

# IP2031: Comparative Political Economy

View Online



1

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

2

Clift B. Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

3

Frieden JA. Global capitalism: its fall and rise in the twentieth century. New York: : W.W. Norton 2007.

4

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, et al. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

5

Katzenstein PJ, EBL. Small states in world markets: industrial policy in Europe. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 1985. <http://city.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=3425965>

6

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

7

Knutsen CH. Democracy and economic growth: A survey of arguments and results. *International Area Studies Review* 2012;**15**:393–415. doi:10.1177/2233865912455268

8

Cox G, McCubbins M. 'The Institutional Determinants of Economic Policy Outcomes. Presidents, parliaments, and policy. 2001;**Political economy of institutions and decisions**.  
[.http://wcfia.harvard.edu/files/wcfia/files/671\\_mccubbins\\_cox.pdf?m=1427473083](http://wcfia.harvard.edu/files/wcfia/files/671_mccubbins_cox.pdf?m=1427473083)

9

Olson M. Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development. *The American Political Science Review* 1993;**87**. doi:10.2307/2938736

10

North DC, Weingast BR. Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England. *The Journal of Economic History* 1989;**49**. doi:10.1017/S0022050700009451

11

Olson M. The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1982.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

12

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

13

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, et al. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

14

Quinn DP, Woolley JT. Democracy and National Economic Performance: The Preference for Stability. *American Journal of Political Science* 2001;**45**. doi:10.2307/2669243

15

Stasavage D. Credible Commitment in Early Modern Europe: North and Weingast Revisited. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 2002;**18**:155-86. doi:10.1093/jleo/18.1.155

16

Engerman SL, Sokoloff KL. Debating the Role of Institutions in Political and Economic Development: Theory, History, and Findings\*. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2008;**11**:119-35. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.120406.135217

17

Doucoulagos H, Ulubaşoğlu MA. Democracy and Economic Growth: A Meta-Analysis. *American Journal of Political Science* 2008;**52**:61-83.  
doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00299.x

18

North DC. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678>

19

Acemoglu D, Robinson JA. Why nations fail: the origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. London: : Profile 2012.

20

Przeworski A, Alvarez ME, Cheibub JA, et al. Democracy and Development: Political Institutions and Well-Being in the World, 1950–1990. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804946>

21

Hall PA, Taylor RCR. Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. *Political Studies* 1996;**44**:936–57. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x

22

Acemoglu D, Johnson S, Robinson JA. Institutions as a Fundamental Cause of Long-Run Growth. *Handbook of Economic Growth*. 2005.<http://economics.mit.edu/files/4469>

23

Barry R. Weingast. The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 1995;**11**:1–31.<http://jleo.oxfordjournals.org/content/11/1/1.extract>

24

Rodrik D, Subramanian A, Trebbi F. Institutions Rule: The Primacy of Institutions Over Geography and Integration in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Growth* 2004;**9**:131–65. doi:10.1023/B:JOEG.0000031425.72248.85

25

Baum MA, Lake DA. The Political Economy of Growth: Democracy and Human Capital. *American Journal of Political Science* 2003;**47**:333–47. doi:10.1111/1540-5907.00023

26

Beaulieu E, Cox GW, Saiegh S. Sovereign Debt and Regime Type: Reconsidering the Democratic Advantage. *International Organization* 2012;**66**:709–38. doi:10.1017/S0020818312000288

27

Democracy, Autocracy, and Expropriation of Foreign Direct Investment. *Comparative Political Studies* 2009;**42**:1098–127. doi:10.1177/0010414009331723

28

Jensen NM. Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization* 2003;**57**. doi:10.1017/S0020818303573040

29

Schultz KA, Weingast BR. The Democratic Advantage: Institutional Foundations of Financial Power in International Competition. *International Organization* 2003;**57**. doi:10.1017/S0020818303571065

