

IP1019: Emerging Powers in a changing world

View Online



-
1.

G. John Ikenberry: The Future of the Liberal World Order: Internationalism After America. Foreign Affairs. 90, 56-68 (2011).
 2.

Mearsheimer, J.J.: The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. The Chinese Journal of International Politics. 3, 381-396 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016>.
 3.

Robinson, W.I.: The transnational state and the BRICS: a global capitalism perspective. Third World Quarterly. 36, 1-21 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2015.976012>.
 4.

Weber, C., Taylor & Francis: International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, London (2014).
 5.

Weber, C.: International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London (2014).
 - 6.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

7.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

8.

Burchill, S., Linklater, A.: Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2013).

9.

Enloe, C., JSTOR.: Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics. University of California Press, Berkeley (2014).

10.

Acharya, A.: Dialogue and Discovery: In Search of International Relations Theories Beyond the West. Millennium: Journal of International Studies. 39, 619–637 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829811406574>.

11.

Anghie, A.: Civilization and Commerce: The Concept of Governance in Historical Perspective,
https://0-heinonline-org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?public=true&handle=hein.journals/vllalr45&div=51&start_page=887&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults.

12.

Weber, C., Taylor & Francis: International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, London (2014).

13.

Weber, C.: International relations theory: a critical introduction. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London (2014).

14.

Barnett, M., Duvall, R.: Power in International Politics. International Organization. 59, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818305050010>.

15.

Hobson, J.M., Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA.: The Eurocentric Conception of World Politics: Western International Theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

16.

Hobson, J.M.: The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760–2010. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

17.

Prashad, V.: NEOLIBERALISM WITH SOUTHERN CHARACTERISTICS - The rise of the BRICS, <http://www.rosalux-nyc.org/neoliberalism-with-southern-characteristics/>.

18.

Hopewell, K.: The BRICS—merely a fable? Emerging power alliances in global trade governance. International Affairs. 93, 1377–1396 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix192>.

19.

SHIMAZU, NAOKO: Diplomacy As Theatre: Staging the Bandung Conference of 1955. Modern Asian Studies. 48, 225–252.

20.

Cambridge Books Online EBS.: Bandung, global history, and international law: critical pasts

and pending futures. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

21.

Rodrigues Vieira, V.: Beyond the Market: The Global South and the WTO's Normative Dimension. *International Negotiation*. 21, 267–294 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1163/15718069-12341333>.

22.

Keukeleire, S., Hooijmaaijers, B.: The BRICS and Other Emerging Power Alliances and Multilateral Organizations in the Asia-Pacific and the Global South: Challenges for the European Union and Its View on Multilateralism. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 52, 582–599 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12102>.

23.

Xiaoyu, P.: Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 5, 341–367 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017>.

24.

Mark T. Berger: After the Third World? History, Destiny and the Fate of Third Worldism. *Third World Quarterly*. 25, (2004).

25.

Hameiri, S., Jones, L.: Rising powers and state transformation: The case of China. *European Journal of International Relations*. 22, 72–98 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066115578952>.

26.

Miller, M.C.: The Role of Beliefs in Identifying Rising Powers. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 9, 211–238 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow006>.

27.

Mearsheimer, J.J.: The Gathering Storm: China's Challenge to US Power in Asia. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 3, 381–396 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/poq016>.

28.

Lee, P.K., Chan, L.-H.: China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 70, 179–214 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968>.

29.

Miller, M.C., ProQuest (Firm): *Wronged by empire: post-imperial ideology and foreign policy in India and China*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif (2013).

30.

Dreyer, J.T.: The 'Tianxia Trope': will China change the international system? *Journal of Contemporary China*. 24, 1015–1031 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2015.1030951>.

31.

Shaun Breslin: *Understanding China's Regional Rise: Interpretations, Identities and Implications*. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-). 85, (2009).

32.

Callahan, W.A.: Chinese Visions of World Order: Post-hegemonic or a New Hegemony? *International Studies Review*. 10, 749–761 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2008.00830.x>.

33.

Ferchen, M.: Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 390–420 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.660184>.

34.

Suzuki, S.: Why Does China Participate in Intrusive Peacekeeping? Understanding Paternalistic Chinese Discourses on Development and Intervention. *International Peacekeeping*. 18, 271–285 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2011.563079>.

35.

