

IP2031: Comparative Political Economy

View Online



1.
Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

2.
Clift B. Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

3.
Frieden JA. Global Capitalism: Its Fall and Rise in the Twentieth Century. W.W. Norton; 2007.

4.
Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

5.
Katzenstein PJ, EBL. Small States in World Markets: Industrial Policy in Europe. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 1985.
<http://city.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3425965>

6.

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

7.

Knutsen CH. Democracy and economic growth: A survey of arguments and results. *International Area Studies Review*. 2012;15(4):393-415. doi:10.1177/2233865912455268

8.

Cox G, McCubbins M. 'The Institutional Determinants of Economic Policy Outcomes. Presidents, parliaments, and policy. 2001;Political economy of institutions and decisions. http://wcfia.harvard.edu/files/wcfia/files/671_mccubbins_cox.pdf?m=1427473083

9.

Olson M. Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development. *The American Political Science Review*. 1993;87(3). doi:10.2307/2938736

10.

North DC, Weingast BR. Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England. *The Journal of Economic History*. 1989;49(04). doi:10.1017/S0022050700009451

11.

Olson M. *The Rise and Decline of Nations: Economic Growth, Stagflation, and Social Rigidities*. Yale University Press; 1982.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

12.

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd

ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

13.

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

14.

Quinn DP, Woolley JT. Democracy and National Economic Performance: The Preference for Stability. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2001;45(3). doi:10.2307/2669243

15.

Stasavage D. Credible Commitment in Early Modern Europe: North and Weingast Revisited. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 2002;18(1):155-186.

doi:10.1093/jleo/18.1.155

16.

Engerman SL, Sokoloff KL. Debating the Role of Institutions in Political and Economic Development: Theory, History, and Findings*. *Annual Review of Political Science*.

2008;11(1):119-135. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.120406.135217

17.

Doucoulagos H, Ulubaşoğlu MA. Democracy and Economic Growth: A Meta-Analysis.

American Journal of Political Science. 2008;52(1):61-83.

doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00299.x

18.

North DC. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press; 1990.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678>

19.

Acemoglu D, Robinson JA. *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Profile; 2012.

20.

Przeworski A, Alvarez ME, Cheibub JA, Limongi F. *Democracy and Development: Political Institutions and Well-Being in the World, 1950–1990*. Vol no. 3. Cambridge University Press; 2000.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804946>

21.

Hall PA, Taylor RCR. Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. *Political Studies*. 1996;44(5):936-957. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x

22.

Acemoglu D, Johnson S, Robinson JA. Institutions as a Fundamental Cause of Long-Run Growth. Aghion P, Durlauf S, eds. *Handbook of Economic Growth*. Published online 2005. <http://economics.mit.edu/files/4469>

23.

Barry R. Weingast. The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 1995;11(1):1-31. <http://jleo.oxfordjournals.org/content/11/1/1.extract>

24.

Rodrik D, Subramanian A, Trebbi F. Institutions Rule: The Primacy of Institutions Over Geography and Integration in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Growth*. 2004;9(2):131-165. doi:10.1023/B:JOEG.0000031425.72248.85

25.

Baum MA, Lake DA. The Political Economy of Growth: Democracy and Human Capital. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2003;47(2):333-347. doi:10.1111/1540-5907.00023

26.

Beaulieu E, Cox GW, Saiegh S. Sovereign Debt and Regime Type: Reconsidering the Democratic Advantage. *International Organization*. 2012;66(04):709-738. doi:10.1017/S0020818312000288

27.

Democracy, Autocracy, and Expropriation of Foreign Direct Investment. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009;42(8):1098-1127. doi:10.1177/0010414009331723

28.

Jensen NM. Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization*. 2003;57(03). doi:10.1017/S0020818303573040

29.

Schultz KA, Weingast BR. The Democratic Advantage: Institutional Foundations of Financial Power in International Competition. *International Organization*. 2003;57(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818303571065

30.

Gandhi J. Dictatorial Institutions and their Impact on Economic Growth. *European Journal of Sociology*. 2008;49(01). doi:10.1017/S0003975608000015

31.

Bailey MA, Goldstein J, Weingast BR. The Institutional Roots of American Trade Policy: Politics, Coalitions, and International Trade. *World Politics*. 1997;49(3):309-338. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054005?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

32.

Mansfield ED, Milner HV, Rosendorff BP. Free to Trade: Democracies, Autocracies, and International Trade. *The American Political Science Review*. 2000;94(2). doi:10.2307/2586014

33.

Rickard SJ. A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2012;56(4):777-785. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x

34.

Rickard SJ. A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2012;56(4):777-785. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x

35.

Rogowski R. Trade and the variety of democratic institutions. *International Organization*. 1987;41(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300027442

36.

Ehrlich SD. Access to Protection: Domestic Institutions and Trade Policy in Democracies. *International Organization*. 2007;61(03). doi:10.1017/S0020818307070191

37.

Hiscox MJ. The Magic Bullet? The RTAA, Institutional Reform, and Trade Liberalization. *International Organization*. 1999;53(04):669-698. doi:10.1162/002081899551039

38.

Wibbels E. Federalism and the Politics of Macroeconomic Policy and Performance. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2000;44(4). doi:10.2307/2669275

39.

Bernhard W, Leblang D. Democratic Institutions and Exchange-rate Commitments. *International Organization*. 1999;53(01):71-97. doi:10.1162/002081899550814

40.

Clift B. *Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism*. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

41.

North DC. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press; 1990.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678>

42.

North DC. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Vol The political economy of institutions and decisions. Cambridge University Press; 1990.

43.

Keech WR. *Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy*. Cambridge University Press; 1995.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609374>

44.

Keech WR. *Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy*. Cambridge University Press; 1995.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609374>

45.

Przeworski A, Limongi F. Political Regimes and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 1993;7(3):51-69. doi:10.1257/jep.7.3.51

46.

Schumpeter JA, Schumpeter JA, Stiglitz JE. Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy. Routledge; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=515353>

47.

Crepaz MML. Inclusion versus Exclusion: Political Institutions and Welfare Expenditures. *Comparative Politics*. 1998;31(1). doi:10.2307/422106

48.

Landa D, Kapstein EB. Inequality, Growth, and Democracy. *World Politics*. 2001;53(02):264-296. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0004

49.

Knack S, Keefer P. Does Inequality Harm Growth Only in Democracies? A Replication and Extension. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1997;41(1). doi:10.2307/2111719

50.

Wright J. Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2008;52(2):322-343. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00315.x

51.

Olson M. *The Rise and Decline of Nations*. Yale University Press

52.

Olson M. *The Rise and Decline of Nations: Economic Growth, Stagflation, and Social Rigidities*. Yale University Press; 1982. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

53.

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. *Beyond Varieties of Capitalism: Conflict, Contradictions, and Complementarities in the European Economy*. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

54.

Jensen NM. Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization*. 2003;57(03).
doi:10.1017/S0020818303573040

55.

Sylwester K. Does Democracy Increase Growth More in New Countries? *Economics & Politics*. Published online February 2015:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12055

56.

Chandra S, Rudra N. Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2015;45(02):253-285. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000355

57.

Moon C. Foreign Direct Investment, Commitment Institutions, and Time Horizon: How Some Autocrats Do Better than Others. *International Studies Quarterly*. Published online January 2015:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/isqu.12182

58.

Bak D. Political Investment Cycles in Democracies and Autocracies. *International Interactions*. 2016;42(5):797-819. doi:10.1080/03050629.2016.1173547

59.

Baumgartner FR, Carammia M, Epp DA, Noble B, Rey B, Yildirim TM. Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online

28 March 2017:1-17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482

60.

Chandra S, Rudra N. Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2015;45(02):253-285. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000355

61.

Osterloh S, Debus M. Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 2012;28(2):192-207. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002

62.

BUSEMEYER MR. From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2009;48(4):455-482. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x

63.

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2004;48(3):496-512. doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

64.

Bermeo N. Does Electoral Democracy Boost Economic Equality? *Journal of Democracy*. 2009;20(4):21-35. doi:10.1353/jod.0.0112

65.

Franzese RJ. Electoral and Partisan Cycles in Economic Policies and Outcomes. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2002;5(1):369-421. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.112801.080924

66.

Boix C. Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

67.

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

68.

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.oxfordhandbooks.com%2Fview%2F10.1093%2Foxfordhb%2F9780199548477.001.0001%2Foxfordhb-9780199548477>

69.

Leighley JE, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of American Elections and Political Behavior. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34390>

70.

Garrett G. Partisan Politics in the Global Economy. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

71.

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

72.

Boix C. Partisan Governments, the International Economy, and Macroeconomic Policies in Advanced Nations, 1960–93. *World Politics*. 2000;53(01):38-73. doi:10.1017/S0043887100009370

73.

Boix C. Political Parties and the Supply Side of the Economy: The Provision of Physical and Human Capital in Advanced Economies, 1960-90. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1997;41(3). doi:10.2307/2111676

74.

Boix C. *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 1998. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

75.

Tufte ER. *Political Control of the Economy*. New edition. Princeton University Press; 1980.

76.

Hibbs DA. Political Parties and Macroeconomic Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 1977;71(04).

77.

Oatley T. How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1999;43(4). doi:10.2307/2991815

78.

Garrett G, Lange P. Political responses to interdependence: what's "left" for the left? *International Organization*. 1991;45(04). doi:10.1017/S0020818300033208

79.

KORPI W, PALME J. New Politics and Class Politics in the Context of Austerity and Globalization: Welfare State Regress in 18 Countries, 1975–95. *American Political Science Review*. 2003;97(03). doi:10.1017/S0003055403000789

80.

Garrett G. Introduction. In: *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. Vol Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge University Press; 1998:1-25. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

81.

Garrett G. *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 1998. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

82.

Tavitis M, Letki N. When Left Is Right: Party Ideology and Policy in Post-Communist Europe. *American Political Science Review*. 2009;103(04). doi:10.1017/S0003055409990220

83.

Hibbs DA. Partisan theory after fifteen years. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 1992;8(3):361-373. doi:10.1016/0176-2680(92)90001-W

84.

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2004;48(3):496-512. doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

85.

Canes-Wrone B, Park JK. Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review*. 2012;106(01):103-122. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000529

86.

Alesina A, Rosenthal H. *Partisan Politics, Divided Government, and the Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 1995.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720512>

87.

Kayser MA. Who Surfs, Who Manipulates? The Determinants of Opportunistic Election Timing and Electorally Motivated Economic Intervention. *American Political Science Review*. 2005;99(01):17-27.

88.

Scheve K, Stasavage D. Institutions, Partisanship, and Inequality in the Long Run. *World Politics*. 2009;61(02). doi:10.1017/S0043887109000094

89.