30

Gandhi J. Dictatorial Institutions and their Impact on Economic Growth. *European Journal of Sociology* 2008;**49**. doi:10.1017/S0003975608000015

31

Bailey MA, Goldstein J, Weingast BR. The Institutional Roots of American Trade Policy: Politics, Coalitions, and International Trade. *World Politics* 1997;**49**:309–38. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054005?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/25054005?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

32

Mansfield ED, Milner HV, Rosendorff BP. Free to Trade: Democracies, Autocracies, and International Trade. *The American Political Science Review* 2000;**94**. doi:10.2307/2586014

33

Rickard SJ. A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly* 2012;**56**:777–85. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x

34

Rickard SJ. A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly* 2012;**56**:777–85. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x

35

Rogowski R. Trade and the variety of democratic institutions. *International Organization* 1987;**41**. doi:10.1017/S0020818300027442

36

Ehrlich SD. Access to Protection: Domestic Institutions and Trade Policy in Democracies. *International Organization* 2007;**61**. doi:10.1017/S0020818307070191

37

Hiscox MJ. The Magic Bullet? The RTAA, Institutional Reform, and Trade Liberalization. *International Organization* 1999;**53**:669–98. doi:10.1162/002081899551039

38

Wibbels E. Federalism and the Politics of Macroeconomic Policy and Performance. *American Journal of Political Science* 2000;**44**. doi:10.2307/2669275

39

Bernhard W, Leblang D. Democratic Institutions and Exchange-rate Commitments. *International Organization* 1999;**53**:71–97. doi:10.1162/002081899550814

40

Clift B. Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

41

North DC. Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678>

42

North DC. Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1990.

43

Keech WR. Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1995.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609374>

44

Keech WR. Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1995.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609374>

45

Przeworski A, Limongi F. Political Regimes and Economic Growth. Journal of Economic Perspectives 1993;**7**:51–69. doi:10.1257/jep.7.3.51

46

Schumpeter JA, Schumpeter JA, Stiglitz JE. Capitalism, socialism, and democracy. London: : Routledge 2010. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=515353>

47

Crepaz MML. Inclusion versus Exclusion: Political Institutions and Welfare Expenditures. *Comparative Politics* 1998;**31**. doi:10.2307/422106

48

Landa D, Kapstein EB. Inequality, Growth, and Democracy. *World Politics* 2001;**53**:264–96. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0004

49

Knack S, Keefer P. Does Inequality Harm Growth Only in Democracies? A Replication and Extension. *American Journal of Political Science* 1997;**41**. doi:10.2307/2111719

50

Wright J. Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment. *American Journal of Political Science* 2008;**52**:322–43. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00315.x

51

Olson M. *The rise and decline of nations*. London: : Yale University Press

52

Olson M. *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1982. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

53

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. *Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.



<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

54

Jensen NM. Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization* 2003;**57**. doi:10.1017/S0020818303573040

55

Sylwester K. Does Democracy Increase Growth More in New Countries? *Economics & Politics* 2015;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12055

56

Chandra S, Rudra N. Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science* 2015;**45**:253–85. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000355

57

Moon C. Foreign Direct Investment, Commitment Institutions, and Time Horizon: How Some Autocrats Do Better than Others. *International Studies Quarterly* 2015;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/isqu.12182

58

Bak D. Political Investment Cycles in Democracies and Autocracies. *International Interactions* 2016;**42**:797–819. doi:10.1080/03050629.2016.1173547

59

Baumgartner FR, Carammia M, Epp DA, et al. Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2017;;1–17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482

60

Chandra S, Rudra N. Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science* 2015;**45**:253–85. doi:10.1017/S0007123413000355

61

Osterloh S, Debus M. Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy* 2012;**28**:192–207. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002

62

BUSEMEYER MR. From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research* 2009;**48**:455–82. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x

63

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science* 2004;**48**:496–512. doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

