

IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world

View Online



1

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. *Foreign Affairs* 2011;**90**:56–68. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039408>

2

Mearsheimer JJ. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2010;**3**:381–96. doi:10.1093/cjip/poq016

3

Robinson WI. The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. *Third World Quarterly* 2015;**36**:1–21. doi:10.1080/01436597.2015.976012

4

Weber C, Taylor & Francis. *International relations theory: a critical introduction*. 4th ed. London: : Routledge 2014.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

5

Weber C. *International relations theory: a critical introduction*. Fourth edition. London: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2014.

6

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

7

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, editors. *International relations theories: discipline and diversity*. Fourth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

8

Burchill S, Linklater A. *Theories of international relations*. Fifth edition. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

9

Enloe C, JSTOR. *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. 2nd ed. Berkeley: : University of California Press 2014.
<http://0-www.jstor.org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/10.1525/j.ctt6wqbn6>

10

Acharya A. Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 2011;**39**:619–37.
doi:10.1177/0305829811406574

11

Anghie, A. Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective. *Villanova Law Review*. 2000.
https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

12

Weber C, Taylor & Francis. *International relations theory: a critical introduction*. 4th ed. London: : Routledge 2014.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315882291>

13

Weber C. International relations theory: a critical introduction. Fourth edition. London: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2014.

14

Barnett M, Duvall R. Power in International Politics. International Organization 2005;**59**. doi:10.1017/S0020818305050010

15

Hobson JM, Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139096829>

16

Hobson JM. The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760-2010. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.

17

Prashad V. NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS. 2013.<http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/>

18

Hopewell K. The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. International Affairs 2017;**93**:1377–96. doi:10.1093/ia/iix192

19

SHIMAZU, NAOKO. Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955. Modern Asian Studies;**48**:225–52.<https://0-search-proquest-com.wam.city.ac.uk/docview/1469760013?pq-origsite=sunmon>

20

Cambridge Books Online EBS. Bandung, global history, and international law: critical pasts and pending futures. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017.
<http://0-doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/9781316414880>

21

Rodrigues Vieira V. Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. *International Negotiation* 2016;**21**:267–94. doi:10.1163/15718069-12341333

22

Keukeleire S, Hooijmaaijers B. The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2014;**52**:582–99. doi:10.1111/jcms.12102

23

Xiaoyu P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2012;**5**:341–67. doi:10.1093/cjip/pos017

24

Mark T. Berger. After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. *Third World Quarterly* 2004;**25**
.https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993775?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1
#metadata_info_tab_contents

25

Hameiri S, Jones L. Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. *European Journal of International Relations* 2016;**22**:72–98. doi:10.1177/1354066115578952

26

Miller MC. The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. *The Chinese Journal of*

International Politics 2016;**9**:211–38. doi:10.1093/cjip/pow006

27

Mearsheimer JJ. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2010;**3**:381–96. doi:10.1093/cjip/poq016

28

Lee PK, Chan L-H. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 2016;**70**:179–214. doi:10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968

29

Miller MC, ProQuest (Firm). *Wronged by empire: post-imperial ideology and foreign policy in India and China*. Stanford, Calif: : Stanford University Press 2013. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=1319336>

30

Dreyer JT. The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? *Journal of Contemporary China* 2015;**24**:1015–31. doi:10.1080/10670564.2015.1030951

31

Shaun Breslin. *Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications*. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)* 2009;**85**. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695092>

32

Callahan WA. Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? *International Studies Review* 2008;**10**:749–61. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00830.x

33

Ferchen M. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus.

Review of International Political Economy 2013;**20**:390–420.
doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660184

34

Suzuki S. Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. *International Peacekeeping* 2011;**18**:271–85. doi:10.1080/13533312.2011.563079

35

Kiely R. "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven Development? *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 2008;**38**:353–72.
doi:10.1080/00472330802078451

36

Legro JW. What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. *Perspectives on Politics* 2007;**5**. doi:10.1017/S1537592707071526

37

Logan J. China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute.
2013.<https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia>

38

Shambaugh D. Coping with a Conflicted China. *The Washington Quarterly* 2011;**34**:7–27.
doi:10.1080/0163660X.2011.537974

39

Summers T. China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly* 2016;**37**:1628–43. doi:10.1080/01436597.2016.1153415

40

Xiaoyu P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2012;**5**:341-67. doi:10.1093/cjip/pos017

41

Miller MC, Sullivan de Estrada K. Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. *International Affairs* 2017;**93**:27-49. doi:10.1093/ia/iiv001

