

# IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world

View Online



- 
- Abdenur, A. E. (2016). Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. *International Peacekeeping*, 23(3), 389–415.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2016.1155413>
- Abdenur, A. E., Folly, M., Moura, K., Jordão, S. A. S., & Maia, P. (2014). The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South–South cooperation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 21(3), 303–319. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.972442>
- Acharya, A. (2011). Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 39(3), 619–637.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811406574>
- ALDEN, C., & SCHOEMAN, M. (2013). South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. *International Affairs*, 89(1), 111–129.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12007>
- Alden, C., & Schoeman, M. (2015). South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. *International Politics*, 52(2), 239–254. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.47>
- Alden, C., & Soko, M. (2005). South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 43(3), 367–392.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X05001011>
- Alexander J. Motyl. (2015). THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. *World Affairs*, 177(5). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43555427>
- Amrita Narlikar. (2007). All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. *Third World Quarterly*, 28(5). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20454975>
- Andrés Malamud. (2011). A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 53(3).  
[https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/41342327?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/41342327?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Andrew B. Kennedy. (2011). India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. *International Security*, 36(2).  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/41289700>
- Anghie, A. (2000). Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective. *Villanova Law Review*.

- [https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start\\_page=887&collection=journals&set\\_as\\_cursor=0&men\\_tab=srchresults](https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)
- Barnett, M., & Duvall, R. (2005). Power in International Politics. *International Organization*, 59(01). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050010>
- Beresford, A. (2015). A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. *International Politics*, 52(3), 288–304. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2015.11>
- Blanchard, J.-M. F., & Flint, C. (2017). The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*, 22(2), 223–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503>
- Bloomfield, A. (2015). India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. *Contemporary Security Policy*, 36(1), 27–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13523260.2015.1012347>
- Bond, P. (2013). Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. *Third World Quarterly*, 34(2), 251–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2013.775783>
- Brewster, D. (2011). Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. *Journal of Strategic Studies*, 34(6), 825–852. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2011.627155>
- Brewster, D. (2014). Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region*, 10(2), 133–149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19480881.2014.922350>
- Burchill, S., & Linklater, A. (2013). *Theories of international relations (Fifth edition)*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Buszynski, L. (2012). The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.–China Strategic Rivalry. *The Washington Quarterly*, 35(2), 139–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2012.666495>
- Callahan, W. A. (2008). Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? *International Studies Review*, 10(4), 749–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00830.x>
- Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. (2016). *Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future* (T. V. Paul, Ed.). Cambridge University Press. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781316460191>
- Cambridge Books Online EBS. (2017). *Bandung, global history, and international law: critical pasts and pending futures* (L. Eslava, M. Fakhri, & V. Nesiha, Eds.). Cambridge University Press. <http://0-doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/9781316414880>
- Carranza, M. E. (2014). Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*, n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12065>

- Chatin, M. (2016). Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. *Journal of Political Power*, 9(3), 369–393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232286>
- Chatterjee Miller, M. (2014). The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. *India Review*, 13(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2014.873670>
- Chiriyankandath, J. (2004). Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. *The Round Table*, 93(374), 199–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00358530410001679567>
- Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira. (2005). The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. *Third World Quarterly*, 26(7). [https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/4017805?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/4017805?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Cooley, A. (n.d.). Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences. <https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135>
- Cunliffe, P., & Kenkel, K. M. (Eds.). (2016). Brazil as a rising power: intervention norms and the contestation of global order (Vol. 113). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Dauvergne, P., & BL Farias, D. (2012). The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. *Third World Quarterly*, 33(5), 903–917. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2012.674704>
- de Freitas Barbosa, A., Narciso, T., & Biancalana, M. (2009). Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? *Politikon*, 36(1), 59–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02589340903155401>
- Derek Averre. (2009). From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 85(3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695032>
- Dreyer, J. T. (2015). The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? *Journal of Contemporary China*, 24(96), 1015–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2015.1030951>
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016a). *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016b). *International relations theories: discipline and diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.
- Enloe, C. & JSTOR. (2014). *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics* (2nd ed). University of California Press. <http://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/10.1525/j.ctt6wqbn6>
- Ferchen, M. (2013). Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. *Review of International Political Economy*, 20(2), 390–420. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.660184>
- Foot, R., Gaddis, J. L., Hurrell, A., ebrary, Inc, & Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science.