64

Bermeo N. Does Electoral Democracy Boost Economic Equality? *Journal of Democracy* 2009;**20**:21–35. doi:10.1353/jod.0.0112

65

Franzese RJ. Electoral and Partisan Cycles in Economic Policies and Outcomes. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2002;**5**:369–421. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.112801.080924

66

Boix C. *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

67

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, et al. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

68

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, et al. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.oxfordhandbooks.com%2Fview%2F10.1093%2Foxfordhb%2F9780199548477.001.0001%2Foxfordhb-9780199548477>

69

Leighley JE, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford handbook of American elections and political behavior. New York, NY: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34390>

70

Garrett G. Partisan Politics in the Global Economy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

71

Wittman D, Weingast BR, Oxford University Press Staff, et al. The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F44403>

72

Boix C. Partisan Governments, the International Economy, and Macroeconomic Policies in Advanced Nations, 1960–93. *World Politics* 2000;**53**:38–73.

doi:10.1017/S0043887100009370

73

Boix C. Political Parties and the Supply Side of the Economy: The Provision of Physical and Human Capital in Advanced Economies, 1960-90. *American Journal of Political Science* 1997;**41**. doi:10.2307/2111676

74

Boix C. Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

75

Tufte ER. Political Control of the Economy. New edition. New Jersey: : Princeton University Press 1980.

76

Hibbs DA. Political Parties and Macroeconomic Policy. *American Political Science Review* 1977;**71**.

77

Oatley T. How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science* 1999;**43**. doi:10.2307/2991815

78

Garrett G, Lange P. Political responses to interdependence: what's "left" for the left? *International Organization* 1991;**45**. doi:10.1017/S0020818300033208

79

KORPI W, PALME J. New Politics and Class Politics in the Context of Austerity and Globalization: Welfare State Regress in 18 Countries, 1975–95. *American Political Science Review* 2003;**97**. doi:10.1017/S0003055403000789

80

Garrett G. Introduction. In: *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998. 1–25. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

81

Garrett G. *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511625633>

82

Tavitis M, Letki N. When Left Is Right: Party Ideology and Policy in Post-Communist Europe. *American Political Science Review* 2009;**103**. doi:10.1017/S0003055409990220

83

Hibbs DA. Partisan theory after fifteen years. *European Journal of Political Economy* 1992;**8**:361–73. doi:10.1016/0176-2680(92)90001-W

84

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science* 2004;**48**:496–512. doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

85

Canes-Wrone B, Park J-K. Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review* 2012;**106**:103–22. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000529

86

Alesina A, Rosenthal H. Partisan Politics, Divided Government, and the Economy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1995.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720512>

87

Kayser MA. Who Surfs, Who Manipulates? The Determinants of Opportunistic Election Timing and Electorally Motivated Economic Intervention. *American Political Science Review* 2005;**99**:17-27.

88

Scheve K, Stasavage D. Institutions, Partisanship, and Inequality in the Long Run. *World Politics* 2009;**61**. doi:10.1017/S0043887109000094

89

Alvarez RM, Garrett G, Lange P. Government Partisanship, Labor Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance. *The American Political Science Review* 1991;**85**. doi:10.2307/1963174

90

Blais A, Blake D, Dion S. Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 1993;**37**. doi:10.2307/2111523

91

Blais A, Blake D, Dion S. Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 1993;**37**. doi:10.2307/2111523

92

Cusack TR. Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy. *Comparative Political Studies* 1999;**32**:464-86. doi:10.1177/0010414099032004003

93

Drazen A. The Political Business Cycle After 25 Years. NBER Chapters  
;:75–138.<https://ideas.repec.org/h/nbr/nberch/11055.html>

94

Clark WR, Hallerberg M. Mobile Capital, Domestic Institutions, and Electorally Induced Monetary and Fiscal Policy. *The American Political Science Review* 2000;**94**.  
doi:10.2307/2586015