Kiely, R.: "Poverty's Fall"/China's Rise: Global Convergence or New Forms of Uneven Development? *Journal of Contemporary Asia*. 38, 353–372 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472330802078451>.

36.

Legro, J.W.: What China Will Want: The Future Intentions of a Rising Power. *Perspectives on Politics*. 5, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592707071526>.

37.

Logan, J.: China, America, and the Pivot to Asia | Cato Institute, <https://www.cato.org/publications/policy-analysis/china-america-pivot-asia>.

38.

Shambaugh, D.: Coping with a Conflicted China. *The Washington Quarterly*. 34, 7–27 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2011.537974>.

39.

Summers, T.: China's 'New Silk Roads': sub-national regions and networks of global political economy. *Third World Quarterly*. 37, 1628–1643 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2016.1153415>.

40.

Xiaoyu, P.: Socialisation as a Two-way Process: Emerging Powers and the Diffusion of International Norms. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 5, 341–367 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pos017>.

41.

Miller, M.C., Sullivan de Estrada, K.: Pragmatism in Indian foreign policy: how ideas constrain Modi. *International Affairs*. 93, 27–49 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iw001>.

42.

NARLIKAR, A.: India rising: responsible to whom? *International Affairs*. 89, 595–614 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12035>.

43.

IAN TAYLOR: India's rise in Africa. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 88, (2012).

44.

Foot, R., Gaddis, J.L., Hurrell, A., ebrary, Inc, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science: Order and justice in international relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

45.

Bloomfield, A.: India and the Libyan Crisis: Flirting with the Responsibility to Protect, Retreating to the Sovereignty Norm. *Contemporary Security Policy*. 36, 27–55 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13523260.2015.1012347>.

46.

Chiriyankandath, J.: Realigning India: Indian foreign policy after the Cold War. *The Round Table*. 93, 199–211 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00358530410001679567>.

47.

Ganguly, S., Pardesi, M.S.: Explaining Sixty Years of India's Foreign Policy. *India Review*. 8, 4–19 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480802665162>.

48.

Kampani, G.: New Delhi's Long Nuclear Journey. *International Security*. 38, 79–114 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00158.

49.

Andrew B. Kennedy: India's Nuclear Odyssey: Implicit Umbrellas, Diplomatic Disappointments, and the Bomb. *International Security*. 36, (2011).

50.

Ladwig, W.C.: Delhi's Pacific Ambition: Naval Power, "Look East," and India's Emerging Influence in the Asia-Pacific. *Asian Security*. 5, 87–113 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14799850902886476>.

51.

Ladwig, W.C.: India and Military Power Projection: Will the Land of Gandhi Become a Conventional Great Power? *Asian Survey*. 50, 1162–1183 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2010.50.6.1162>.

52.

Mehta, P.B.: Still Under Nehru's Shadow? The Absence of Foreign Policy Frameworks in India. *India Review*. 8, 209–233 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480903116750>.

53.

Michaelowa, KatharinaMichaelowa, Axel: India as an emerging power in international climate negotiations. *Climate Policy*. 12, 575–590 (2012).

54.

Chatterjee Miller, M.: The Un-Argumentative Indian?: Ideas About the Rise of India and Their Interaction With Domestic Structures. *India Review*. 13, 1–14 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2014.873670>.

55.

MUKHERJEE, R., MALONE, D.M.: Indian foreign policy and contemporary security challenges. *International Affairs*. 87, 87–104 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2011.00961.x>.

56.

Rohan Mukherjee and David M. Malone: From High Ground to High Table: The Evolution of Indian Multilateralism. *Global Governance*. 17, (2011).

57.

Amrita Narlikar: All That Glitters Is Not Gold: India's Rise to Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 28, (2007).

58.

Narang, V., Staniland, P.: Institutions and Worldviews in Indian Foreign Security Policy. *India Review*. 11, 76–94 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2012.674818>.

59.

PANT, H.V., SUPER, J.M.: India's 'non-alignment' conundrum: a twentieth-century policy in a changing world. *International Affairs*. 91, 747–764 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12336>.

60.

Pant, H.V.: INDIAN FOREIGN POLICY CHALLENGES: SUBSTANTIVE UNCERTAINTIES AND INSTITUTIONAL INFIRMITIES. *Asian Affairs*. 40, 90–101 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03068370802658724>.

61.

Scott, D.: India's "Extended Neighborhood" Concept: Power Projection for a Rising Power. *India Review*. 8, 107–143 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480902901038>.