- (2003). *Order and justice in international relations*. Oxford University Press.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F3738>
- Forsberg, T., & Herd, G. (2015). Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 23(1), 41–57.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001824>
- G. John Ikenberry. (2011). The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs*, 90(3), 56–68. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>
- Ganguly, S., & Pardesi, M. S. (2009). Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. *India Review*, 8(1), 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480802665162>
- Guihong, Z. (2005). US-India Strategic Partnership. *International Studies*, 42(3–4), 277–293. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002088170504200306>
- Gusterson, H. (2008). Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 15(1), 21–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700701852894>
- Habib, A. (2009). South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 16(2), 143–159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220460903265857>
- Hameiri, S., & Jones, L. (2016). Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. *European Journal of International Relations*, 22(1), 72–98.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066115578952>
- Haukkala, H. (2015). From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU–Russia Relations. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 23(1), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001822>
- Hobson, J. M. (2012). *The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hobson, J. M. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. (2012). *The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010*. Cambridge University Press. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>
- Hopewell, K. (2017). The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. *International Affairs*, 93(6), 1377–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix192>
- Hornsby, D. J., & Black, D. R. (2016). Breaking with tradition? South Africa–UK relations. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 54(2), 268–286.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151167>
- Howorth, J. (2017). 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 55(1), 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12448>
- Hugh Gusterson. (1999). Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. *Cultural Anthropology*, 14(1). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/656531>

Hughes, J. (2013). Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. *Europe-Asia Studies*, 65(5), 992–1016.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2013.792448>

IAN TAYLOR. (2012). India's rise in Africa. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 88(4).  
[https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23255618?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=India%27s&searchText=rise&searchText=in&searchText=Africa&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2BIndia%2527s%2Brise%2Bin%2BAfrica%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100185&ab\\_segments=0%2Ftbsub-1%2Frelevance\\_config\\_with\\_tbsub&refreqid=search%3Acaf5d9907d63af2a8f7187028a7febf8&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23255618?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=India%27s&searchText=rise&searchText=in&searchText=Africa&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2BIndia%2527s%2Brise%2Bin%2BAfrica%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100185&ab_segments=0%2Ftbsub-1%2Frelevance_config_with_tbsub&refreqid=search%3Acaf5d9907d63af2a8f7187028a7febf8&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

Janis Van Der Westhuizen. (1998). South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. *Third World Quarterly*, 19(3).  
[https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993132?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993132?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

Jerden, B. (2014). The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 7(1), 47–88.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pot019>

John J. Mearsheimer. (2014). Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. *Foreign Affairs*, 93(5).  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/24483306>

Jordaan, E. (2015). Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. *Journal of Human Rights*, 14(4), 463–485.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14754835.2014.988784>

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. (n.d.-a). Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*, 91, 157–162.  
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. (n.d.-b). Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*, 91, 157–162.  
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

Kampani, G. (1998). From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 6(1), 12–24.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10736709808436732>

Kampani, G. (2014). New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. *International Security*, 38(4), 79–114. [https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC\\_a\\_00158](https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00158)

Kenneth N. Waltz. (2012a). Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs*, 91(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

Kenneth N. Waltz. (2012b). Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs*, 91(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

Keukeleire, S., & Hooijmaaijers, B. (2014). The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances

- and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 52(3), 582–599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12102>
- Kiely, R. (2008). "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven Development? *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 38(3), 353–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472330802078451>
- Koo, M. G. (2017). Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. *Ocean Development & International Law*, 48(1), 52–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00908320.2017.1265365>
- Kreuzer, P. (2016). A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 9(3), 239–276. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow008>
- Kumar, A. V. & Cambridge Books Online EBS. (2014). *India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier*. Cambridge University Press. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107297319>
- Kurowska, X. (2014). Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 14(4), 489–508. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930589>
- Ladwig, W. C. (2009). Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging Influence in the Asia-Pacific. *Asian Security*, 5(2), 87–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14799850902886476>
- Ladwig, W. C. (2010). India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a Conventional Great Power? *Asian Survey*, 50(6), 1162–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2010.50.6.1162>
- Lee, P. K., & Chan, L.-H. (2016a). China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 70(2), 179–214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968>
- Lee, P. K., & Chan, L.-H. (2016b). China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 70(2), 179–214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968>
- Legro, J. W. (2007). What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. *Perspectives on Politics*, 5(03). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592707071526>
- Lewis, J. W., & Litai, X. (2016). China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 72(4), 212–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2016.1194056>
- Liff, A. P., & Ikenberry, G. J. (2014). Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*, 39(2), 52–91. [https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC\\_a\\_00176](https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00176)