95

Alesina A, Roubini N, Cohen GD. *Political cycles and the macroeconomy*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press 1997.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&AN=11395&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

96

Clark WR, Golder SN, Poast P. Monetary Institutions and the Political Survival of Democratic Leaders. *International Studies Quarterly* 2013;**57**:556–67.  
doi:10.1111/isqu.12013

97

Oatley T. How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science* 1999;**43**. doi:10.2307/2991815

98

Camyar I. Political Parties, Supply-Side Strategies, and Firms: The Political Micro-Economy of Partisan Politics. *The Journal of Politics* 2014;**76**:725–39.  
doi:10.1017/S0022381614000164

99

Sáez L. The Political Budget Cycle and Subnational Debt Expenditures in Federations: Panel

Data Evidence from India. *Governance* 2015;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/gove.12130

100

Erikson RS, Wlezien C. Forecasting US Presidential Elections Using Economic and Noneconomic Fundamentals. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2014;**47**:313–6. doi:10.1017/S1049096514000092

101

Efthyvoulou G. Political budget cycles in the European Union and the impact of political pressures. *Public Choice* 2012;**153**:295–327. doi:10.1007/s11127-011-9795-x

102

Bove V, Efthyvoulou G. Political Cycles in Public Expenditure: Butter vs. Guns. *Sheffield Economic Research Paper Series Published Online First*: 2013.[http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly\\_fs/1.331548!/file/serps\\_2013016.pdf](http://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.331548!/file/serps_2013016.pdf)

103

Kim H, Kwon C. The Effects of Fiscal Consolidation and Welfare Composition of Spending on Electoral Outcomes: Evidence from US Gubernatorial Elections between 1978 and 2006. *New Political Economy* 2015;**20**:228–53. doi:10.1080/13563467.2014.923822

104

Krause GA. Voters, Information Heterogeneity, and the Dynamics of Aggregate Economic Expectations. *American Journal of Political Science* 1997;**41**. doi:10.2307/2960486

105

Weschle S. Two types of economic voting: How economic conditions jointly affect vote choice and turnout. *Electoral Studies* 2014;**34**:39–53. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2013.10.007

106



Ademmer E, Dreher F. Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2016;**54**:508–24. doi:10.1111/jcms.12306

107

Hellwig T. Explaining the salience of left-right ideology in postindustrial democracies: The role of structural economic change. *European Journal of Political Research* 2008;**47**:687–709. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2008.00778.x

108

Pinto PM, Weymouth S. Partisan Cycles in Offshore Outsourcing: Evidence from U.S. Imports. *Economics & Politics* Published Online First: July 2016. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12078

109

Loftis MW, Mortensen PB. A new approach to the study of partisan effects on social policy. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2017;:1–22. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1298656

110

Healy AJ, Persson M, Snowberg E. Digging into the Pocketbook: Evidence on Economic Voting from Income Registry Data Matched to a Voter Survey. *American Political Science Review* 2017;:1–15. doi:10.1017/S0003055417000314

111

Trein P, Beckmann R, Walter S. German Voters in Times of Crisis: The Impact of Perceptions and Economic Context on Electoral Behaviour. *German Politics* 2017;**26**:414–39. doi:10.1080/09644008.2016.1266482

112

Dassonneville R, Hooghe M. Economic indicators and electoral volatility: economic effects on electoral volatility in Western Europe, 1950–2013. *Comparative European Politics* 2017;**15**:919–43. doi:10.1057/cep.2015.3

113

JENSEN C. Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research* 2010;**49**:282–99. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x

114

Busemeyer MR. *Skills and Inequality*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2014.