62.

Vincent Wei-cheng Wang: 'Chindia' or Rivalry? Rising China, Rising India, and Contending Perspectives on India-China Relations. *Asian Perspective*. 35, (2011).

63.

Scott, D.: The Great Power 'Great Game' between India and China: 'The Logic of Geography'. *Geopolitics*. 13, 1–26 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650040701783243>.

64.

Pan, C.: The 'Indo-Pacific' and geopolitical anxieties about China's rise in the Asian regional order. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 68, 453–469 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2014.884054>.

65.

Kampani, G.: From existential to minimum deterrence: Explaining India's decision to test. *The Nonproliferation Review*. 6, 12–24 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10736709808436732>.

66.

Ollapally, D.M.: Understanding Indian policy dilemmas in the Indo-Pacific through an India-US-China maritime triangle lens. *Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India*. 12, 1–12 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09733159.2016.1181392>.

67.

Sornarajah, M., Wang, J., Cambridge Books Online EBS.: *China, India and the International Economic Order*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

68.

Brewster, D.: Beyond the 'String of Pearls': is there really a Sino-Indian security dilemma in the Indian Ocean? *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region*. 10, 133–149 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/19480881.2014.922350>.

69.

Palit, A.: India's Economic and Strategic Perceptions of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*. 22, 292–309 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1274305>.

70.

Pant, H.V., Cambridge Books Online EBS.: *The Rise of China: Implications for India*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

71.

Sharma, S.D., Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA.: *China and India in the Age of Globalization*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

72.

Brewster, D.: Indian Strategic Thinking about East Asia. *Journal of Strategic Studies*. 34, 825–852 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2011.627155>.

73.

Blanchard, J.-M.F., Flint, C.: The Geopolitics of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative. *Geopolitics*. 22, 223–245 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2017.1291503>.

74.

Lee, P.K., Chan, L.-H.: China's and India's perspectives on military intervention: why Africa but not Syria? *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 70, 179–214 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2015.1121968>.

75.

Turner, O.: China, India and the US Rebalance to the Asia Pacific: The Geopolitics of Rising Identities. *Geopolitics*. 21, 922–944 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1190708>.

76.

Guihong, Z.: US-India Strategic Partnership. *International Studies*. 42, 277–293 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/002088170504200306>.

77.

Abdenur, A.E., Folly, M., Moura, K., Jordão, S.A.S., Maia, P.: The BRICS and the South Atlantic: Emerging arena for South–South cooperation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 21, 303–319 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.972442>.

78.

van der Westhuizen, J.: Brazil and South Africa: the 'odd couple' of the South Atlantic? *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 54, 232–251 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151169>.

79.

Merke, F.: Neither balance nor bandwagon: South American international society meets Brazil's rising power. *International Politics*. 52, 178–192 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.49>.

80.

Ogunnubi: South Africa and the Question of Hegemony in Africa. *Journal of developing societies*. 33, (2017).

81.

Marco Antonio Vieira and Chris Alden: India, Brazil, and South Africa (IBSA): South-South Cooperation and the Paradox of Regional Leadership. *Global Governance*. 17, (2011).

82.

Jordaan, E.: Rising Powers and Human Rights: The India-Brazil-South Africa Dialogue Forum at the UN Human Rights Council. *Journal of Human Rights*. 14, 463–485 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14754835.2014.988784>.

83.

Chris Alden and Marco Antonio Vieira: The New Diplomacy of the South: South Africa, Brazil, India and Trilateralism. *Third World Quarterly*. 26, (2005).

84.

Carranza, M.E.: Rising Regional Powers and International Relations Theories: Comparing Brazil and India's Foreign Security Policies and Their Search for Great-Power Status. *Foreign Policy Analysis*. n/a-n/a (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/fpa.12065>.

85.

McDougall, D.: Responsibility While Protecting. *Global Responsibility to Protect*. 6, 64–87 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1163/1875984X-00601004>.

86.

Stuenkel, O., Tourinho, M.: Regulating intervention: Brazil and the responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 14, 379–402 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930593>.

87.

Abdenur, A.E.: Rising powers in stormy seas: Brazil and the UNIFIL maritime task force. *International Peacekeeping*. 23, 389–415 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2016.1155413>.

88.

Dauvergne, P., BL Farias, D.: The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 33, 903–917 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2012.674704>.