Logan, J. (2013). China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute.  
<https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia>

M. Taylor Fravel. (2005). Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. *International Security*, 30(2), 46–83.  
<http://0-muse.jhu.edu.wam.city.ac.uk/article/190698>

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden. (2011). India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. *Global Governance*, 17 (4).  
[https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23104289?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=%22india%2C+brazil+and+south+africa%22&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2522india%252C%2Bbrazil%2Band%2Bsouth%2Bafrika%2522%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000814&refreqid=search%3Afd8a0d48c16faddc1066d4c0d180c142&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23104289?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=%22india%2C+brazil+and+south+africa%22&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2522india%252C%2Bbrazil%2Band%2Bsouth%2Bafrika%2522%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000814&refreqid=search%3Afd8a0d48c16faddc1066d4c0d180c142&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

Mark T. Berger. (2004). After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(1).  
[https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993775?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993775?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

McDougall, D. (2014). Responsibility While Protecting. *Global Responsibility to Protect*, 6 (1), 64–87. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1875984X-00601004>

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2010a). The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 3(4), 381–396.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016>

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2010b). The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 3(4), 381–396.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016>

Mehta, P. B. (2009). Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. *India Review*, 8(3), 209–233.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480903116750>

Merke, F. (2015). Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. *International Politics*, 52(2), 178–192.  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.49>

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel. (2012). India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. *Climate Policy*, 12, 575–590.  
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1321407678?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14510>

Miller, M. C. (2016). The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 9(2), 211–238. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow006>

Miller, M. C. & ProQuest (Firm). (2013). *Wronged by empire: post-imperial ideology and foreign policy in India and China*. Stanford University Press.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=1319336>

- Miller, M. C., & Sullivan de Estrada, K. (2017). Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. *International Affairs*, 93(1), 27–49. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiv001>
- MORTON, K. (2016). China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? *International Affairs*, 92(4), 909–940. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12658>
- Moshirzadeh, H. (2007). Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. *Security Dialogue*, 38(4), 521–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607084999>
- MUKHERJEE, R., & MALONE, D. M. (2011). Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. *International Affairs*, 87(1), 87–104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.00961.x>
- Müller, H. (2013). Icons Off the Mark. *The Nonproliferation Review*, 20(3), 545–565. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700.2013.849911>
- Narang, V., & Staniland, P. (2012). Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. *India Review*, 11(2), 76–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2012.674818>
- NARLIKAR, A. (2013). India rising: responsible to whom? *International Affairs*, 89(3), 595–614. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12035>
- Ogunnubi. (2017). South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. *Journal of Developing Societies*, 33(4).
- Oliveira, G. de L. T. (2016). The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 43(2), 348–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2014.992337>
- Ollapally, D. M. (2016). Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India–US–China maritime triangle lens. *Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India*, 12(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09733159.2016.1181392>
- Palit, A. (2017). India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*, 22(2), 292–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1274305>
- Pan, C. (2014). The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 68(4), 453–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2014.884054>
- Pant, H. V. (2009). INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. *Asian Affairs*, 40(1), 90–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03068370802658724>
- Pant, H. V. & Cambridge Books Online EBS. (2012). *The Rise of China: Implications for India*. Cambridge University Press. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9788175969377/type/BOOK>
- PANT, H. V., & SUPER, J. M. (2015). India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. *International Affairs*, 91(4), 747–764. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12336>