115

Potrafke N. Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics* Published Online First: December 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004

116

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 2012;**31**:1126–39. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

117

CANES-WRONE B, PARK J-K. Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review* 2012;**106**:103–22. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000529

118

Potrafke N. Did globalization restrict partisan politics? An empirical evaluation of social expenditures in a panel of OECD countries. *Public Choice* 2009;**140**:105–24. doi:10.1007/s11127-009-9414-2

119

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981–2010. *European Journal of Political Research* 2015;**54**:1–22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

120

Tavares J. Does right or left matter? Cabinets, credibility and fiscal adjustments. *Journal of Public Economics* 2004;**88**:2447–68. doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2003.11.001

121

Tingley D. Donors and domestic politics: Political influences on foreign aid effort. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 2010;**50**:40–9. doi:10.1016/j.qref.2009.10.003

122

Dellmuth LM, Schraff D, Stoffel MF. Distributive Politics, Electoral Institutions and European Structural and Investment Funding: Evidence from Italy and France. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2017;**55**:275–93. doi:10.1111/jcms.12433

123

Pinto L. Like Leaves in the Wind? Economic Conditions and Government Survival in Italy (1946–2015). *South European Society and Politics* 2017;;1–24. doi:10.1080/13608746.2017.1398626

124

Tilley J, Neundorf A, Hobolt SB. When the Pound in People's Pocket Matters: How Changes to Personal Financial Circumstances Affect Party Choice. *The Journal of Politics* 2018;;000–000. doi:10.1086/694549

125

Fortunato D, Loftis MW. Cabinet Durability and Fiscal Discipline. *American Political Science Review* 2018;;1–15. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000436

126

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance* Published Online First: 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

127

Potrafke N. Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 2017;**45**:712–50. doi:10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004

128

Schmitt C. Panel data analysis and partisan variables: how periodization does influence partisan effects. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2016;**23**:1442–59. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1091030

129

Bremer B. The missing left? Economic crisis and the programmatic response of social democratic parties in Europe. *Party Politics* 2018;**24**:23–38. doi:10.1177/1354068817740745

130

Galasso V. The role of political partisanship during economic crises. *Public Choice* 2014;**158**:143–65. doi:10.1007/s11127-012-9956-6

131

King G, Rosen O, Tanner M, et al. Ordinary Economic Voting Behavior in the Extraordinary Election of Adolf Hitler. *The Journal of Economic History* 2008;**68**. doi:10.1017/S0022050708000788

132

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance* Published Online First: 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

133

Dassonneville R, Lewis-Beck MS. A changing economic vote in Western Europe? Long-term vs. short-term forces. *European Political Science Review* 2019;**11**:91–108. doi:10.1017/S1755773918000231

134

Godwin K, Ainsworth SH, Godwin E. Lobbying and policymaking: the public pursuit of private interests. Los Angeles: : CQ Press 2013.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fsk.sagepub.com%2Fcqpress%2Flobbying-and-policymaking>

135

Falkner R. Business power and conflict in international environmental politics. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2009.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Fink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230277892>

136

Colli F, Kerremans B. Searching for influence: interest groups and social movements in the European Union. *Journal of European Integration* 2017;;1-7.

doi:10.1080/07036337.2018.1406882

137

Gilens M, Page BI. Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens. *Perspectives on Politics* 2014;**12**:564-81.

doi:10.1017/S1537592714001595

138

Coen D, Wilson GK, Wilson G, et al. *The Oxford handbook of business and government*. New York: : Oxford University Press 2010.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34537>

139

Vogel D. Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science* 1987;**17**.

doi:10.1017/S0007123400004841

140

Rasmussen A, Carroll BJ. Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science* 2014;**44**:445–59. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000750

141

Woll C. *The power of inaction: bank bailouts in comparison*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2014.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fstable%2F10.7591%2Fj.ctt5hh1zh>

142

Clift B. *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

143

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

144

Swenson PA. *Varieties of Capitalist Interests: Power, Institutions, and the Regulatory Welfare State in the United States and Sweden*. *Studies in American Political Development* 2004;**18**. doi:10.1017/S0898588X0400001X