Alvarez RM, Garrett G, Lange P. Government Partisanship, Labor Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance. *The American Political Science Review*. 1991;85(2). doi:10.2307/1963174

90.

Blais A, Blake D, Dion S. Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1993;37(1). doi:10.2307/2111523

91.

Blais A, Blake D, Dion S. Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1993;37(1). doi:10.2307/2111523

92.

Cusack TR. Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1999;32(4):464-486. doi:10.1177/0010414099032004003

93.

Drazen A. The Political Business Cycle After 25 Years. NBER Chapters.:75-138.
<https://ideas.repec.org/h/nbr/nberch/11055.html>

94.

Clark WR, Hallerberg M. Mobile Capital, Domestic Institutions, and Electorally Induced Monetary and Fiscal Policy. *The American Political Science Review*. 2000;94(2).
doi:10.2307/2586015

95.

Alesina A, Roubini N, Cohen GD. *Political Cycles and the Macroeconomy*. MIT Press; 1997.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&AN=11395&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

96.

Clark WR, Golder SN, Poast P. Monetary Institutions and the Political Survival of Democratic Leaders. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2013;57(3):556-567.
doi:10.1111/isqu.12013

97.

Oatley T. How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1999;43(4). doi:10.2307/2991815

98.

Camyar I. Political Parties, Supply-Side Strategies, and Firms: The Political Micro-Economy of Partisan Politics. *The Journal of Politics*. 2014;76(03):725-739.
doi:10.1017/S0022381614000164

99.

Sáez L. The Political Budget Cycle and Subnational Debt Expenditures in Federations: Panel Data Evidence from India. *Governance*. Published online January 2015:n/a-n/a.

doi:10.1111/gove.12130

100.

Erikson RS, Wlezien C. Forecasting US Presidential Elections Using Economic and Noneconomic Fundamentals. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 2014;47(02):313-316. doi:10.1017/S1049096514000092

101.

Efthyvoulou G. Political budget cycles in the European Union and the impact of political pressures. *Public Choice*. 2012;153(3-4):295-327. doi:10.1007/s11127-011-9795-x

102.

Bove V, Efthyvoulou G. Political Cycles in Public Expenditure: Butter vs. Guns. *Sheffield Economic Research Paper Series*. Published online 2013. http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.331548!/file/serps_2013016.pdf

103.

Kim H, Kwon C. The Effects of Fiscal Consolidation and Welfare Composition of Spending on Electoral Outcomes: Evidence from US Gubernatorial Elections between 1978 and 2006. *New Political Economy*. 2015;20(2):228-253. doi:10.1080/13563467.2014.923822

104.

Krause GA. Voters, Information Heterogeneity, and the Dynamics of Aggregate Economic Expectations. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1997;41(4). doi:10.2307/2960486

105.

Weschle S. Two types of economic voting: How economic conditions jointly affect vote choice and turnout. *Electoral Studies*. 2014;34:39-53. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2013.10.007

106.

Ademmer E, Dreher F. Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2016;54(3):508-524. doi:10.1111/jcms.12306

107.

Hellwig T. Explaining the salience of left-right ideology in postindustrial democracies: The role of structural economic change. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2008;47(6):687-709. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2008.00778.x

108.

Pinto PM, Weymouth S. Partisan Cycles in Offshore Outsourcing: Evidence from U.S. Imports. *Economics & Politics*. Published online July 2016. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12078

109.

Loftis MW, Mortensen PB. A new approach to the study of partisan effects on social policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 31 March 2017:1-22. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1298656

110.

Healy AJ, Persson M, Snowberg E. Digging into the Pocketbook: Evidence on Economic Voting from Income Registry Data Matched to a Voter Survey. *American Political Science Review*. Published online 5 September 2017:1-15. doi:10.1017/S0003055417000314

111.

Trein P, Beckmann R, Walter S. German Voters in Times of Crisis: The Impact of Perceptions and Economic Context on Electoral Behaviour. *German Politics*. 2017;26(3):414-439. doi:10.1080/09644008.2016.1266482

112.

Dassonneville R, Hooghe M. Economic indicators and electoral volatility: economic effects on electoral volatility in Western Europe, 1950–2013. *Comparative European Politics*. 2017;15(6):919-943. doi:10.1057/cep.2015.3

113.

JENSEN C. Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2010;49(2):282-299. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x

114.

Busemeyer MR. *Skills and Inequality*. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

115.

Potrafke N. Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. Published online December 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004

116.

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 2012;31(5):1126-1139. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

117.

CANES-WRONE B, PARK JK. Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review*. 2012;106(01):103-122. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000529

118.

Potrafke N. Did globalization restrict partisan politics? An empirical evaluation of social expenditures in a panel of OECD countries. *Public Choice*. 2009;140(1-2):105-124. doi:10.1007/s11127-009-9414-2

119.

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2015;54(1):1-22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

120.

Tavares J. Does right or left matter? Cabinets, credibility and fiscal adjustments. *Journal of Public Economics*. 2004;88(12):2447-2468. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2003.11.001

121.

Tingley D. Donors and domestic politics: Political influences on foreign aid effort. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*. 2010;50(1):40-49. doi:10.1016/j.qref.2009.10.003

122.

Dellmuth LM, Schraff D, Stoffel MF. Distributive Politics, Electoral Institutions and European Structural and Investment Funding: Evidence from Italy and France. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2017;55(2):275-293. doi:10.1111/jcms.12433

123.

Pinto L. Like Leaves in the Wind? Economic Conditions and Government Survival in Italy (1946–2015). *South European Society and Politics*. Published online 15 November 2017:1-24. doi:10.1080/13608746.2017.1398626

124.

Tilley J, Neundorf A, Hobolt SB. When the Pound in People's Pocket Matters: How Changes to Personal Financial Circumstances Affect Party Choice. *The Journal of Politics*. Published online 25 January 2018:000-000. doi:10.1086/694549

125.

Fortunato D, Loftis MW. Cabinet Durability and Fiscal Discipline. *American Political Science Review*. Published online 5 September 2018:1-15. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000436

126.

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. Published online 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

127.

Potrafke N. Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. 2017;45(4):712-750. doi:10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004

128.

Schmitt C. Panel data analysis and partisan variables: how periodization does influence partisan effects. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2016;23(10):1442-1459. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1091030

129.

Bremer B. The missing left? Economic crisis and the programmatic response of social democratic parties in Europe. *Party Politics*. 2018;24(1):23-38. doi:10.1177/1354068817740745

130.

Galasso V. The role of political partisanship during economic crises. *Public Choice*. 2014;158(1-2):143-165. doi:10.1007/s11127-012-9956-6

131.

King G, Rosen O, Tanner M, Wagner AF. Ordinary Economic Voting Behavior in the Extraordinary Election of Adolf Hitler. *The Journal of Economic History*. 2008;68(04). doi:10.1017/S0022050708000788

132.

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. Published online 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

133.

Dassonneville R, Lewis-Beck MS. A changing economic vote in Western Europe? Long-term vs. short-term forces. *European Political Science Review*. 2019;11(01):91-108. doi:10.1017/S1755773918000231

134.

Godwin K, Ainsworth SH, Godwin E. Lobbying and Policymaking: The Public Pursuit of Private Interests. CQ Press; 2013.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fsk.sagepub.com%2Fcqpress%2Flobbying-and-policymaking>

135.

Falkner R. Business Power and Conflict in International Environmental Politics. Palgrave Macmillan; 2009.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Fink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230277892>

136.

Colli F, Kerremans B. Searching for influence: interest groups and social movements in the European Union. *Journal of European Integration*. Published online 27 November 2017:1-7. doi:10.1080/07036337.2018.1406882

137.

Gilens M, Page BI. Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2014;12(03):564-581.

doi:10.1017/S1537592714001595

138.

Coen D, Wilson GK, Wilson G, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of Business and Government*. Oxford University Press; 2010.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34537>

139.

Vogel D. Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1987;17(04).

doi:10.1017/S0007123400004841

140.

Rasmussen A, Carroll BJ. Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2014;44(02):445-459. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000750

141.

Woll C. *The Power of Inaction: Bank Bailouts in Comparison*. Cornell University Press; 2014. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fstable%2F10.7591%2Fj.ctt5hh1zh>

142.

Clift B. *Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism*. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

143.

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

144.

Swenson PA. *Varieties of Capitalist Interests: Power, Institutions, and the Regulatory Welfare State in the United States and Sweden*. *Studies in American Political Development*. 2004;18(01). doi:10.1017/S0898588X0400001X

145.

Esping-Andersen G. *Politics without Class: Postindustrial Cleavages in Europe and America*. Vol Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. (Kitschelt H, Lange P, Marks G, Stephens JD, eds.). Cambridge University Press; 1999:293-316. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139175050>

146.

Hacker JS, Pierson P. *Winner-Take-All Politics: Public Policy, Political Organization, and the*

Precipitous Rise of Top Incomes in the United States. *Politics & Society*. 2010;38(2):152-204. doi:10.1177/0032329210365042

147.

Fuchs D, Lederer MM. The Power of Business. *Business and Politics*. 2008;9(3). doi:10.2202/1469-3569.1214

148.

Culpepper PD. Structural power and political science in the post-crisis era. *Business and Politics*. 2015;17(3). doi:10.1515/bap-2015-0031

149.

Bell S. The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2012;56(4):661-673. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x

150.

Culpepper PD, Reinke R. Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society*. 2014;42(4):427-454. doi:10.1177/0032329214547342

151.

Przeworski A, Wallerstein M. Structural Dependence of the State on Capital. *The American Political Science Review*. 1988;82(1). doi:10.2307/1958056

152.

Becker GS. A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 1983;98(3). doi:10.2307/1886017

153.

COEN D. The European Business Interest and the Nation State: Large-firm Lobbying in the European Union and Member States. *Journal of Public Policy*. 1998;18(1):75-100. doi:10.1017/S0143814X9800004X

154.

Rasmussen A, Carroll BJ. Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2014;44(02):445-459. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000750

155.

Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of Historical Institutionalism*. First edition. (Fioretos KO, Falletti TG, Sheingate AD, eds.). Oxford University Press; 2016.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.oxfordhandbooks.com%2Fview%2F10.1093%2Foxfordhb%2F9780199662814.001.0001%2Foxfordhb-9780199662814>

156.

Baumgartner FR, Berry JM, Hojnacki M, EBL. *Lobbying and Policy Change: Who Wins, Who Loses, and Why*. University of Chicago Press; 2009.
<http://city.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=471787>

157.

Levy D, Aseem Prakash. Bargains Old and New: Multinational Corporations in Global Governance. *Business and Politics*. 2003;5(2).
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.132.9040&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

158.