89.

de Freitas Barbosa, A., Narciso, T., Biancalana, M.: Brazil in Africa: Another Emerging Power in the Continent? *Politikon*. 36, 59–86 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02589340903155401>.

90.

Andrés Malamud: A Leader Without Followers? The Growing Divergence Between the Regional and Global Performance of Brazilian Foreign Policy. *Latin American Politics and Society*. 53, (2011).

91.

Oliveira, G. de L.T.: The geopolitics of Brazilian soybeans. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*. 43, 348–372 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2014.992337>.

92.

Sotero, P.: Brazil's Rising Ambition in a Shifting Global Balance of Power. *Politics*. 30, 71–81 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2010.01394.x>.

93.

Cunliffe, P., Kenkel, K.M. eds: Brazil as a rising power: intervention norms and the contestation of global order. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London (2016).

94.

Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA.: Accommodating Rising Powers: Past, Present, and Future. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).

95.

Chatin, M.: Brazil: analysis of a rising soft power. *Journal of Political Power*. 9, 369–393 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2016.1232286>.

96.

ALDEN, C., SCHOEMAN, M.: South Africa in the company of giants: the search for leadership in a transforming global order. *International Affairs*. 89, 111–129 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12007>.

97.

Alden, C., Soko, M.: South Africa's economic relations with Africa: hegemony and its discontents. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*. 43, 367–392 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X05001011>.

98.

Alden, C., Schoeman, M.: South Africa's symbolic hegemony in Africa. *International Politics*. 52, 239–254 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2014.47>.

99.

Bond, P.: Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'deputy sheriff' duty within. *Third World Quarterly*. 34, 251–270 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2013.775783>.

100.

Hornsby, D.J., Black, D.R.: Breaking with tradition? South Africa–UK relations. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*. 54, 268–286 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2016.1151167>.

101.

Pretorius, J., Sauer, T.: The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 21, 321–334 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273>.

102.

Verhoeven, H., Murthy, C.S.R., Soares de Oliveira, R.: 'Our identity is our currency': South Africa, the responsibility to protect and the logic of African intervention. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 14, 509–534 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930594>.

103.

Beresford, A.: A responsibility to protect Africa from the West? South Africa and the NATO intervention in Libya. *International Politics*. 52, 288–304 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2015.11>.

104.

Habib, A.: South Africa's foreign policy: hegemonic aspirations, neoliberal orientations and global transformation. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 16, 143–159 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220460903265857>.

105.

Janis Van Der Westhuizen: South Africa's Emergence as a Middle Power. *Third World Quarterly*. 19, (1998).

106.

Schoeman, P.M.: South Africa as an emerging middle power. *African Security Review*. 9, 47–58 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2000.9628050>.

107.

John J. Mearsheimer: Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: The Liberal Delusions That Provoked Putin. *Foreign Affairs*. 93, (2014).

108.

Alexander J. Motyl: THE SURREALISM OF REALISM: Misreading the War in Ukraine. *World Affairs*. 177, (2015).

109.

SAKWA, R.: 'New Cold War' or twenty years' crisis? Russia and international politics. *International Affairs*. 84, 241–267 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00702.x>.

110.

Derek Averre: From Pristina to Tskhinvali: The Legacy of Operation Allied Force in Russia's Relations with the West. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*. 85, (2009).

111.

Cooley, A.: Chapter 1: Russia and the Recent Evolution of the SCO: Issues and Challenges for U.S. Policy - American Academy of Arts & Sciences, <https://www.amacad.org/content/publications/pubContent.aspx?d=1135>.

112.

Forsberg, T., Herd, G.: Russia and NATO: From Windows of Opportunities to Closed Doors. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*. 23, 41–57 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001824>.

113.

Haukkala, H.: From Cooperative to Contested Europe? The Conflict in Ukraine as a Culmination of a Long-Term Crisis in EU–Russia Relations. *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*. 23, 25–40 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14782804.2014.1001822>.

114.

Hughes, J.: Russia and the Secession of Kosovo: Power, Norms and the Failure of Multilateralism. *Europe-Asia Studies*. 65, 992–1016 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2013.792448>.

115.

Howorth, J.: 'Stability on the Borders': The Ukraine Crisis and the EU's Constrained Policy Towards the Eastern Neighbourhood. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 55, 121–136 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12448>.

116.

Sebastian Job: Globalising Russia? The Neoliberal/Nationalist Two-Step and the Russification of the West. *Third World Quarterly*. 22, (2001).