145

Esping-Andersen G. *Politics without Class: Postindustrial Cleavages in Europe and America*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1999.  
<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9781139175050>

146

Hacker JS, Pierson P. *Winner-Take-All Politics: Public Policy, Political Organization, and the*

Precipitous Rise of Top Incomes in the United States. *Politics & Society* 2010;**38**:152–204. doi:10.1177/0032329210365042

147

Fuchs D, Lederer MM. The Power of Business. *Business and Politics* 2008;**9**. doi:10.2202/1469-3569.1214

148

Culpepper PD. Structural power and political science in the post-crisis era. *Business and Politics* 2015;**17**. doi:10.1515/bap-2015-0031

149

Bell S. The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly* 2012;**56**:661–73. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x

150

Culpepper PD, Reinke R. Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society* 2014;**42**:427–54. doi:10.1177/0032329214547342

151

Przeworski A, Wallerstein M. Structural Dependence of the State on Capital. *The American Political Science Review* 1988;**82**. doi:10.2307/1958056

152

Becker GS. A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1983;**98**. doi:10.2307/1886017

153

COEN D. The European Business Interest and the Nation State: Large-firm Lobbying in the European Union and Member States. *Journal of Public Policy* 1998;**18**:75–100.

doi:10.1017/S0143814X9800004X

154

Rasmussen A, Carroll BJ. Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science* 2014;**44**:445–59. doi:10.1017/S0007123412000750

155

Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford handbook of historical institutionalism. First edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: : Oxford University Press 2016.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.oxfordhandbooks.com%2Fview%2F10.1093%2Foxfordhb%2F9780199662814.001.0001%2Foxfordhb-9780199662814>

156

Baumgartner FR, Berry JM, Hojnacki M, et al. Lobbying and policy change: who wins, who loses, and why. Chicago: : University of Chicago Press 2009.  
<http://city.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=471787>

157

Levy D, Aseem Prakash. Bargains Old and New: Multinational Corporations in Global Governance. *Business and Politics* 2003;**5**  
[.http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.132.9040&rep=rep1&type=pdf](http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.132.9040&rep=rep1&type=pdf)

158

Siaroff A. Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: Meaning and measurement. *European Journal of Political Research* 1999;**36**:175–205. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.00467

159

Hicks A. National Collective Action and Economic Performance: A Review Article. *International Studies Quarterly* 1988;**32**. doi:10.2307/2600624



160

Binderkrantz AS, Christiansen PM. From classic to modern corporatism. Interest group representation in Danish public committees in 1975 and 2010. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;**22**:1022–39. doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.1000365

161

Schmitter PC. The Changing Politics of Organised Interests. *West European Politics* 2008;**31**:195–210. doi:10.1080/01402380701834994

162

Eising R. The access of business interests to EU institutions: towards élite pluralism? *Journal of European Public Policy* 2007;**14**:384–403. doi:10.1080/13501760701243772

163

Olson M. *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. New Haven: : Yale University Press 1982.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/j.ctt1nprdd>

164

Olson M. *The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1971.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=282683&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

165

Naoi M, Krauss E. Who Lobbies Whom? Special Interest Politics under Alternative Electoral Systems. *American Journal of Political Science* 2009;**53**:874–92.  
doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2009.00406.x

166

Hall R, Deardorff A. Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review* 2006;**100**. doi:10.1017/S0003055406062010

167

Thelen K, Fulcher J, Streeck W, et al. Beyond Corporatism: Toward a New Framework for the Study of Labor in Advanced Capitalism. *Comparative Politics* 1994;**27**. doi:10.2307/422220

168

Halpin D, Jordan AG. The scale of interest organization in democratic politics: Data and research methods. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2011. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230359239>

169

Wallerstein M, Western B. Unions in Decline? What Has Changed and Why. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2000;**3**:355–77. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.355

170

Woll C. Firm interests: how governments shape business lobbying on global trade. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 2008.