Siaroff A. Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: Meaning and measurement. *European Journal of Political Research*. 1999;36(2):175-205. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.00467

159.

Hicks A. National Collective Action and Economic Performance: A Review Article. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1988;32(2). doi:10.2307/2600624

160.

Binderkrantz AS, Christiansen PM. From classic to modern corporatism. Interest group representation in Danish public committees in 1975 and 2010. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2015;22(7):1022-1039. doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.1000365

161.

Schmitter PC. The Changing Politics of Organised Interests. *West European Politics*. 2008;31(1-2):195-210. doi:10.1080/01402380701834994

162.

Eising R. The access of business interests to EU institutions: towards élite pluralism? *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2007;14(3):384-403. doi:10.1080/13501760701243772

163.

Olson M. *The Rise and Decline of Nations: Economic Growth, Stagflation, and Social Rigidities*. Yale University Press; 1982.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

164.

Olson M. *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Vol v. 124. Harvard University Press; 1971.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=282683&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

165.

Naoi M, Krauss E. Who Lobbies Whom? Special Interest Politics under Alternative Electoral Systems. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2009;53(4):874-892.
doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2009.00406.x

166.

Hall R, Deardorff A. Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review*. 2006;100(01). doi:10.1017/S0003055406062010

167.

Thelen K, Fulcher J, Streeck W, Swenson P, Turner L. Beyond Corporatism: Toward a New Framework for the Study of Labor in Advanced Capitalism. *Comparative Politics*. 1994;27(1). doi:10.2307/422220

168.

Halpin D, Jordan AG. *The Scale of Interest Organization in Democratic Politics: Data and Research Methods*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230359239>

169.

Wallerstein M, Western B. Unions in Decline? What Has Changed and Why. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2000;3(1):355-377. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.355

170.

Woll C. *Firm Interests: How Governments Shape Business Lobbying on Global Trade*. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 2008.

171.

Culpepper PD. *Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan*. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

172.

Stigler GJ. The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*. 1971;2(1). doi:10.2307/3003160

173.

Michael J. Hiscox. 'Class Versus Industry Cleavages: Inter-Industry Factor Mobility and the

Politics of Trade. *International Organization*. 2001;55(1):1-46.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078596>

174.

Rogowski R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *The American Political Science Review*. 1987;81(4):1121-1137.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

175.

Rogowski R. *Commerce and Coalitions: How Trade Affects Domestic Political Alignments*. Princeton University Press; 1990.

176.

Alt JE, Gilligan M. The Political Economy of Trading States: Factor Specificity, Collective Action Problems and Domestic Political Institutions. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 1994;2(2):165-192. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.1994.tb00020.x

177.

Alt JE, Carlsen F, Heum P, Johansen K. Asset Specificity and the Political Behavior of Firms: Lobbying for Subsidies in Norway. *International Organization*. 1999;53(01):99-116.
doi:10.1162/002081899550823

178.

Martin CJ, Swank D. *The Political Construction of Business Interests: Coordination, Growth, and Equality*. Cambridge University Press; 2012.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139088299>

179.

Swank D. Politics and the Structural Dependence of the State in Democratic Capitalist Nations. *The American Political Science Review*. 1992;86(1). doi:10.2307/1964014

180.

Vogel D. Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1987;17(04). doi:10.1017/S0007123400004841

181.

Walker ET, Rea CM. The Political Mobilization of Firms and Industries. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2014;40(1):281-304. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043215

182.

Culpepper PD, Reinke R. Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society*. 2014;42(4):427-454. doi:10.1177/0032329214547342

183.

Hall R, Deardorff A. Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review*. 2006;100(01). doi:10.1017/S0003055406062010

184.

Ademmer E, Dreher F. Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2016;54(3):508-524. doi:10.1111/jcms.12306

185.

De Bruycker I. Pressure and Expertise: Explaining the Information Supply of Interest Groups in EU Legislative Lobbying. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 2016;54(3):599-616. doi:10.1111/jcms.12298

186.

Bell S, Hindmoor A. Structural Power and the Politics of Bank Capital Regulation in the United Kingdom. *Political Studies*. Published online 13 May 2016. doi:10.1177/0032321716629479

187.

Woll C. Politics in the Interest of Capital: A Not-So-Organized Combat. *Politics & Society*. 2016;44(3):373-391. doi:10.1177/0032329216655318

188.

Chalmers AW. When Banks Lobby: The Effects of Organizational Characteristics and Banking Regulations on International Bank Lobbying. *Business and Politics*. 2017;19(01):107-134. doi:10.1017/bap.2016.7

189.

Hofman A, Aalbers MB. Spaces of lobbying. *Geography Compass*. 2017;11(3). doi:10.1111/gec3.12309

190.

Kastner L. From Outsiders to Insiders: A Civil Society Perspective on EU Financial Reforms. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. Published online 9 October 2017. doi:10.1111/jcms.12644

191.

Dür A, Mateo G. Public opinion and interest group influence: how citizen groups derailed the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2014;21(8):1199-1217. doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.900893

192.

Bell S. The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2012;56(4):661-673. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x

193.

Fairfield T. Structural power in comparative political economy: perspectives from policy formulation in Latin America. *Business and Politics*. 2015;17(03):411-441. doi:10.1515/bap-2014-0047

194.

Giger N, Klüver H. Voting Against Your Constituents? How Lobbying Affects Representation. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2016;60(1):190-205. doi:10.1111/ajps.12183

195.

Evans A. The politics of pro-worker reforms. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 6 November 2018. doi:10.1093/soceco/mwy042

196.

Beyers J, Braun C. Ties that count: explaining interest group access to policymakers. *Journal of Public Policy*. 2014;34(01):93-121. doi:10.1017/S0143814X13000263

197.

Woll C. Leading the Dance? Power and Political Resources of Business Lobbyists. *Journal of Public Policy*. 2007;27(01). doi:10.1017/S0143814X07000633

198.

Jackson G, Deeg R. How Many Varieties of Capitalism? Comparing the Comparative Institutional Analyses of Capitalist Diversity. Published online 2006. http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp06-2.pdf

199.

Witt MA, Redding G. Asian business systems: institutional comparison, clusters and implications for varieties of capitalism and business systems theory. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2013;11(2):265-300. doi:10.1093/ser/mwt002

200.

Feldmann M. Global Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics*. 2019;71(1):162-196. doi:10.1017/S0043887118000230

201.

Morgan G, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Institutional Analysis. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34531>

202.

Hall PA, Soskice DW. Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage. Oxford University Press; 2001.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

203.

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. Beyond Varieties of Capitalism: Conflict, Contradictions, and Complementarities in the European Economy. Oxford University Press; 2007.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

204.

Overbeek H, Apeldoorn B van. Neoliberalism in Crisis. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9781137002471>

205.

Katzenstein PJ. Small States in World Markets: Industrial Policy in Europe. Cornell University Press; 1985.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3425965>

206.

Hall PA, Thelen K. Institutional change in varieties of capitalism. Socio-Economic Review. 2008;7(1):7-34. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn020

207.

Hall PA, Gingerich DW. Varieties of Capitalism and Institutional Complementarities in the

Political Economy: An Empirical Analysis. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2009;39(03). doi:10.1017/S0007123409000672

208.

Howell C. Varieties of Capitalism: And Then There Was One? *Comparative Politics*. 2003;36(1):103-124. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150162?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

209.

Thelen K. Varieties of Capitalism: Trajectories of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2012;15(1):137-159. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-070110-122959

210.

Crouch C. *Capitalist Diversity and Change: Recombinant Governance and Institutional Entrepreneurs*. Oxford University Press; 2005. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&AN=149356&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

211.

Thelen K. *How Institutions Evolve: The Political Economy of Skills in Germany, Britain, the United States, and Japan*. Cambridge University Press; 2004. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790997>

212.

Streeck W, Yamamura Kμ. *The Origins of Nonliberal Capitalism: Germany and Japan in Comparison*. Cornell University Press; 2001.

213.

Thatcher M. Varieties of Capitalism in an Internationalized World: Domestic Institutional Change in European Telecommunications. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2004;37(7):751-780. doi:10.1177/0010414004266868

214.

Berger S, Dore R. National Diversity and Global Capitalism. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 1996.

215.

Deeg R, Jackson G. Towards a more dynamic theory of capitalist variety. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2006;5(1):149-179. doi:10.1093/ser/mwl021

216.

Carney RW. Chinese Capitalism in the OECD Mirror. *New Political Economy*. 2009;14(1):71-99. doi:10.1080/13563460802673309

217.

Carlin W, Soskice D. German economic performance: disentangling the role of supply-side reforms, macroeconomic policy and coordinated economy institutions. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2008;7(1):67-99. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn021

218.

Feldmann M. Emerging Varieties of Capitalism in Transition Countries: Industrial Relations and Wage Bargaining in Estonia and Slovenia. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2006;39(7):829-854. doi:10.1177/0010414006288261

219.

Nölke A, Vliegenthart A. Enlarging the Varieties of Capitalism: The Emergence of Dependent Market Economies in East Central Europe. *World Politics*. 2009;61(04). doi:10.1017/S0043887109990098

220.

Schneider BR. Hierarchical Market Economies and Varieties of Capitalism in Latin America. *Journal of Latin American Studies*. 2009;41(03). doi:10.1017/S0022216X09990186

221.

Streeck W. E Pluribus Unum? Varieties and Commonalities of Capitalism. Published online 2010. http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp10-12.pdf

222.

Soederberg S, Menz G, Cerny PG. Internalizing Globalization: The Rise of Neoliberalism and the Decline of National Varieties of Capitalism. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230524439>

223.

Nölke A. International financial regulation and domestic coalitions in state-permeated capitalism: China and global banking rules. *International Politics*. 2015;52(6):743-759. doi:10.1057/ip.2015.17

224.

Storz C, Amable B, Casper S, Lechevalier S. Bringing Asia into the comparative capitalism perspective. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2013;11(2):217-232. doi:10.1093/ser/mwt004

225.

Cusack TR, Iversen T, Soskice D. Economic Interests and the Origins of Electoral Systems. *American Political Science Review*. 2007;101(03). doi:10.1017/S0003055407070384

226.

Allen M. The varieties of capitalism paradigm: not enough variety? *Socio-Economic Review*. 2004;2(1):87-108. doi:10.1093/soceco/2.1.87

227.

Wood G, Dibben P, Ogden S. Comparative Capitalism without Capitalism, and Production without Workers: The Limits and Possibilities of Contemporary Institutional Analysis. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 2014;16(4):384-396.

doi:10.1111/ijmr.12025

228.