42

NARLIKAR A. India rising: responsible to whom? *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:595-614. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12035

43

IAN TAYLOR. India's rise in Africa. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)* 2012;**88**
.https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23255618?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=India%27s&searchText=rise&searchText=in&searchText=Africa&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2BIndia%2527s%2Brise%2Bin%2BAfrica%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj100185&ab_segments=0%2Ftbsub-1%2Frelevance_config_with_tbsub&refreqid=search%3Acaf5d9907d63af2a8f7187028a7febf8&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

44

Foot R, Gaddis JL, Hurrell A, et al. *Order and justice in international relations*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.
https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F3738

45

Bloomfield A. India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. *Contemporary Security Policy* 2015;**36**:27-55. doi:10.1080/13523260.2015.1012347

46

Chiriyankandath J. Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. *The Round Table* 2004;**93**:199–211. doi:10.1080/00358530410001679567

47

Ganguly S, Pardesi MS. Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. *India Review* 2009;**8**:4–19. doi:10.1080/14736480802665162

48

Kampani G. New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. *International Security* 2014;**38**:79–114. doi:10.1162/ISEC_a_00158

49

Andrew B. Kennedy. India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. *International Security* 2011;**36**.
.https://www.jstor.org/stable/41289700

50

Ladwig WC. Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging Influence in the Asia-Pacific. *Asian Security* 2009;**5**:87–113. doi:10.1080/14799850902886476

51

Ladwig WC. India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a Conventional Great Power? *Asian Survey* 2010;**50**:1162–83. doi:10.1525/as.2010.50.6.1162

52

Mehta PB. Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. *India Review* 2009;**8**:209–33. doi:10.1080/14736480903116750

53

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel. India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. *Climate Policy* 2012;**12**:575–90. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/1321407678?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14510>

54

Chatterjee Miller M. The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. *India Review* 2014;**13**:1–14. doi:10.1080/14736489.2014.873670

55

MUKHERJEE R, MALONE DM. Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. *International Affairs* 2011;**87**:87–104. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.00961.x

56

Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone. From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. *Global Governance* 2011;**17**. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23033750>

57

Amrita Narlikar. All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. *Third World Quarterly* 2007;**28**. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20454975>

58

Narang V, Staniland P. Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. *India Review* 2012;**11**:76–94. doi:10.1080/14736489.2012.674818

59

PANT HV, SUPER JM. India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. *International Affairs* 2015;**91**:747–64. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12336

60

Pant HV. INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. *Asian Affairs* 2009;**40**:90–101. doi:10.1080/03068370802658724

61

Scott D. India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising Power. *India Review* 2009;**8**:107–43. doi:10.1080/14736480902901038

62

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang. 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. *Asian Perspective* 2011;**35**. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/42704764>

63

Scott D. The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. *Geopolitics* 2008;**13**:1–26. doi:10.1080/14650040701783243

64

Pan C. The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 2014;**68**:453–69. doi:10.1080/10357718.2014.884054

65

Kampani G. From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test. *The Nonproliferation Review* 1998;**6**:12–24. doi:10.1080/10736709808436732

66

Ollapally DM. Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India-US-China maritime triangle lens. *Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India* 2016;**12**:1–12. doi:10.1080/09733159.2016.1181392

67

Sornarajah M, Wang J, Cambridge Books Online EBS. China, India and the International Economic Order. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511760235>

68

Brewster D. Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region* 2014;**10**:133-49.
doi:10.1080/19480881.2014.922350

69

Palit A. India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics* 2017;**22**:292-309. doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1274305

70

Pant HV, Cambridge Books Online EBS. The Rise of China: Implications for India. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9788175969377/type/BOOK>

71

Sharma SD, Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. China and India in the Age of Globalization. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511609916>

72

Brewster D. Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. *Journal of Strategic Studies* 2011;**34**:825-52. doi:10.1080/01402390.2011.627155

73

Blanchard J-MF, Flint C. The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics* 2017;**22**:223-45. doi:10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503

74

Lee PK, Chan L-H. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 2016;**70**:179-214. doi:10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968

75

Turner O. China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. *Geopolitics* 2016;**21**:922-44. doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1190708

76

Guihong Z. US-India Strategic Partnership. *International Studies* 2005;**42**:277-93. doi:10.1177/002088170504200306

77

Abdenur AE, Folly M, Moura K, et al. The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South-South cooperation. *South African Journal of International Affairs* 2014;**21**:303-19. doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.972442

78

van der Westhuizen J. Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics* 2016;**54**:232-51. doi:10.1080/14662043.2016.1151169

79

Merke F. Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. *International Politics* 2015;**52**:178-92. doi:10.1057/ip.2014.49

80

Ogunnubi. South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. *Journal of developing societies* 2017;**33**.