171

Culpepper PD. Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

172

Stigler GJ. The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science* 1971;**2**. doi:10.2307/3003160

173

Michael J. Hiscox. 'Class Versus Industry Cleavages: Inter--Industry Factor Mobility and the

Politics of Trade. *International Organization* 2001;**55**:1-46. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078596>

174

Rogowski R. Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. *The American Political Science Review* 1987;**81**:1121-37. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1962581?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

175

Rogowski R. *Commerce and coalitions: how trade affects domestic political alignments*. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1990.

176

Alt JE, Gilligan M. The Political Economy of Trading States: Factor Specificity, Collective Action Problems and Domestic Political Institutions. *Journal of Political Philosophy* 1994;**2**:165-92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9760.1994.tb00020.x

177

Alt JE, Carlsen F, Heum P, et al. Asset Specificity and the Political Behavior of Firms: Lobbying for Subsidies in Norway. *International Organization* 1999;**53**:99-116. doi:10.1162/002081899550823

178

Martin CJ, Swank D. *The Political Construction of Business Interests: Coordination, Growth, and Equality*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2012. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139088299>

179

Swank D. Politics and the Structural Dependence of the State in Democratic Capitalist Nations. *The American Political Science Review* 1992;**86**. doi:10.2307/1964014

180

Vogel D. Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science* 1987;**17**. doi:10.1017/S0007123400004841

181

Walker ET, Rea CM. The Political Mobilization of Firms and Industries. *Annual Review of Sociology* 2014;**40**:281–304. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043215

182

Culpepper PD, Reinke R. Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society* 2014;**42**:427–54. doi:10.1177/0032329214547342

183

Hall R, Deardorff A. Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review* 2006;**100**. doi:10.1017/S0003055406062010

184

Ademmer E, Dreher F. Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2016;**54**:508–24. doi:10.1111/jcms.12306

185

De Bruycker I. Pressure and Expertise: Explaining the Information Supply of Interest Groups in EU Legislative Lobbying. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 2016;**54**:599–616. doi:10.1111/jcms.12298

186

Bell S, Hindmoor A. Structural Power and the Politics of Bank Capital Regulation in the United Kingdom. *Political Studies Published Online First*: 13 May 2016. doi:10.1177/0032321716629479

187

Woll C. Politics in the Interest of Capital: A Not-So-Organized Combat. *Politics & Society* 2016;**44**:373–91. doi:10.1177/0032329216655318

188

Chalmers AW. When Banks Lobby: The Effects of Organizational Characteristics and Banking Regulations on International Bank Lobbying. *Business and Politics* 2017;**19**:107–34. doi:10.1017/bap.2016.7

189

Hofman A, Aalbers MB. Spaces of lobbying. *Geography Compass* 2017;**11**. doi:10.1111/gec3.12309

190

Kastner L. From Outsiders to Insiders: A Civil Society Perspective on EU Financial Reforms. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* Published Online First: 9 October 2017. doi:10.1111/jcms.12644

191

Dür A, Mateo G. Public opinion and interest group influence: how citizen groups derailed the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2014;**21**:1199–217. doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.900893

192

Bell S. The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly* 2012;**56**:661–73. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x

193

Fairfield T. Structural power in comparative political economy: perspectives from policy formulation in Latin America. *Business and Politics* 2015;**17**:411–41. doi:10.1515/bap-2014-0047

194

Giger N, Klüver H. Voting Against Your Constituents? How Lobbying Affects Representation. *American Journal of Political Science* 2016;**60**:190–205. doi:10.1111/ajps.12183

195

Evans A. The politics of pro-worker reforms. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 6 November 2018. doi:10.1093/soceco/mwy042

196

Beyers J, Braun C. Ties that count: explaining interest group access to policymakers. *Journal of Public Policy* 2014;**34**:93–121. doi:10.1017/S0143814X13000263

