IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world



1.

G. John Ikenberry. The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs **90**, 56–68 (2011).

2.

Mearsheimer, J. J. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. The Chinese Journal of International Politics 3, 381–396 (2010).

3.

Robinson, W. I. The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. Third World Quarterly 36, 1–21 (2015).

4.

Weber, C. & Taylor & Francis. International relations theory: a critical introduction. (Routledge, 2014).

5.

Weber, C. International relations theory: a critical introduction. (Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2014).

6.

International relations theories: discipline and diversity. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

International relations theories: discipline and diversity. (Oxford University Press, 2016).

8.

Burchill, S. & Linklater, A. Theories of international relations. (Palgrave Macmillan, 2013).

9.

Enloe, C. & JSTOR. Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics. (University of California Press, 2014).

10.

Acharya, A. Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West. Millennium: Journal of International Studies **39**, 619–637 (2011).

11.

Anghie, A. Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective. Villanova Law Review https://o-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults (2000).

12.

Weber, C. & Taylor & Francis. International relations theory: a critical introduction. (Routledge, 2014).

13.

Weber, C. International relations theory: a critical introduction. (Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2014).

Barnett, M. & Duvall, R. Power in International Politics. International Organization **59**, (2005).

15.

Hobson, J. M. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. (Cambridge University Press, 2012).

16.

Hobson, J. M. The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760-2010. (Cambridge University Press, 2012).

17.

Prashad, V. NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS. http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/ (2013).

18.

Hopewell, K. The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. International Affairs **93**, 1377–1396 (2017).

19.

SHIMAZU, NAOKO. Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955. Modern Asian Studies **48**, 225–252.

20.

Cambridge Books Online EBS. Bandung, global history, and international law: critical pasts and pending futures. (Cambridge University Press, 2017).

Rodrigues Vieira, V. Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. International Negotiation **21**, 267–294 (2016).

22.

Keukeleire, S. & Hooijmaaijers, B. The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies **52**, 582–599 (2014).

23.

Xiaoyu, P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **5**, 341–367 (2012).

24.

Mark T. Berger. After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. Third World Quarterly **25**, (2004).

25.

Hameiri, S. & Jones, L. Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. European Journal of International Relations **22**, 72–98 (2016).

26.

Miller, M. C. The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **9**, 211–238 (2016).

27.

Mearsheimer, J. J. The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **3**, 381–396 (2010).

28.

Lee, P. K. & Chan, L.-H. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? Australian Journal of International Affairs **70**, 179–214 (2016).

29.

Miller, M. C. & ProQuest (Firm). Wronged by empire: post-imperial ideology and foreign policy in India and China. (Stanford University Press, 2013).

30.

Dreyer, J. T. The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? Journal of Contemporary China **24**, 1015–1031 (2015).

31

Shaun Breslin. Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) **85**, (2009).

32.

Callahan, W. A. Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? International Studies Review **10**, 749–761 (2008).

33.

Ferchen, M. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. Review of International Political Economy **20**, 390–420 (2013).

34.

Suzuki, S. Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. International Peacekeeping **18**, 271–285 (2011).

35.

Kiely, R. "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven

Development? Journal of Contemporary Asia 38, 353–372 (2008).

36.

Legro, J. W. What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. Perspectives on Politics **5**, (2007).

37.

Logan, J. China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute. https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia (2013).

38.

Shambaugh, D. Coping with a Conflicted China. The Washington Quarterly 34, 7-27 (2011).

39.

Summers, T. China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. Third World Quarterly **37**, 1628–1643 (2016).

40.

Xiaoyu, P. Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **5**, 341–367 (2012).

41.

Miller, M. C. & Sullivan de Estrada, K. Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. International Affairs **93**, 27–49 (2017).

42.

NARLIKAR, A. India rising: responsible to whom? International Affairs 89, 595-614 (2013).

IAN TAYLOR. India's rise in Africa. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) **88**, (2012).

44.

Foot, R., Gaddis, J. L., Hurrell, A., ebrary, Inc, & Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Order and justice in international relations. (Oxford University Press, 2003).

45.

Bloomfield, A. India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. Contemporary Security Policy **36**, 27–55 (2015).

46.

Chiriyankandath, J. Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. The Round Table **93**, 199–211 (2004).

47.

Ganguly, S. & Pardesi, M. S. Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. India Review 8, 4–19 (2009).

48.

Kampani, G. New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. International Security 38, 79-114 (2014).

49.

Andrew B. Kennedy. India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. International Security **36**, (2011).

50.