81

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden. India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. *Global Governance* 2011;**17**.
[.https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23104289?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=%22india%2C+brazil+and+south+africa%22&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2522india%252C%2Bbrazil%2Band%2Bsouth%2Bafrika%2522%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000814&refreqid=search%3Afd8a0d48c16faddc1066d4c0d180c142&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/23104289?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=%22india%2C+brazil+and+south+africa%22&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3D%2522india%252C%2Bbrazil%2Band%2Bsouth%2Bafrika%2522%26amp%3Bfilter%3Djid%253A10.2307%252Fj50000814&refreqid=search%3Afd8a0d48c16faddc1066d4c0d180c142&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

82

Jordaan E. Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. *Journal of Human Rights* 2015;**14**:463–85.
doi:10.1080/14754835.2014.988784

83

Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira. The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. *Third World Quarterly* 2005;**26**.
[.https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/4017805?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents](https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/4017805?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

84

Carranza ME. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis* 2014;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/fpa.12065

85

McDougall D. Responsibility While Protecting. *Global Responsibility to Protect* 2014;**6**:64–87. doi:10.1163/1875984X-00601004

86

Stuenkel O, Tourinho M. Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development* 2014;**14**:379–402. doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930593

87

Abdenur AE. Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. *International Peacekeeping* 2016;**23**:389–415. doi:10.1080/13533312.2016.1155413

88

Dauvergne P, BL Farias D. The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. *Third World Quarterly* 2012;**33**:903–17. doi:10.1080/01436597.2012.674704

89

de Freitas Barbosa A, Narciso T, Biancalana M. Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? *Politikon* 2009;**36**:59–86. doi:10.1080/02589340903155401

90

Andrés Malamud. A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. *Latin American Politics and Society* 2011;**53**
.https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/41342327?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

91

Oliveira G de LT. The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. *The Journal of Peasant Studies* 2016;**43**:348–72. doi:10.1080/03066150.2014.992337

92

Sotero P. Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. *Politics* 2010;**30**:71–81. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2010.01394.x

93

Cunliffe P, Kenkel KM, editors. *Brazil as a rising power: intervention norms and the contestation of global order*. London: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2016.

94

Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2016.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781316460191>

95

Chatin M. Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. *Journal of Political Power* 2016;**9**:369–93.
doi:10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232286

96

ALDEN C, SCHOEMAN M. South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. *International Affairs* 2013;**89**:111–29.
doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12007

97

Alden C, Soko M. South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. *The Journal of Modern African Studies* 2005;**43**:367–92.
doi:10.1017/S0022278X05001011

98

Alden C, Schoeman M. South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. *International Politics* 2015;**52**:239–54. doi:10.1057/ip.2014.47

99

Bond P. Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. *Third World Quarterly* 2013;**34**:251–70. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.775783

100

Hornsby DJ, Black DR. Breaking with tradition? South Africa–UK relations. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics* 2016;**54**:268–86. doi:10.1080/14662043.2016.1151167

101

Pretorius J, Sauer T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs* 2014;**21**:321–34. doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.965273

102

Verhoeven H, Murthy CSR, Soares de Oliveira R. 'Our identity is our currency': South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. *Conflict, Security & Development* 2014;**14**:509–34. doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930594

103

Beresford A. A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. *International Politics* 2015;**52**:288–304. doi:10.1057/ip.2015.11

104

Habib A. South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. *South African Journal of International Affairs* 2009;**16**:143–59. doi:10.1080/10220460903265857

105

Janis Van Der Westhuizen. South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. *Third World Quarterly* 1998;**19**.
https://0-www-jstor-org.wam.city.ac.uk/stable/3993132?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

106

Schoeman PM. South Africa as an emerging middle power. *African Security Review* 2000;**9**:47–58. doi:10.1080/10246029.2000.9628050

107

John J. Mearsheimer. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. *Foreign Affairs* 2014;**93**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/24483306>

108

Alexander J. Motyl. THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. *World Affairs* 2015;**177**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/43555427>

109

SAKWA R. 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. *International Affairs* 2008;**84**:241–67. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00702.x

110

Derek Averre. From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)* 2009;**85**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/27695032>

111

Cooley A. Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences.
<https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135>