- Peng, C. (2017). Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. *International Critical Thought*, 7(2), 267–278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21598282.2017.1316436>
- Prashad, V. (2013). NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS. <http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/>
- Pretorius, J., & Sauer, T. (2014a). The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 21(3), 321–334. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273>
- Pretorius, J., & Sauer, T. (2014b). The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 21(3), 321–334. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273>
- Raine, S. (2011). Beijing's South China Sea Debate. *Survival*, 53(5), 69–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2011.621633>
- Robinson, W. I. (2015). The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. *Third World Quarterly*, 36(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2015.976012>
- Rodrigues Vieira, V. (2016). Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. *International Negotiation*, 21(2), 267–294. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15718069-12341333>
- Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone. (2011). From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. *Global Governance*, 17(3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23033750>
- Romanova, T. (2016). Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. *Geopolitics*, 21(4), 857–879. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1155049>
- SAKWA, R. (2008). 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. *International Affairs*, 84(2), 241–267. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00702.x>
- Sakwa, R. (2012). The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. *International Politics*, 49(4), 449–465. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2012.10>
- Sakwa, R. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. (2017). *Russia against the rest: the post-Cold War crisis of world order*. Cambridge University Press. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316675885>
- Schoeman, P. M. (2000). South Africa as an emerging middle power. *African Security Review*, 9(3), 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2000.9628050>
- Scott, D. (2008). The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. *Geopolitics*, 13(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040701783243>
- Scott, D. (2009). India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising

Power. *India Review*, 8(2), 107–143. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480902901038>

Scott, D. (2013). India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. *India Review*, 12(2), 51–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2013.786965>

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts. (2007). A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? *Journal of International Affairs*, 60(2). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24357975>

Sebastian Job. (2001). Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. *Third World Quarterly*, 22(6). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3993455>

Shambaugh, D. (2011). Coping with a Conflicted China. *The Washington Quarterly*, 34(1), 7–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2011.537974>

Sharma, S. D. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. (2009). *China and India in the Age of Globalization*. Cambridge University Press. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609916>

Shaun Breslin. (2009). Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 85(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695092>

SHIMAZU, NAOKO. (n.d.). Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955. *Modern Asian Studies*, 48, 225–252. <https://0-search-proquest-com.wam.city.ac.uk/docview/1469760013?pq-origsite=summon>

Sornarajah, M., Wang, J., & Cambridge Books Online EBS. (2010). *China, India and the International Economic Order*. Cambridge University Press. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511760235>

Sotero, P. (2010). Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. *Politics*, 30 (1\_suppl), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2010.01394.x>

Stuenkel, O., & Tourinho, M. (2014). Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 14(4), 379–402. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930593>

Summers, T. (2016). China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly*, 37(9), 1628–1643. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2016.1153415>

Suzuki, S. (2011). Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. *International Peacekeeping*, 18(3), 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2011.563079>

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications. (n.d.). [https://hz7fp2gl5k.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info%3Aasid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=THE+NINE-DASH+](https://hz7fp2gl5k.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info%3Aasid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=THE+NINE-DASH+)

LINE+IN+THE+SOUTH+CHINA+SEA%3A+HISTORY%2C+STATUS%2C+AND+IMPLICATIONS  
&rft.jtitle=AMERICAN+JOURNAL+OF+INTERNATIONAL+LAW&rft.au=Gao%2C+ZG&rft.au=J  
ia%2C+BB&rft.date=2013-01-01&rft.pub=AMER+SOC+INT+LAW&rft.issn=0002-9300&rft.  
eissn=2161-7953&rft.volume=107&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=98&rft.epage=124&rft.external  
DBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=000316626600004&paramdict=en-UK

Turner, O. (2016). China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. *Geopolitics*, 21(4), 922–944.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1190708>

van der Westhuizen, J. (2016). Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 54(2), 232–251.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151169>

Verhoeven, H., Murthy, C. S. R., & Soares de Oliveira, R. (2014). 'Our identity is our currency': South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 14(4), 509–534.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930594>

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang. (2011). 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. *Asian Perspective*, 35(3).  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/42704764>

Weber, C. (2014a). *International relations theory: a critical introduction* (Fourth edition). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

Weber, C. (2014b). *International relations theory: a critical introduction* (Fourth edition). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

Weber, C. & Taylor & Francis. (2014a). *International relations theory: a critical introduction* (4th ed). Routledge.  
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

Weber, C. & Taylor & Francis. (2014b). *International relations theory: a critical introduction* (4th ed). Routledge.  
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

Xiaoyu, P. (2012a). Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 5(4), 341–367.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017>

Xiaoyu, P. (2012b). Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 5(4), 341–367.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017>

Yahuda, M. (2013). China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 22(81), 446–459. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2012.748964>

Zhang (张), F. (2017). Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 71(4), 440–459.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2017.1287876>