McNally CA. Sino-Capitalism: China's Reemergence and the International Political Economy. *World Politics*. 2012;64(04):741-776. doi:10.1017/S0043887112000202

229.

Darcillon T. How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2015;13(3):477-504. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu038

230.

Piore MJ. Varieties of Capitalism Theory: Its Considerable Limits. *Politics & Society*. 2016;44(2):237-241. doi:10.1177/0032329216638059

231.

Schneider MR, Paunescu M. Changing varieties of capitalism and revealed comparative advantages from 1990 to 2005: a test of the Hall and Soskice claims. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2012;10(4):731-753. doi:10.1093/ser/mwr038

232.

Farkas B. *Models of Capitalism in the European Union: Post-Crisis Perspectives*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2016.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9781137600578>

233.

Farkas B. The Central and Eastern European model of capitalism. *Post-Communist Economies*. 2011;23(1):15-34. doi:10.1080/14631377.2011.546972

234.

Hall PA. Varieties of capitalism in light of the euro crisis. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2018;25(1):7-30. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1310278

235.

Casey T. Mapping stability and change in advanced capitalisms. *Comparative European Politics*. 2009;7(2):255-278. doi:10.1057/cep.2008.19

236.

Hay C. Does capitalism (still) come in varieties? *Review of International Political Economy*. Published online 2 July 2019:1-18. doi:10.1080/09692290.2019.1633382

237.

Hall PA. Policy Paradigms, Social Learning, and the State: The Case of Economic Policymaking in Britain. *Comparative Politics*. 1993;25(3). doi:10.2307/422246

238.

Schmidt VA, Thatcher M, eds. *Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139857086>

239.

Farrell H, Quiggin J. Consensus, Dissensus and Economic Ideas: The Rise and Fall of Keynesianism During the Economic Crisis. Published online 2012.
<http://www.henryfarrell.net/Keynes.pdf>

240.

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

241.

Widmaier W. The power of economic ideas – through, over and in – political time: the construction, conversion and crisis of the neoliberal order in the US and UK. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 23 December 2015:1-19. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115890

242.

Schmidt VA, Thatcher M, eds. *Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 2013. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139857086>

243.

Hall PA, Social Science Research Council (U.S.). *Committee on States and Social Structures. The Political Power of Economic Ideas: Keynesianism across Nations*. Princeton University Press; 1989.

244.

Blyth M. *Great Transformations: Economic Ideas and Institutional Change in the Twentieth Century*. Cambridge University Press; 2002. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087230>

245.

Blyth M. The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change. *World Politics*. 2001;54(01):1-26. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0020

246.

Blyth MM. 'Any More Bright Ideas?' The Ideational Turn of Comparative Political Economy. *Comparative Politics*. 1997;29(2). doi:10.2307/422082

247.

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. *Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in*

International Relations and Comparative Politics. Annual Review of Political Science. 2001;4(1):391-416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

248.

Blyth M. Paradigms and Paradox: The Politics of Economic Ideas in Two Moments of Crisis. Governance. 2013;26(2):197-215. doi:10.1111/gove.12010

249.

Blyth M, EBL. Austerity: The History of a Dangerous Idea. Oxford University Press; 2013. <http://city.ebllib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1141983>

250.

Ban C, Blyth M. The BRICs and the Washington Consensus: An introduction. Review of International Political Economy. 2013;20(2):241-255. doi:10.1080/09692290.2013.779374

251.

Ferchen M. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. Review of International Political Economy. 2013;20(2):390-420. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660184

252.

Mukherji R. Ideas, interests, and the tipping point: Economic change in India. Review of International Political Economy. 2013;20(2):363-389. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.716371

253.

Ban C. Brazil's liberal neo-developmentalism: New paradigm or edited orthodoxy? Review of International Political Economy. 2013;20(2):298-331. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660183

254.

Babb S. The Washington Consensus as transnational policy paradigm: Its origins, trajectory and likely successor. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2013;20(2):268-297. doi:10.1080/09692290.2011.640435

255.

Blyth M. Austerity as ideology: A reply to my critics. *Comparative European Politics*. 2013;11(6):737-751. doi:10.1057/cep.2013.25

256.

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. Policy ideas, knowledge regimes and comparative political economy. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2015;13(4):679-701. doi:10.1093/ser/mwv004

257.

Culpepper PD. The Politics of Common Knowledge: Ideas and Institutional Change in Wage Bargaining. *International Organization*. 2008;62(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818308080016

258.

Jacobsen JK. Much Ado About Ideas: The Cognitive Factor in Economic Policy. *World Politics*. 1995;47(02):283-310. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016117

259.

McNamara KR. *The Currency of Ideas: Monetary Politics in the European Union*. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Pbk. ed. Cornell University Press; 1999.

260.

Abdelal R, Blyth M, Parsons C. *Constructing the International Economy*. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Cornell University Press; 2010.

261.

Abdelal R, Blyth M, Parsons C. *Constructing the International Economy*. Cornell University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7591/j>.

ctt7v789

262.

Crouch C, EBL. Strange Non-Death of Neo-Liberalism. Polity Press; 2013.
<http://city.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1184115>

263.

Peter M. Haas. Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. International Organization. 1992;46(1):1-35. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706951>

264.

SCHMIDT VA. Does Discourse Matter in the Politics of Welfare State Adjustment? Comparative Political Studies. 2002;35(2):168-193. doi:10.1177/0010414002035002002

265.

Clift B. Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

266.

Blyth M. The new Ideas scholarship in the mirror of historical institutionalism: a case of old whines in new bottles? Journal of European Public Policy. Published online 15 December 2015:1-8. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1118292

267.

Matthijs M. Powerful rules governing the euro: the perverse logic of German ideas. Journal of European Public Policy. Published online 24 December 2015:1-17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115535

268.

Carstensen MB, Schmidt VA. Power through, over and in ideas: conceptualizing ideational

power in discursive institutionalism. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 23 December 2015:1-20. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115534

269.

Morrison JA. Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. *International Organization*. Published online 14 December 2015:1-33. doi:10.1017/S0020818315000314

270.

Helgadóttir O. The Bocconi boys go to Brussels: Italian economic ideas, professional networks and European austerity. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 30 November 2015:1-18. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1106573

271.

Rodrik D. When Ideas Trump Interests: Preferences, Worldviews, and Policy Innovations. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 2014;28(1):189-208. doi:10.1257/jep.28.1.189

272.

Béland D, Cox RH, *Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Ideas and Politics in Social Science Research*. Oxford University Press; 2011.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F5465>

273.

Appel H, Orenstein MA. Why did Neoliberalism Triumph and Endure in the Post-Communist World? *Comparative Politics*. 2016;48(3):313-331. doi:10.5129/001041516818254419

274.

Stirling A, Laybourn-Langton L. Time for a New Paradigm? Past and Present Transitions in Economic Policy. *The Political Quarterly*. Published online 1 September 2017. doi:10.1111/1467-923X.12415

275.

Hopkin J, Rosamond B. Post-truth Politics, Bullshit and Bad Ideas: 'Deficit Fetishism' in the UK. *New Political Economy*. Published online 14 September 2017:1-15.
doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1373757

276.

Christensen J, Ebook Central. *The Power of Economists within the State*. Stanford University Press; 2017.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=4862151>

277.

Morrison JA. Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. *International Organization*. 2016;70(01):175-207.
doi:10.1017/S0020818315000314

278.

Bremer B, McDaniel S. The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the context of the great recession. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 14 February 2019. doi:10.1093/ser/mwz001

279.

Salverda W, Nolan B, Smeeding TM, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of Economic Inequality*. Oxford University Press; 2009.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34553>

280.

Boix C, Stokes SC, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F28345>

281.

Pierson P. The New Politics of the Welfare State. *World Politics*. 1996;48(02):143-179. doi:10.1353/wp.1996.0004

282.

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. *Principles of Comparative Politics*. Third edition, International student edition. SAGE; 2018.

283.

Rudra N. *Globalization and the Race to the Bottom in Developing Countries: Who Really Gets Hurt?* Cambridge University Press; 2008.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491870>

284.

Esping-Andersen G. *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*. Polity; 1990.

285.

Garrett G. Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle? *International Organization*. 1998;52(4):787-824. doi:10.1162/002081898550752

286.

Scheve K. Religion and Preferences for Social Insurance. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*. 2006;1(3):255-286. doi:10.1561/100.00005052

287.

Alesina A, Glaeser E, Sacerdote B. *Why Doesn't The US Have A European-Style Welfare State?* Published online 2011.
http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/glaeser/files/why_doesnt_the_u.s._have_a_european-style_welfare_state.pdf

288.

Iversen T, Cusack TR. The Causes of Welfare State Expansion: Deindustrialization or Globalization? *World Politics*. 2000;52(03):313-349. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016567

289.

Cusack T, Iversen T, Rehm P. Risks at Work: The Demand and Supply Sides of Government Redistribution. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 2006;22(3):365-389. doi:10.1093/oxrep/grj022

290.

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

291.

Boix C. *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press; 1998. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

292.

Cusack TR. Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy. *Comparative Political Studies*. 1999;32(4):464-486. doi:10.1177/0010414099032004003

293.

Iversen T, Soskice D. Electoral Institutions and the Politics of Coalitions: Why Some Democracies Redistribute More Than Others. *American Political Science Review*. 2006;100(02). doi:10.1017/S0003055406062083

294.

Iversen T. *Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare*. Cambridge University Press; 2005. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511758645>

295.

Whitten GD, Williams LK. Buttery Guns and Welfare Hawks: The Politics of Defense Spending in Advanced Industrial Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2011;55(1):117-134. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00479.x

296.

Lupu N, Pontusson J. The Structure of Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution. *American Political Science Review*. 2011;105(02):316-336. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000128

297.

Iversen T, Soskice D. Distribution and Redistribution: The Shadow of the Nineteenth Century. *World Politics*. 2009;61(03). doi:10.1017/S004388710900015X

298.

Bartels LM. Homer Gets a Tax Cut: Inequality and Public Policy in the American Mind. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2005;3(01). doi:10.1017/S1537592705050036

299.

Ross F. Cutting Public Expenditures in Advanced Industrial Democracies: The Importance of Avoiding Blame. *Governance*. 1997;10(2):175-200. doi:10.1111/0952-1895.361997036

300.

Quinn DP, Shapiro RY. Business Political Power: The Case of Taxation. *The American Political Science Review*. 1991;85(3). doi:10.2307/1963853

301.

Moene KO, Wallerstein M. Earnings Inequality and Welfare Spending: A Disaggregated Analysis. *World Politics*. 2003;55(04):485-516. doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0022

302.

Hicks A, Kenworthy L. Varieties of welfare capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2003;1(1):27-61. doi:10.1093/soceco/1.1.27

303.