Ladwig, W. C. Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging

Influence in the Asia-Pacific. Asian Security 5, 87–113 (2009).

51.

Ladwig, W. C. India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a Conventional Great Power? Asian Survey **50**, 1162–1183 (2010).

52.

Mehta, P. B. Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. India Review **8**, 209–233 (2009).

53.

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel. India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. Climate Policy **12**, 575–590 (2012).

54.

Chatterjee Miller, M. The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. India Review **13**, 1–14 (2014).

55.

MUKHERJEE, R. & MALONE, D. M. Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. International Affairs **87**, 87–104 (2011).

56

Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone. From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. Global Governance **17**, (2011).

57.

Amrita Narlikar. All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. Third World Quarterly 28, (2007).

Narang, V. & Staniland, P. Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. India Review **11**, 76–94 (2012).

59.

PANT, H. V. & SUPER, J. M. India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. International Affairs **91**, 747–764 (2015).

60.

Pant, H. V. INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. Asian Affairs **40**, 90–101 (2009).

61.

Scott, D. India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising Power. India Review **8**, 107–143 (2009).

62.

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang. 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. Asian Perspective **35**, (2011).

63.

Scott, D. The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. Geopolitics **13**, 1–26 (2008).

64

Pan, C. The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. Australian Journal of International Affairs **68**, 453–469 (2014).

65.

Kampani, G. From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test.

The Nonproliferation Review 6, 12-24 (1998).

66.

Ollapally, D. M. Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India–US–China maritime triangle lens. Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India 12, 1–12 (2016).

67.

Sornarajah, M., Wang, J., & Cambridge Books Online EBS. China, India and the International Economic Order. (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

68

Brewster, D. Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? Journal of the Indian Ocean Region **10**, 133–149 (2014).

69.

Palit, A. India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. Geopolitics **22**, 292–309 (2017).

70.

Pant, H. V. & Cambridge Books Online EBS. The Rise of China: Implications for India. (Cambridge University Press, 2012).

71.

Sharma, S. D. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. China and India in the Age of Globalization. (Cambridge University Press, 2009).

72.

Brewster, D. Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. Journal of Strategic Studies **34**, 825–852 (2011).

Blanchard, J.-M. F. & Flint, C. The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. Geopolitics **22**, 223–245 (2017).

74.

Lee, P. K. & Chan, L.-H. China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? Australian Journal of International Affairs **70**, 179–214 (2016).

75.

Turner, O. China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. Geopolitics **21**, 922–944 (2016).

76.

Guihong, Z. US-India Strategic Partnership. International Studies 42, 277–293 (2005).

77.

Abdenur, A. E., Folly, M., Moura, K., Jordão, S. A. S. & Maia, P. The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South–South cooperation. South African Journal of International Affairs **21**, 303–319 (2014).

78.

van der Westhuizen, J. Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? Commonwealth & Comparative Politics **54**, 232–251 (2016).

79.

Merke, F. Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. International Politics **52**, 178–192 (2015).

80.

Ogunnubi. South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. Journal of developing societies **33**, (2017).

81.

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden. India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. Global Governance 17, (2011).

82.

Jordaan, E. Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. Journal of Human Rights 14, 463–485 (2015).

83

Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira. The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. Third World Quarterly **26**, (2005).

84.

Carranza, M. E. Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. Foreign Policy Analysis n/a-n/a (2014) doi:10.1111/fpa.12065.

85.

McDougall, D. Responsibility While Protecting. Global Responsibility to Protect **6**, 64–87 (2014).

86.

Stuenkel, O. & Tourinho, M. Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. Conflict, Security & Development 14, 379–402 (2014).

87.

Abdenur, A. E. Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. International Peacekeeping **23**, 389–415 (2016).

Dauvergne, P. & BL Farias, D. The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. Third World Quarterly **33**, 903–917 (2012).

89.

de Freitas Barbosa, A., Narciso, T. & Biancalana, M. Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? Politikon **36**, 59–86 (2009).

90.

Andrés Malamud. A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. Latin American Politics and Society **53**, (2011).

91.

Oliveira, G. de L. T. The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. The Journal of Peasant Studies 43, 348–372 (2016).

92.

Sotero, P. Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. Politics **30**, 71–81 (2010).

93.

Brazil as a rising power: intervention norms and the contestation of global order. vol. 113 (Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2016).

94.

Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future. (Cambridge University Press, 2016).

Chatin, M. Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. Journal of Political Power **9**, 369–393 (2016).

96.