112

Forsberg T, Herd G. Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies* 2015;**23**:41–57.
doi:10.1080/14782804.2014.1001824

113

Haukkala H. From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU–Russia Relations. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies* 2015;**23**:25–40. doi:10.1080/14782804.2014.1001822

114

Hughes J. Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. *Europe-Asia Studies* 2013;**65**:992–1016.

doi:10.1080/09668136.2013.792448

115

Howorth J. 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2017;**55**:121-36. doi:10.1111/jcms.12448

116

Sebastian Job. Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. *Third World Quarterly* 2001;**22**.
[.https://www.jstor.org/stable/3993455](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3993455)

117

Kurowska X. Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development* 2014;**14**:489-508.
doi:10.1080/14678802.2014.930589

118

Peng C. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. *International Critical Thought* 2017;**7**:267-78.
doi:10.1080/21598282.2017.1316436

119

Romanova T. Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. *Geopolitics* 2016;**21**:857-79.
doi:10.1080/14650045.2016.1155049

120

Sakwa R. The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. *International Politics* 2012;**49**:449-65. doi:10.1057/ip.2012.10

121

Sakwa R, Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Russia against the rest: the post-Cold War crisis of world order. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316675885>

122

Hugh Gusterson. Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. Cultural Anthropology 1999;**14**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/656531>

123

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs 2012;**91**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

124

Kahl, Colin H. Waltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs;**91**
:157-62.<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

125

Pretorius J, Sauer T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs 2014;**21**:321-34.
[doi:10.1080/10220461.2014.965273](https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273)

126

Gusterson H. Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. The Nonproliferation Review 2008;**15**:21-42. [doi:10.1080/10736700701852894](https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700701852894)

127

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts. A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? Journal of International Affairs 2007;**60**
. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24357975>

128

Moshirzadeh H. Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. *Security Dialogue* 2007;**38**:521-43. doi:10.1177/0967010607084999

129

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs* 2012;**91**.<https://www.jstor.org/stable/23218033>

130

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*;**91**:157-62.<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1034969009?accountid=14510>

131

Müller H. Icons Off the Mark. *The Nonproliferation Review* 2013;**20**:545-65. doi:10.1080/10736700.2013.849911

132

Kumar AV, Cambridge Books Online EBS. *India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2014. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107297319>

133

Buszynski L. The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.-China Strategic Rivalry. *The Washington Quarterly* 2012;**35**:139-56. doi:10.1080/0163660X.2012.666495

134

M. Taylor Fravel. Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. *International Security* 2005;**30**:46-83.<http://0-muse.jhu.edu.wam.city.ac.uk/article/190698>

135

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications.

https://hz7fp2gl5k.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info%3Aid%2Fsummon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=THE+NINE-DASH+LINE+IN+THE+SOUTH+CHINA+SEA%3A+HISTORY%2C+STATUS%2C+AND+IMPLICATIONS&rft.jtitle=AMERICAN+JOURNAL+OF+INTERNATIONAL+LAW&rft.au=Gao%2C+ZG&rft.au=Jia%2C+BB&rft.date=2013-01-01&rft.pub=AMER+SOC+INT+LAW&rft.issn=0002-9300&rft.eissn=2161-7953&rft.volume=107&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=98&rft.epage=124&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=000316626600004¶mdict=en-UK

136

Koo MG. Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. *Ocean Development & International Law* 2017;**48**:52–68. doi:10.1080/00908320.2017.1265365

137

Jerden B. The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2014;**7**:47–88. doi:10.1093/cjip/pot019

138

Kreuzer P. A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics* 2016;**9**:239–76. doi:10.1093/cjip/pow008

139

Lewis JW, Litai X. China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 2016;**72**:212–21. doi:10.1080/00963402.2016.1194056

140

Liff AP, Ikenberry GJ. Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security* 2014;**39**:52–91. doi:10.1162/ISEC_a_00176

141

MORTON K. China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? *International Affairs* 2016;**92**:909–40. doi:10.1111/1468-2346.12658

142

Raine S. Beijing's South China Sea Debate. *Survival* 2011;**53**:69–88. doi:10.1080/00396338.2011.621633

143

Scott D. India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. *India Review* 2013;**12**:51–69. doi:10.1080/14736489.2013.786965

144

Yahuda M. China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. *Journal of Contemporary China* 2013;**22**:446–59. doi:10.1080/10670564.2012.748964

145

Zhang (张) F. Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. *Australian Journal of International Affairs* 2017;**71**:440–59. doi:10.1080/10357718.2017.1287876