac.uk/article/190698>

135.

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications.

https://hz7fp2gl5k.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info%3Aid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=THE+NINE-DASH+LINE+IN+THE+SOUTH+CHINA+SEA%3A+HISTORY%2C+STATUS%2C+AND+IMPLICATIONS&rft.jtitle=AMERICAN+JOURNAL+OF+INTERNATIONAL+LAW&rft.au=Gao%2C+ZG&rft.au=Jia%2C+BB&rft.date=2013-01-01&rft.pub=AMER+SOC+INT+LAW&rft.issn=0002-9300&rft.eissn=2161-7953&rft.volume=107&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=98&rft.epage=124&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=000316626600004¶mdict=en-UK

136.

Koo MG. Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. *Ocean Development & International Law*. 2017;48(1):52-68.

doi:10.1080/00908320.2017.1265365

137.

Jerden B. The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2014;7(1):47-88.

doi:10.1093/cjip/pot019

138.

Kreuzer P. A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 2016;9(3):239-276.

doi:10.1093/cjip/pow008

139.

Lewis JW, Litai X. China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 2016;72(4):212-221. doi:10.1080/00963402.2016.1194056

140.

Liff AP, Ikenberry GJ. Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*. 2014;39(2):52-91.

doi:10.1162/ISEC_a_00176

141.

MORTON K. China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? *International Affairs*. 2016;92(4):909-940. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12658

142.

Raine S. Beijing's South China Sea Debate. *Survival*. 2011;53(5):69-88. doi:10.1080/00396338.2011.621633

143.

Scott D. India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. *India Review*. 2013;12(2):51-69. doi:10.1080/14736489.2013.786965

144.

Yahuda M. China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 2013;22(81):446-459. doi:10.1080/10670564.2012.748964

145.

Zhang (张) F. Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 2017;71(4):440-459. doi:10.1080/10357718.2017.1287876