Swenson P, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Capitalists against Markets: The Making of Labor Markets and Welfare States in the United States and Sweden. Oxford University Press; 2002.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F11836>

304.

Giger N, Nelson M. The electoral consequences of welfare state retrenchment: Blame avoidance or credit claiming in the era of permanent austerity? *European Journal of Political Research*. 2011;50(1):1-23. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01922.x

305.

Mares I. The Sources of Business Interest in Social Insurance: Sectoral versus National Differences. *World Politics*. 2003;55(02):229-258. doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0012

306.

Rehm P, Hacker JS, Schlesinger M. Insecure Alliances: Risk, Inequality, and Support for the Welfare State. *American Political Science Review*. 2012;106(02):386-406. doi:10.1017/S0003055412000147

307.

Swank D, Martin CJ. Employers and the Welfare State: The Political Economic Organization of Firms and Social Policy in Contemporary Capitalist Democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2001;34(8):889-923. doi:10.1177/0010414001034008003

308.

Clayton R, Pontusson J. Welfare-State Retrenchment Revisited: Entitlement Cuts, Public Sector Restructuring, and Inegalitarian Trends in Advanced Capitalist Societies. *World Politics*. 1998;51(01):67-98. doi:10.1017/S0043887100007796

309.

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 2006;58(02):167-206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

310.

Paster T. Business and Welfare State Development: Why Did Employers Accept Social Reforms? *World Politics*. 2013;65(03):416-451. doi:10.1017/S0043887113000117

311.

Mares I, Carnes ME. Social Policy in Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2009;12(1):93-113. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.12.071207.093504

312.

Pierson P, ebrary, Inc, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. *The New Politics of the Welfare State*. Oxford University Press; 2001.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F25843>

313.

Kaufman RR, Segura-Ubiergo A. Globalization, Domestic Politics, and Social Spending in Latin America: A Time-Series Cross-Section Analysis, 1973–97. *World Politics*. 2001;53(04):553-587. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0016

314.

Rudra N, Haggard S. Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005;38(9):1015-1049. doi:10.1177/0010414005279258

315.

Kenworthy L, Pontusson J. Rising Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution in Affluent Countries. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2005;3(03). doi:10.1017/S1537592705050292

316.

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 2006;58(02):167-206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

317.

Busemeyer MR. From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2009;48(4):455-482. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x

318.

Jahn D. Globalization as 'Galton's Problem': The Missing Link in the Analysis of Diffusion Patterns in Welfare State Development. *International Organization*. 2006;60(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818306060127

319.

Swank D. *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

320.

Wibbels E. Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization*. 2006;60(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818306060139

321.

Manow P. Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2008;7(1):101-121.

doi:10.1093/ser/mwn022

322.

Alesina A, Giugliano P. Preferences for Redistribution. Published online 2009.
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w14825.pdf>

323.

Walter S. Crisis Politics in Europe: Why Austerity Is Easier to Implement in Some Countries Than in Others. *Comparative Political Studies*. Published online 16 December 2015.
doi:10.1177/0010414015617967

324.

Pontusson J, Raess D. How (and Why) Is This Time Different? The Politics of Economic Crisis in Western Europe and the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2012;15(1):13-33. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-031710-100955

325.

Rodrik D. Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy*. 1998;106(5):997-1032. doi:10.1086/250038

326.

Adserà A, Boix C. Trade, Democracy, and the Size of the Public Sector: The Political Underpinnings of Openness. *International Organization*. 2002;56(2):229-262.
doi:10.1162/002081802320005478

327.

Cameron DR. The Expansion of the Public Economy: A Comparative Analysis. *American Political Science Review*. 1978;72(04):1243-1261. doi:10.2307/1954537

328.

Wibbels E. Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social

Spending in the Developing World. International Organization. 2006;60(02).
doi:10.1017/S0020818306060139

329.

Mosley L. Room to Move: International Financial Markets and National Welfare States. International Organization. 2000;54(4):737-773. doi:10.1162/002081800551352

330.

Swank D. Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

331.

Mosley L. Global Capital and National Governments. Cambridge University Press; 2003.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615672>

332.

Armingeon K. The Politics of Fiscal Responses to the Crisis of 2008-2009. Governance. 2012;25(4):543-565. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0491.2012.01594.x

333.

Clift B. Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

334.

Mosley L. Global Capital and National Governments. Cambridge University Press; 2003.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615672>

335.

Boix C. Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

336.

Cheibub JA. Presidentialism, Electoral Identifiability, and Budget Balances in Democratic Systems. *American Political Science Review*. 2006;100(03).
doi:10.1017/S000305540606223X

337.

Hacker JS, Pierson P. Abandoning the Middle: The Bush Tax Cuts and the Limits of Democratic Control. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2005;3(01).
doi:10.1017/S1537592705050048

338.

Bradley D, Huber E, Moller S, Nielsen F, Stephens JD. Distribution and Redistribution in Postindustrial Democracies. *World Politics*. 2003;55(02):193-228.
doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0009

339.

Hicks AM, Swank DH. Politics, Institutions, and Welfare Spending in Industrialized Democracies, 1960-82. *The American Political Science Review*. 1992;86(3).
doi:10.2307/1964129

340.

Klitgaard MB, Schumacher G, Soentken M. The partisan politics of institutional welfare state reform. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 6 December 2014:1-19.
doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.978355

341.

Heins E, de la Porte C. The sovereign debt crisis, the EU and welfare state reform. *Comparative European Politics*. 2015;13(1):1-7. doi:10.1057/cep.2014.38

342.

Barnes L. The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 27 March 2014. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu007

343.

Iversen T, Soskice D. Democratic Limits to Redistribution: Inclusionary versus Exclusionary Coalitions in the Knowledge Economy. *World Politics*. Published online 24 February 2015:1-41. doi:10.1017/S0043887115000039

344.

Finseraas H, Vernby K. What parties are and what parties do: partisanship and welfare state reform in an era of austerity. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2011;9(4):613-638. doi:10.1093/ser/mwr003

345.

Rueda D. The State of the Welfare State: Unemployment, Labor Market Policy, and Inequality in the Age of Workfare. *Comparative Politics*. 2015;47(3):296-314. doi:10.5129/001041515814709275

346.

van Nispen FKM. Policy Analysis in Times of Austerity: A Cross-National Comparison of Spending Reviews. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*. Published online 2 March 2015:1-23. doi:10.1080/13876988.2015.1005929

347.

Afonso A. Choosing whom to betray: populist right-wing parties, welfare state reforms and the trade-off between office and votes. *European Political Science Review*. 2015;7(02):271-292. doi:10.1017/S1755773914000125

348.

Kersbergen K van, Vis B. Comparative Welfare State Politics: Development, Opportunities,

and Reform. Cambridge University Press; 2013.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139021852>

349.

Jensen C, Seeberg HB. The power of talk and the welfare state: evidence from 23 countries on an asymmetric opposition-government response mechanism. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2015;13(2):215-233. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu016

350.

Bohle D. Varieties of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *West European Politics*. Published online 20 November 2015:1-2. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1114296

351.

Mares I. The Comparative Political Economy of the Welfare State. In: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Vol Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2009:358-375.
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

352.

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2015;54(1):1-22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

353.

Hübscher E. The politics of fiscal consolidation revisited. *Journal of Public Policy*. Published online 9 February 2015:1-29. doi:10.1017/S0143814X15000057

354.

Plümpert T, Troeger VE, Winner H. Why is There No Race to the Bottom in Capital Taxation? *International Studies Quarterly*. 2009;53(3):761-786.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00555.x

355.

Dellepiane-Avellaneda S, Hardiman N. Fiscal politics in time: pathways to fiscal consolidation in Ireland, Greece, Britain, and Spain, 1980–2012. *European Political Science Review*. 2015;7(02):189-219. doi:10.1017/S1755773914000186

356.

Lierse H, Seelkopf L. Room to Manoeuvre? International Financial Markets and the National Tax State. *New Political Economy*. 2016;21(1):145-165. doi:10.1080/13563467.2014.999761

357.

Swank D. *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press; 2002. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

358.

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2004;48(3):496-512. doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

359.

Clift B. *Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism*. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

360.

Iversen T. *Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare*. Cambridge University Press; 2005. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511758645>

361.

Swank D. *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press; 2002.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

362.

Armingeon K, Guthmann K, Weisstanner D. Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. *West European Politics*. Published online 23 December 2015:1-20. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072

363.

Manow P. Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2008;7(1):101-121. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn022

364.

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 2006;58(02):167-206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

365.

Blyth M. The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change. *World Politics*. 2001;54(01):1-26. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0020

366.

Ha E. Globalization, Veto Players, and Welfare Spending. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2007;41(6):783-813. doi:10.1177/0010414006298938

367.

Swank D. Taxing choices: international competition, domestic institutions and the transformation of corporate tax policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online July 2015:1-33. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1053511

368.

Ostry J, Berg A, Tsangarides C. Redistribution, Inequality, and Growth.
<https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/sdn/2014/sdn1402.pdf>

369.

Fernández-Albertos J, Manzano D. Dualism and support for the welfare state. *Comparative European Politics*. 2016;14(3):349-375. doi:10.1057/cep.2014.32

370.

Armingeon K, Guthmann K, Weisstanner D. Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. *West European Politics*. 2016;39(4):628-647. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072

371.

Bueno de Mesquita B, Downs G, Smith A. A Political Economy of Income Tax Policies. *Political Science Research and Methods*. 2017;5(01):1-29. doi:10.1017/psrm.2015.53

372.

Menendez I. Globalization and Welfare Spending: How Geography and Electoral Institutions Condition Compensation. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2016;60(4):665-676. doi:10.1093/isq/sqw028

373.

ARNOLD T, STADELMANN-STEFFEN I. How federalism influences welfare spending: Belgium federalism reform through the perspective of the synthetic control method. *European Journal of Political Research*. Published online March 2017. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12196

374.

Baumgartner FR, Carammia M, Epp DA, Noble B, Rey B, Yildirim TM. Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 28 March 2017:1-17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482

375.

Franco Chuaire M, Scartascini C, Tommasi M. State capacity and the quality of policies revisiting the relationship between openness and government size. *Economics & Politics*. Published online 20 April 2017. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12090

376.

Bussemeyer MR, Garritzmann JL. Public opinion on policy and budgetary trade-offs in European welfare states: evidence from a new comparative survey. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 28 March 2017:1-19. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1298658

377.

Barnes L. The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2015;13(1):55-78. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu007

378.

Oser J, Hooghe M. Give Me Your Tired, Your Poor? Support for Social Citizenship Rights in the United States and Europe. *Sociological Perspectives*. Published online 26 March 2017. doi:10.1177/0731121417697305

379.