117.

Kurowska, X.: Multipolarity as resistance to liberal norms: Russia's position on responsibility to protect. *Conflict, Security & Development*. 14, 489–508 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2014.930589>.

118.

Peng, C.: Why the Ukraine Crisis Is the West's Fault: A Historical and Philosophical Perspective. *International Critical Thought*. 7, 267–278 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21598282.2017.1316436>.

119.

Romanova, T.: Is Russian Energy Policy towards the EU Only about Geopolitics? The Case of the Third Liberalisation Package. *Geopolitics*. 21, 857–879 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2016.1155049>.

120.

Sakwa, R.: The problem of 'the international' in Russian identity formation. *International Politics*. 49, 449–465 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2012.10>.

121.

Sakwa, R., Cambridge Books Online Course Book EBA.: *Russia against the rest: the post-Cold War crisis of world order*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

122.

Hugh Gusterson: *Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination*. *Cultural Anthropology*. 14, (1999).

123.

Kenneth N. Waltz: Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs*. 91, (2012).

124.

Kahl, Colin H, Waltz, Kenneth N: Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*. 91, 157–162.

125.

Pretorius, J., Sauer, T.: The nuclear security discourse: Proliferation vs disarmament concerns. *South African Journal of International Affairs*. 21, 321–334 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10220461.2014.965273>.

126.

Gusterson, H.: Paranoid, Potbellied Stalinist Gets Nuclear Weapons. *The Nonproliferation Review*. 15, 21–42 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700701852894>.

127.

Scott Sagan, Kenneth Waltz and Richard K. Betts: A NUCLEAR IRAN: PROMOTING STABILITY OR COURTING DISASTER? *Journal of International Affairs*. 60, (2007).

128.

Moshirzadeh, H.: Discursive Foundations of Iran's Nuclear Policy. *Security Dialogue*. 38, 521–543 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010607084999>.

129.

Kenneth N. Waltz: Why Iran Should Get the Bomb: Nuclear Balancing Would Mean Stability. *Foreign Affairs*. 91, (2012).

130.

Kahl, Colin H, Waltz, Kenneth N: Iran and the Bomb: Would a Nuclear Iran Make the Middle East More Secure?/Waltz Replies. *Foreign Affairs*. 91, 157–162.

131.

Müller, H.: Icons Off the Mark. *The Nonproliferation Review*. 20, 545–565 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10736700.2013.849911>.

132.

Kumar, A.V., Cambridge Books Online EBS.: India and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime: The Perennial Outlier. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

133.

Buszynski, L.: The South China Sea: Oil, Maritime Claims, and U.S.–China Strategic Rivalry. *The Washington Quarterly*. 35, 139–156 (2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2012.666495>.

134.

M. Taylor Fravel: Regime Insecurity and International Cooperation: Explaining China's Compromises in Territorial Disputes. *International Security*. 30, 46–83 (2005).

135.

The nine-dash line in the South China Sea: History, status, and implications.

136.

Koo, M.G.: Belling the Chinese Dragon at Sea: Western Theories and Asian Realities. *Ocean Development & International Law*. 48, 52–68 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00908320.2017.1265365>.

137.

Jerden, B.: The Assertive China Narrative: Why It Is Wrong and How So Many Still Bought into It. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 7, 47–88 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pot019>.

138.

Kreuzer, P.: A Comparison of Malaysian and Philippine Responses to China in the South China Sea. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*. 9, 239–276 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cjip/pow008>.

139.

Lewis, J.W., Litai, X.: China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*. 72, 212–221 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00963402.2016.1194056>.

140.

Liff, A.P., Ikenberry, G.J.: Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*. 39, 52–91 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00176.

141.

MORTON, K.: China's ambition in the South China Sea: is a legitimate maritime order possible? *International Affairs*. 92, 909–940 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2346.12658>.

142.

Raine, S.: Beijing's South China Sea Debate. *Survival*. 53, 69–88 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2011.621633>.

143.

Scott, D.: India's Role in the South China Sea: Geopolitics and Geoeconomics in Play. *India Review*. 12, 51–69 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2013.786965>.

144.

Yahuda, M.: China's New Assertiveness in the South China Sea. *Journal of Contemporary China*. 22, 446–459 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10670564.2012.748964>.

145.

Zhang (张), F.: Assessing China's response to the South China Sea arbitration ruling. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*. 71, 440–459 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2017.1287876>.