ALDEN, C. & SCHOEMAN, M. South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. International Affairs 89, 111–129 (2013).

97.

Alden, C. & Soko, M. South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. The Journal of Modern African Studies **43**, 367–392 (2005).

98.

Alden, C. & Schoeman, M. South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. International Politics **52**, 239–254 (2015).

99.

Bond, P. Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. Third World Quarterly **34**, 251–270 (2013).

100.

Hornsby, D. J. & Black, D. R. Breaking with tradition? South Africa-UK relations. Commonwealth & Comparative Politics **54**, 268–286 (2016).

101.

Pretorius, J. & Sauer, T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs **21**, 321–334 (2014).

102.

Verhoeven, H., Murthy, C. S. R. & Soares de Oliveira, R. 'Our identity is our currency':

South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. Conflict, Security & Development **14**, 509–534 (2014).

103.

Beresford, A. A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. International Politics **52**, 288–304 (2015).

104.

Habib, A. South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. South African Journal of International Affairs **16**, 143–159 (2009).

105.

Janis Van Der Westhuizen. South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. Third World Quarterly **19**, (1998).

106.

Schoeman, P. M. South Africa as an emerging middle power. African Security Review **9**, 47–58 (2000).

107.

John J. Mearsheimer. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. Foreign Affairs **93**, (2014).

108.

Alexander J. Motyl. THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. World Affairs 177, (2015).

109.

SAKWA, R. 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. International Affairs **84**, 241–267 (2008).

Derek Averre. From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-) **85**, (2009).

111.

Cooley, A. Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences. https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135.

112.

Forsberg, T. & Herd, G. Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. Journal of Contemporary European Studies **23**, 41–57 (2015).

113.

Haukkala, H. From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU-Russia Relations. Journal of Contemporary European Studies **23**, 25–40 (2015).

114.

Hughes, J. Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. Europe-Asia Studies **65**, 992–1016 (2013).

115.

Howorth, J. 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies **55**, 121–136 (2017).

116.

Sebastian Job. Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. Third World Quarterly **22**, (2001).

Kurowska, X. Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. Conflict, Security & Development 14, 489–508 (2014).

118.

Peng, C. Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. International Critical Thought **7**, 267–278 (2017).

119.

Romanova, T. Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. Geopolitics **21**, 857–879 (2016).

120.

Sakwa, R. The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. International Politics **49**, 449–465 (2012).

121.

Sakwa, R. & Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA. Russia against the rest: the post-Cold War crisis of world order. (Cambridge University Press, 2017).

122.

Hugh Gusterson. Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. Cultural Anthropology **14**, (1999).

123.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs **91**, (2012).

124.

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs **91**, 157–162.

125.

Pretorius, J. & Sauer, T. The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. South African Journal of International Affairs **21**, 321–334 (2014).

126.

Gusterson, H. Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. The Nonproliferation Review **15**, 21–42 (2008).

127.

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts. A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? Journal of International Affairs **60**, (2007).

128.

Moshirzadeh, H. Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. Security Dialogue **38**, 521–543 (2007).

129.

Kenneth N. Waltz. Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. Foreign Affairs **91**, (2012).

130.

Kahl, Colin HWaltz, Kenneth N. Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. Foreign Affairs **91**, 157–162.

131.

Müller, H. Icons Off the Mark. The Nonproliferation Review 20, 545–565 (2013).

Kumar, A. V. & Cambridge Books Online EBS. India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier. (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

133.

Buszynski, L. The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.-China Strategic Rivalry. The Washington Quarterly **35**, 139–156 (2012).

134.

M. Taylor Fravel. Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. International Security **30**, 46–83 (2005).

135.

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications.

136.

Koo, M. G. Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. Ocean Development & International Law 48, 52-68 (2017).

137.

Jerden, B. The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **7**, 47–88 (2014).

138.

Kreuzer, P. A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. The Chinese Journal of International Politics **9**, 239–276 (2016).

139.

Lewis, J. W. & Litai, X. China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists **72**, 212–221 (2016).

Liff, A. P. & Ikenberry, G. J. Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. International Security 39, 52–91 (2014).

141.

MORTON, K. China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? International Affairs **92**, 909–940 (2016).

142.

Raine, S. Beijing's South China Sea Debate. Survival 53, 69-88 (2011).

143.

Scott, D. India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. India Review **12**, 51–69 (2013).

144.

Yahuda, M. China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. Journal of Contemporary China **22**, 446–459 (2013).

145.

Zhang ([] []), F. Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. Australian Journal of International Affairs **71**, 440–459 (2017).