König PD, Wenzelburger G. Honeymoon in the crisis: A comparative analysis of the strategic timing of austerity policies and their effect on government popularity in three countries. *Comparative European Politics*. 2017;15(6):991-1015. doi:10.1057/cep.2016.1

380.

Osterloh S, Debus M. Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 2012;28(2):192-207. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002

381.

JENSEN C. Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2010;49(2):282-299. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x

382.

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 2015;54(1):1-22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

383.

Neundorf A, Soroka S. The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics*. Published online 25 October 2017:1-28. doi:10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666

384.

Pontusson J, Weisstanner D. Macroeconomic conditions, inequality shocks and the politics of redistribution, 1990–2013. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2018;25(1):31-58. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1310280

385.

Scruggs L, Hayes TJ. The Influence of Inequality on Welfare Generosity. *Politics & Society*. 2017;45(1):35-66. doi:10.1177/0032329216683165

386.

Neundorf A, Soroka S. The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics*. 2018;41(2):400-427. doi:10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666

387.

Feierherd G, Schiumerini L, Stokes S. When Do the Wealthy Support Redistribution? Inequality Aversion in Buenos Aires. *British Journal of Political Science*. Published online 4 December 2017:1-13. doi:10.1017/S0007123417000588

388.

Emmenegger P, Marx P. The Politics of Inequality as Organised Spectacle: Why the Swiss Do Not Want to Tax the Rich. *New Political Economy*. Published online 8 January 2018:1-22. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1420641

389.

Alemán J, Woods D. A Comparative Analysis of Inequality and Redistribution in Democracies. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2018;62(1):171-181. doi:10.1093/isq/sqx089

390.

Abou-Chadi T, Immergut EM. Recalibrating social protection: Electoral competition and the new partisan politics of the welfare state. *European Journal of Political Research*. Published online 15 October 2018. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12308

391.

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. Published online 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

392.

Bremer B, McDaniel S. The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the context of the great recession. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 14 February 2019. doi:10.1093/ser/mwz001

393.

Savage L. Religion, partisanship and preferences for redistribution. *European Journal of Political Research*. Published online 16 May 2019. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12341

394.

Lockwood E. The Global Politics of Central Banking: A View from Political Science. https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/55059/2016_WP5_Lockwood.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

395.

McNamara K. Rational Fictions: Central Bank Independence and the Social Logic of Delegation. *West European Politics*. 2002;25(1):47-76. doi:10.1080/713601585

396.

Fernández-Albertos J. The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2015;18(1):217-237. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-071112-221121

397.

Lombardi D, Moschella M. The institutional and cultural foundations of the Federal Reserve's and ECB's non-standard policies. *Stato e Mercato*. 2015;(1). doi:10.1425/79495

398.

Bernhard W, Broz JL, Clark WR. The Political Economy of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization*. 2002;56(4):693-723. doi:10.1162/002081802760403748

399.

Scheve K. Public Inflation Aversion and the Political Economy of Macroeconomic Policymaking. *International Organization*. 2004;58(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818304581018

400.

Goodman JB. The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Comparative Politics*. 1991;23(3). doi:10.2307/422090

401.

Crowe C, Meade EE. The Evolution of Central Bank Governance around the World. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 2007;21(4):69-90. doi:10.1257/jep.21.4.69

402.

Bernhard W. A Political Explanation of Variations in Central Bank Independence. *The American Political Science Review*. 1998;92(2). doi:10.2307/2585666

403.

Polillo S, Guillén MF. Globalization Pressures and the State: The Worldwide Spread of Central Bank Independence¹. *American Journal of Sociology*. 2005;110(6):1764-1802. doi:10.1086/428685

404.

Marcussen M. Central banks on the move. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2005;12(5):903-923. doi:10.1080/13501760500161597

405.

Cukierman A. Monetary policy and institutions before, during, and after the global financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Stability*. 2013;9(3):373-384. doi:10.1016/j.jfs.2013.02.002

406.

Adolph C. *Bankers, Bureaucrats, and Central Bank Politics: The Myth of Neutrality*. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139506762>

407.

Hall PA, Franzese RJ. Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union. *International Organization*. 1998;52(03):505-535. doi:10.1162/002081898550644

408.

Clark WR, Arel-Bundock V. Independent but Not Indifferent: Partisan Bias in Monetary Policy at the Fed. *Economics & Politics*. 2013;25(1):1-26. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12006

409.

King M. Epistemic Communities and the Diffusion of Ideas: Central Bank Reform in the United Kingdom. *West European Politics*. 2005;28(1):94-123.
doi:10.1080/0140238042000297107

410.

Cukierman A, Web SB, Neyapti B. Measuring the Independence of Central Banks and Its Effect on Policy Outcomes. *The World Bank Economic Review*. 1992;6(3):353-398.
doi:10.1093/wber/6.3.353

411.

Elgie R. The politics of the European Central Bank: principal-agent theory and the democratic deficit. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2002;9(2):186-200.
doi:10.1080/13501760110120219

412.

McNamara KR. *The Currency of Ideas: Monetary Politics in the European Union*. Vol Cornell studies in political economy. Pbk. ed. Cornell University Press; 1999.

413.

Lohmann S. Federalism and Central bank Independence: The Politics of German Monetary Policy, 1957-92. *World Politics*. 1998;50(03):401-446. doi:10.1017/S0043887100012867

414.

Broz JL. The Origins of Central Banking: Solutions to the Free-Rider Problem. *International Organization*. 1998;52(02):231-268. doi:10.1162/002081898753162811

415.

Quaglia L. An Integrative Approach to the Politics of Central Bank Independence: Lessons from Britain, Germany and Italy. *West European Politics*. 2005;28(3):549-568.
doi:10.1080/01402380500085798

416.

Chang KH. *Appointing Central Bankers: The Politics of Monetary Policy in the United States and the European Monetary Union*. Cambridge University Press; 2003.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511510526>

417.

The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 2003;97(03):407-423.
doi:10.1017.S0003055403000777

418.

Mukherjee B, Singer DA. Monetary Institutions, Partisanship, and Inflation Targeting. *International Organization*. 2008;62(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818308080119

419.

Broz JL. Political System Transparency and Monetary Commitment Regimes. *International Organization*. 2002;56(4):861-887. doi:10.1162/002081802760403801

420.

Posen A. Declarations Are Not Enough: Financial Sector Sources of Central Bank Independence. Published online 1995:253-274.
<http://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/nbrnberch/11021.htm>

421.

Hallerberg M. Veto Players and the Choice of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization*. 2002;56(4):775-802. doi:10.1162/002081802760403775

422.

WAY C. Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2000;33(2):196-224. doi:10.1177/0010414000033002002

423.

Frieden J. Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance. *International Organization*. 1991;45(4):425-451.
<http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/2706944?uid=3738032&uid=2134&uid=2&uid=70&uid=4&sid=21102569325157>

424.

Frieden JA. Real Sources of European Currency Policy: Sectoral Interests and European Monetary Integration. *International Organization*. 2002;56(4):831-860.
doi:10.1162/002081802760403793

425.

Bodea C. Independent central banks, regime type, and fiscal performance: the case of post-communist countries. *Public Choice*. 2013;155(1-2):81-107.
doi:10.1007/s11127-011-9843-6

426.

Jeong GH, Miller GJ, Sobel AC. Political Compromise and Bureaucratic Structure: The Political Origins of the Federal Reserve System. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 2009;25(2):472-498. doi:10.1093/jleo/ewn010

427.

Verdun A. The Institutional Design of EMU: A Democratic Deficit? *Journal of Public Policy*. 1998;18(2):107-132. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007626?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

428.

Quaglia L, Taylor & Francis. *Central Banking Governance in the European Union: A Comparative Analysis*. Vol 2. Routledge; 2008.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203932803>

429.

Lombardi D, Moschella M. The government bond buying programmes of the European Central Bank: an analysis of their policy settings. *Journal of European Public Policy*. Published online 14 August 2015:1-20. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1069374

430.

Arnone M, Laurens BJ, Segalotto JF. Measures of Central Bank Autonomy: Empirical Evidence for OECD, Developing, and Emerging Market Economies. WP/06/228. <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2006/wp06228.pdf>

431.

Davies H, Davies H, Green D. *Banking on the Future: The Fall and Rise of Central Banking*. Princeton University Press; 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=557127>

432.

Braun B. Governing the future: the European Central Bank's expectation management during the Great Moderation. *Economy and Society*. 2015;44(3):367-391. doi:10.1080/03085147.2015.1049447

433.

Broz L. The Federal Reserve as global lender of last resort, 2007-2010. Published online 2015. <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/60951/>

434.

Bodea C, Hicks R. Price Stability and Central Bank Independence: Discipline, Credibility, and Democratic Institutions. *International Organization*. Published online 17 December 2014:1-27. doi:10.1017/S0020818314000277

435.

Schwartz HM. *Banking on the FED: QE1-2-3 and the Rebalancing of the Global Economy*. New Political Economy. Published online 5 May 2015:1-23. doi:10.1080/13563467.2015.1041480

436.

Dobler M, Gray S, Murphy D, Radzewicz-Bak B. The Lender of Last Resort Function after the Global Financial Crisis. WP/16/10. <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2016/wp1610.pdf>

437.

WAY C. Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2000;33(2):196-224. doi:10.1177/0010414000033002002

438.

Bodea C, Higashijima M. Central Bank Independence and Fiscal Policy: Can the Central Bank Restrain Deficit Spending? *British Journal of Political Science*. Published online 15 July 2015:1-24. doi:10.1017/S0007123415000058

439.

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 2012;31(5):1126-1139. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

440.

Lepers E. The Neutrality Illusion: Biased Economics, Biased Training, and Biased Monetary Policy. Testing the Role of Ideology on FOMC Voting Behaviour. *New Political Economy*. Published online 7 June 2017:1-23. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1332019

441.

Goodhart C, Lastra R. Populism and Central Bank Independence. *Open Economies Review*. 2018;29(1):49-68. doi:10.1007/s11079-017-9447-y

442.

Jacobs LR, King D. The Fed's Political Economy. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. Published online 20 July 2018:1-5. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000884

443.

Adolph C. The Missing Politics of Central Banks. PS: Political Science & Politics. Published online 20 July 2018:1-6. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000847

444.

Pontusson J. The Fed, Finance, and Inequality in Comparative Perspective. PS: Political Science & Politics. Published online 20 July 2018:1-4. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000860

445.

Binder S, Spindel M. Why Study Monetary Politics? PS: Political Science & Politics. Published online 20 July 2018:1-5. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000835

446.

Braun B. Speaking to the people? Money, trust, and central bank legitimacy in the age of quantitative easing. Review of International Political Economy. 2016;23(6):1064-1092. doi:10.1080/09692290.2016.1252415

447.

Johnson J, Arel-Bundock V, Portniaguine V. Adding Rooms onto a House We Love: Central Banking after the Global Financial Crisis. Public Administration. Published online 26 October 2018. doi:10.1111/padm.12567

448.

de Haan J, Eijffinger S. The politics of central bank independence. Published online 2016. https://www.dnb.nl/en/binaries/Working%20paper%20539_tcm47-350814.pdf

449.

Alesina A, Stella A. The Politics of Monetary Policy. Published online 2010. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w15856.pdf>

450.

Alesina A, Stella A. The Politics of Monetary Policy. In: Handbook of Monetary Economics: Vols. 3A & 3B, Set. Vol 3. North Holland [Imprint]; :1001-1054.
doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53454-5.00006-2

451.

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 2012;31(5):1126-1139. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

452.

Crowe C. Goal independent central banks: Why politicians decide to delegate. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 2008;24(4):748-762. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2008.05.002

453.

Keefer P, Stasavage D. The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 2003;97(03). doi:10.1017/S0003055403000777

454.

Dumiter FC. Central Bank Independence, Transparency and Accountability Indexes: a Survey. *Timisoara Journal of Economics and Business*. 2014;7(1):35-54.
doi:10.2478/tjeb-2014-0002

455.

Garriga AC. Central Bank Independence in the World: A New Data Set. *International Interactions*. 2016;42(5):849-868. doi:10.1080/03050629.2016.1188813

456.

Vaubel R. The bureaucratic and partisan behavior of independent central banks: German and international evidence. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 1997;13(2):201-224.
doi:10.1016/S0176-2680(97)00004-9

457.

Giesenow FM, de Haan J. The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics*. Published online 10 January 2019. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12126

458.

Kaya A, Golub S, Kuperberg M, Lin F. The Federal Reserve's Dual Mandate and the Inflation-Unemployment Tradeoff. *Contemporary Economic Policy*. Published online 20 February 2019. doi:10.1111/coep.12422

459.

McPhilemy S, Moschella M. Central Banks under stress Reputation, Accountability and Regulatory Coherence. *Public Administration*. Published online 23 May 2019. doi:10.1111/padm.12606

460.

Reisenbichler A. The politics of quantitative easing and housing stimulus by the Federal Reserve and European Central Bank, 2008–2018. *West European Politics*. Published online 31 May 2019:1-21. doi:10.1080/01402382.2019.1612160

461.

Giesenow FM, de Haan J. The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics*. 2019;31(2):216-239. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12126

462.

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of Regulation*. Oxford University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34523>

463.

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford Handbook of*

Regulation. Oxford University Press; 2010.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34523>

464.

Webb Yackee S. The Politics of Rulemaking in the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2019;22(1). doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-050817-092302

465.

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M. *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=829488>

466.

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M. Regulatory Strategies (Chapter 7). In: *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, USA; 2011:105-136.

467.

Thatcher M. Regulation after delegation: independent regulatory agencies in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2002;9(6):954-972. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046445

468.

Majone G. From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy*. 1997;17(02).

doi:10.1017/S0143814X00003524

469.

Vogel SK. *Freer Markets, More Rules: Regulatory Reform in Advanced Industrial Countries*. Cornell University Press; 1996.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fstable%2F10.7591%2Fj.ctv1nhm3h>

470.

Vogel D. Chapter 1. Understanding Regulatory Reform. In: Freer Markets, More Rules: Regulatory Reform in Advanced Industrial Countries. Cornell University Press; 1995:9-24.

471.

Levi-Faur D. The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Capitalism. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 2005;598(1):12-32.
doi:10.1177/0002716204272371

472.

Thatcher M. Delegation to Independent Regulatory Agencies: Pressures, Functions and Contextual Mediation. West European Politics. 2002;25(1):125-147.
doi:10.1080/713601588

473.

Gilardi F. The Same, But Different: Central Banks, Regulatory Agencies, and the Politics of Delegation to Independent Authorities. Comparative European Politics. 2007;5(3):303-327.
doi:10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110098

474.

Carpenter D, Moss DA, eds. Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit It. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139565875>

475.

Carpenter D, Moss DA, eds. Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit It. Cambridge University Press; 2013.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139565875>

476.

Carrigan C, Coglianese C. The Politics of Regulation: From New Institutionalism to New Governance. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2011;14(1):107-129. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.032408.171344

477.

Majone G. From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy*. 1997;17(02). doi:10.1017/S0143814X00003524

478.

Baldwin R, Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M. *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=829488>

479.

Gilardi F. Policy credibility and delegation to independent regulatory agencies: a comparative empirical analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2002;9(6):873-893. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046409

480.

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. *Beyond Varieties of Capitalism: Conflict, Contradictions, and Complementarities in the European Economy*. Oxford University Press; 2007. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

481.

Gandrud C. The diffusion of financial supervisory governance ideas. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2013;20(4):881-916. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.727362

482.

Stigler GJ. The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*. 1971;2(1). doi:10.2307/3003160

483.

Peltzman S. Toward a More General Theory of Regulation. *The Journal of Law and Economics*. 1976;19(2):211-240. doi:10.1086/466865

484.

Reed KK. A Look at Firm--Regulator Exchanges: Friendly Enough or Too Friendly? *Business & Society*. 2009;48(2):147-178. doi:10.1177/0007650308316525

485.

Moran M. Review Article: Understanding the Regulatory State. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2002;32(02):391-413. doi:10.1017/S0007123402000169

486.

Gilardi F. The Institutional Foundations of Regulatory Capitalism: The Diffusion of Independent Regulatory Agencies in Western Europe. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2005;598(1):84-101. doi:10.1177/0002716204271833

487.

Jordana J, Levi-Faur D, i Marin XF. The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Agencies: Channels of Transfer and Stages of Diffusion. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2011;44(10):1343-1369. doi:10.1177/0010414011407466

488.

Braithwaite J, Drahos P. *Global Business Regulation*. Cambridge University Press; 2000.

489.

Levi-Faur D Sharon Gilad, Gilad S. The Rise of the British Regulatory State: Transcending the Privatization Debate. *Comparative Politics*. 2004;37(1):105-124. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150126?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

490.

Moe TM. Control and Feedback in Economic Regulation: The Case of the NLRB. *The American Political Science Review*. 1985;79(4). doi:10.2307/1956250

491.

Dal Bo E. Regulatory Capture: A Review. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 2006;22(2):203-225. doi:10.1093/oxrep/grj013

492.

Becker GS. A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 1983;98(3). doi:10.2307/1886017

493.

Elgie R, McMenamin I. Credible Commitment, Political Uncertainty or Policy Complexity? Explaining Variations in the Independence of Non-majoritarian Institutions in France. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2005;35(3):531-548. doi:10.1017/S0007123405000281

494.

Guidi M. Delegation and varieties of capitalism: Explaining the independence of national competition agencies in the European Union. *Comparative European Politics*. 2014;12(3):343-365. doi:10.1057/cep.2013.6

495.

Hanretty C, Koop C. Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance*. 2013;7(2):195-214. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x

496.

Maggetti M. De facto independence after delegation: A fuzzy-set analysis. *Regulation & Governance*. 2007;1(4):271-294. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2007.00023.x

497.

Carpenter DP. Protection without Capture: Product Approval by a Politically Responsive, Learning Regulator. *American Political Science Review*. 2004;98(04). doi:10.1017/S0003055404041383

498.

Baxter L. Capture in Financial Regulation: Can We Redirect It Toward the Common Good? *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy*. Published online 2011. http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/faculty_scholarship/2355/

499.

Majone G. The rise of the regulatory state in Europe. *West European Politics*. 1994;17(3):77-101. doi:10.1080/01402389408425031

500.

Thatcher M. Regulatory agencies, the state and markets: a Franco-British comparison. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2007;14(7):1028-1047. doi:10.1080/13501760701576510

501.

McCubbins MD, Schwartz T. Congressional Oversight Overlooked: Police Patrols versus Fire Alarms. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1984;28(1):165-179. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2110792?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

502.

Guardiancich I, Guidi M. Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? *Regulation & Governance*. Published online April 2015:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/rego.12080

503.

Fernández-i-Marín X, Jordana J. The emergence of regulatory regionalism: transnational

networks and the diffusion of regulatory agencies within regions. *Contemporary Politics*. Published online 30 March 2015:1-18. doi:10.1080/13569775.2015.1010776

504.

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. Credibility Versus Control: Agency Independence and Partisan Influence in the Regulatory State. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2015;48(7):823-853. doi:10.1177/0010414014558259

505.

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. The Politicization of Regulatory Agencies: Between Partisan Influence and Formal Independence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2016;26(3):507-518. doi:10.1093/jopart/muv022

506.

Guardiancich I, Guidi M. Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? *Regulation & Governance*. 2016;10(3):211-229. doi:10.1111/rego.12080

507.

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. Do parties matter in delegation? Partisan preferences and the creation of regulatory agencies in Europe. *Regulation & Governance*. 2016;10(3):193-210. doi:10.1111/rego.12072

508.

Abbott KW, Levi-faur D, Snidal D. Theorizing Regulatory Intermediaries. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2017;670(1):14-35. doi:10.1177/0002716216688272

509.

Hanretty C, Koop C. Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance*. 2013;7(2):195-214. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x

510.

Pavón Mediano A. Agencies' formal independence and credible commitment in the Latin American regulatory state: A comparative analysis of 8 countries and 13 sectors. *Regulation & Governance*. Published online 15 February 2018. doi:10.1111/rego.12187

511.

Eckert S. Two spheres of regulation: Balancing social and economic goals. *Regulation & Governance*. 2018;12(2):177-191. doi:10.1111/rego.12137

512.

Malhotra N, Monin B, Tomz M. Does Private Regulation Preempt Public Regulation? *American Political Science Review*. Published online 12 November 2018:1-19. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000679

513.

Smith MG, Urpelainen J. Windows of opportunity: legislative fragmentation conditions the effect of partisanship on product market deregulation. *Journal of Public Policy*. 2016;36(01):51-86. doi:10.1017/S0143814X14000300

514.

Lodge M. Regulation, the Regulatory State and European Politics. *West European Politics*. 2008;31(1-2):280-301. doi:10.1080/01402380701835074

515.

Thatcher M. Analysing regulatory reform in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2002;9(6):859-872. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046391

516.

Thelen K. Regulating Uber: The Politics of the Platform Economy in Europe and the United States. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2018;16(4):938-953. doi:10.1017/S1537592718001081

517.

Milner HV. The Political Economy of International Trade. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 1999;2(1):91-114. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.91

518.

MARGALIT Y. Lost in Globalization: International Economic Integration and the Sources of Popular Discontent¹. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2012;56(3):484-500. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00747.x

519.

Berger S. Globalization and Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2000;3(1):43-62. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.43

520.

Brune N, Garrett G. The globalization Rorschach Test: International Economic Integration, Inequality, and the Role of Government. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2005;8(1):399-423. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.6.121901.085727

521.

Rodrik D. Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy*. 1998;106(5):997-1032. doi:10.1086/250038

522.

Rudra N, Haggard S. Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2005;38(9):1015-1049. doi:10.1177/0010414005279258

523.

Autor D, Dorn D, Hanson G, Majlesi K. Importing Political Polarization? The Electoral Consequences of Rising Trade Exposure. Published online 2016. <http://www.ddorn.net/papers/ADHM-PoliticalPolarization.pdf>

524.

KIM IS. Political Cleavages within Industry: Firm-level Lobbying for Trade Liberalization. *American Political Science Review*. 2017;111(01):1-20. doi:10.1017/S0003055416000654

525.

Rho S, Tomz M. Why Don't Trade Preferences Reflect Economic Self-Interest? *International Organization*. 2017;71(S1):S85-S108. doi:10.1017/S0020818316000394

526.

Baker A. Who Wants to Globalize? Consumer Tastes and Labor Markets in a Theory of Trade Policy Beliefs. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2005;49(4):924-938. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2005.00164.x

527.

Beaulieu E, Benarroch M, Gaisford JD. Intra-industry Trade Liberalization: Why Skilled Workers are More Likely to Support Free Trade. *Review of International Economics*. 2011;19(3):579-594. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9396.2011.00967.x

528.

Dean A. *From Conflict to Coalition: Profit-Sharing Institutions and the Political Economy of Trade*. Cambridge University Press; 2016.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316717592>

529.

Walter S. Globalization and the Welfare State: Testing the Microfoundations of the Compensation Hypothesis. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2010;54(2):403-426. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2010.00593.x

530.

Hwang W, Lee H. Globalization, Factor Mobility, Partisanship, and Compensation Policies. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2014;58(1):92-105. doi:10.1111/isqu.12070

531.

Owen E, Johnston NP. Occupation and the Political Economy of Trade: Job Routineness, Offshorability, and Protectionist Sentiment. *International Organization*. Published online 18 September 2017:1-35. doi:10.1017/S0020818317000339

532.

Borges FA. Debating Trade: The Legislative Politics of Free Trade Agreements in Latin America. *Government and Opposition*. Published online 25 October 2017:1-29. doi:10.1017/gov.2017.28

533.

Mansfield ED, Mutz DC. Support for Free Trade: Self-Interest, Sociotropic Politics, and Out-Group Anxiety. *International Organization*. 2009;63(3):425-457. doi:10.1017/S0020818309090158

534.

Rode M, Sáenz de Viteri A. Expressive attitudes to compensation: The case of globalization. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 2018;54:42-55. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.05.007

535.

Mansfield ED, Milner HV, Pevehouse JC. Vetoing Co-operation: The Impact of Veto Players on Preferential Trading Arrangements. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2007;37(03). doi:10.1017/S0007123407000221

536.

Mutz DC, Kim E. The Impact of In-group Favoritism on Trade Preferences. *International Organization*. 2017;71(04):827-850. doi:10.1017/S0020818317000327

537.

Clift B. *Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism*. Second

edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

538.

Overbeek H, Apeldoorn B van, Nölke A. The Transnational Politics of Corporate Governance Regulation. Vol 23. Routledge; 2007.

<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203946688>

539.

Davis GF, Kim S. Financialization of the Economy. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2015;41(1):203-221. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112402

540.

Lazonick W, O'Sullivan M. Maximizing shareholder value: a new ideology for corporate governance. *Economy and Society*. 2000;29(1):13-35. doi:10.1080/030851400360541

541.

Morgan G, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Institutional Analysis. Oxford University Press; 2010.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34531>

542.

Hall PA, Soskice DW. *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford University Press; 2001.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

543.

Roe MJ. *Political Determinants of Corporate Governance: Political Context, Corporate Impact*. Oxford University Press; 2003.

544.

Clift B. *Comparative Political Economy: States, Markets and Global Capitalism*. Second edition. Macmillan International Higher Education; 2021.

545.

Callaghan H. *Insiders, Outsiders, and the Politics of Corporate Governance: How Ownership Structure Shapes Party Positions in Britain, Germany, and France*. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2009;42(6):733-762. doi:10.1177/0010414008329895

546.

Hardie I, Howarth D, Maxfield S, Verdun A. *Banks and the False Dichotomy in the Comparative Political Economy of Finance*. *World Politics*. 2013;65(04):691-728. doi:10.1017/S0043887113000221

547.

Cioffi JW, Hopner M. *The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform*. *Politics & Society*. 2006;34(4):463-502. doi:10.1177/0032329206293642

548.

Culpepper PD. *Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan*. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

549.

Culpepper PD. *Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan*. Cambridge University Press; 2010.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

550.

Gourevitch PA, Shinn J, EBL. *Political Power and Corporate Control: The New Global Politics of Corporate Governance*. Princeton University Press; 2005.
<http://city.ebilib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=557133>

551.

Culpepper PD. Institutional Change in Contemporary Capitalism: Coordinated Financial Systems since 1990. *World Politics*. 2005;57(02):173-199. doi:10.1353/wp.2005.0016

552.

Martin Höpner. What connects industrial relations and corporate governance? Explaining institutional complementarity. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2005;3(2):331-358. doi:10.1093/SER/mwi014

553.

Callaghan H, Höpner M. European Integration and the Clash of Capitalisms: Political Cleavages over Takeover Liberalization. *Comparative European Politics*. 2005;3(3):307-332. doi:10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110061

554.

Beyer J, Hoppner M. The disintegration of organised capitalism: German corporate governance in the 1990s. *West European Politics*. 2003;26(4):179-198. doi:10.1080/01402380312331280738

555.

Horn L. *Regulating Corporate Governance in the EU: Towards a Marketization of Corporate Control*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230356405>

556.

Höpner M. Corporate Governance Reform and the German Party Paradox. *Comparative Politics*. 2007;39(4):401-420.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/20434052?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

557.

Cioffi JW, Hopner M. The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform. *Politics & Society*. 2006;34(4):463-502. doi:10.1177/0032329206293642

558.

O'Sullivan M. The political economy of comparative corporate governance. *Review of International Political Economy*. 2003;10(1):23-72. doi:10.1080/0969229032000048899

559.

Cioffi JW. The Political Determinants of Corporate Governance: Political Context, Corporate Impact. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*. 2004;52(3). doi:10.2307/4144483

560.

Allen F, Gale D. *Comparing Financial Systems*. MIT Press; 2000.
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=27227&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

561.

Roe MJ. Political Preconditions to Separating Ownership from Corporate Control. *Stanford Law Review*. 2000;53(3):539-606.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229469?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

562.

Alvarez I. Financialization, non-financial corporations and income inequality: the case of France. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2015;13(3):449-475. doi:10.1093/ser/mwv007

563.

Callaghan H. Who cares about financialization? Self-reinforcing feedback, issue salience, and increasing acquiescence to market-enabling takeover rules. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 17 February 2015. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu037

564.

Hall PA, Soskice DW. *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford University Press; 2001.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

565.

Pontusson J, Rueda D, Way C. Comparative Political Economy of Wage Distribution: The Role of Partisanship and Labour Market Institutions. *British Journal of Political Science*. 2002;32(02):281-308. doi:10.1017/S000712340200011X

566.

Traxler F, Kittel B. The Bargaining System and Performance: A Comparison of 18 OECD Countries. *Comparative Political Studies*. 2000;33(9):1154-1190.
doi:10.1177/0010414000033009003

567.

Wallerstein M. Wage-Setting Institutions and Pay Inequality in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 1999;43(3). doi:10.2307/2991830

568.

Rueda D, Pontusson J. Wage Inequality and Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics*. 2000;52(03):350-383. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016579

569.

Crouch C, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. *Industrial Relations and European State Traditions*. Clarendon; 1993.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F10494>

570.

Baccaro L, Simoni M. Organizational Determinants of Wage Moderation. *World Politics*. 2010;62(04):594-635. doi:10.1017/S0043887110000201

571.

Beck N, Katz JN, Alvarez RM, Garrett G, Lange P. Government Partisanship, Labor Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance: A Corrigendum. *The American Political Science Review*. 1993;87(4). doi:10.2307/2938825

572.

Mares I. Wage Bargaining in the Presence of Social Services and Transfers. *World Politics*. 2004;57(01):99-142. doi:10.1353/wp.2005.0011

573.

Martin CJ, Swank D. Does the Organization of Capital Matter? Employers and Active Labor Market Policy at the National and Firm Levels. *American Political Science Review*. 2004;98(04). doi:10.1017/S0003055404041371

574.

Eichengreen B, Iversen T. Institutions and economic performance: evidence from the labour market. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 1999;15(4):121-138. doi:10.1093/oxrep/15.4.121

575.

Bermeo N. *Unemployment in the New Europe*. Cambridge University Press; 2001. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511664151>

576.

Hessami Z, Baskaran T. Has Globalisation Affected Collective Bargaining? An Empirical Test, 1980-2009. *The World Economy*. Published online December 2014:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/twec.12239

577.

Emmenegger P. The Politics of Job Security Regulations in Western Europe: From Drift to

Layering. *Politics & Society*. Published online 16 December 2014.
doi:10.1177/0032329214555099

578.

Gordon JC. Protecting the unemployed: varieties of unionism and the evolution of unemployment benefits and active labor market policy in the rich democracies. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 16 October 2014. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu029

579.

Knotz C, Lindvall J. Coalitions and Compensation: The Case of Unemployment Benefit Duration. *Comparative Political Studies*. Published online 28 November 2014.
doi:10.1177/0010414014556209

580.

Darcillon T. How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 17 February 2015.
doi:10.1093/ser/mwu038

581.

Jung J. A struggle on two fronts: labour resistance to changing layoff policies at large US companies. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 11 July 2015.
doi:10.1093/ser/mwv015

582.

Roe MJ, Vatiello M. Corporate Governance and Its Political Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Published online 2015. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2588760

583.

Mueller DC, *Oxford Handbooks Online*. The Oxford Handbook of Capitalism. Oxford University Press; 2012.
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F38626>

584.

van der Zwan N. Making sense of financialization. *Socio-Economic Review*. 2014;12(1):99-129. doi:10.1093/ser/mwt020