197

Woll C. Leading the Dance? Power and Political Resources of Business Lobbyists. *Journal of Public Policy* 2007;**27**. doi:10.1017/S0143814X07000633

198

Jackson G, Deeg R. How Many Varieties of Capitalism? Comparing the Comparative Institutional Analyses of Capitalist Diversity. 2006. [http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg\\_dp/dp06-2.pdf](http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp06-2.pdf)

199

Witt MA, Redding G. Asian business systems: institutional comparison, clusters and implications for varieties of capitalism and business systems theory. *Socio-Economic Review* 2013;**11**:265–300. doi:10.1093/ser/mwt002

200

Feldmann M. Global Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics* 2019;**71**:162–96. doi:10.1017/S0043887118000230

201

Morgan G, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford handbook of comparative institutional analysis. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34531>

202

Hall PA, Soskice DW. Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of comparative advantage. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

203

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

204

Overbeek H, Apeldoorn B van. Neoliberalism in crisis. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2012.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9781137002471>

205

Katzenstein PJ. Small states in world markets: industrial policy in Europe. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1985.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3425965>

206

Hall PA, Thelen K. Institutional change in varieties of capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review* 2008;**7**:7-34. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn020

207

Hall PA, Gingerich DW. Varieties of Capitalism and Institutional Complementarities in the Political Economy: An Empirical Analysis. *British Journal of Political Science* 2009;**39**. doi:10.1017/S0007123409000672

208

Howell C. Varieties of Capitalism: And Then There Was One? *Comparative Politics* 2003;**36**:103–24. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150162?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150162?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

209

Thelen K. Varieties of Capitalism: Trajectories of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2012;**15**:137–59. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-070110-122959

210

Crouch C. *Capitalist diversity and change: recombinant governance and institutional entrepreneurs*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2005. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&AN=149356&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

211

Thelen K. *How Institutions Evolve: The Political Economy of Skills in Germany, Britain, the United States, and Japan*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2004. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511790997>

212

Streeck W, Yamamura Kμ. *The origins of nonliberal capitalism: Germany and Japan in comparison*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 2001.

213

Thatcher M. Varieties of Capitalism in an Internationalized World: Domestic Institutional Change in European Telecommunications. *Comparative Political Studies* 2004;**37**:751–80. doi:10.1177/0010414004266868



214

Berger S, Dore R. National diversity and global capitalism. Ithaca, [N.Y.]: : Cornell University Press 1996.

215

Deeg R, Jackson G. Towards a more dynamic theory of capitalist variety. *Socio-Economic Review* 2006;**5**:149–79. doi:10.1093/ser/mwl021

216

Carney RW. Chinese Capitalism in the OECD Mirror. *New Political Economy* 2009;**14**:71–99. doi:10.1080/13563460802673309

217

Carlin W, Soskice D. German economic performance: disentangling the role of supply-side reforms, macroeconomic policy and coordinated economy institutions. *Socio-Economic Review* 2008;**7**:67–99. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn021

218

Feldmann M. Emerging Varieties of Capitalism in Transition Countries: Industrial Relations and Wage Bargaining in Estonia and Slovenia. *Comparative Political Studies* 2006;**39**:829–54. doi:10.1177/0010414006288261

219

Nölke A, Vliegenthart A. Enlarging the Varieties of Capitalism: The Emergence of Dependent Market Economies in East Central Europe. *World Politics* 2009;**61**. doi:10.1017/S0043887109990098

220

Schneider BR. Hierarchical Market Economies and Varieties of Capitalism in Latin America. *Journal of Latin American Studies* 2009;**41**. doi:10.1017/S0022216X09990186

221

Streeck W. E Pluribus Unum? Varieties and Commonalities of Capitalism. 2010. [http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg\\_dp/dp10-12.pdf](http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp10-12.pdf)

222

Soederberg S, Menz G, Cerny PG. Internalizing globalization: The rise of neoliberalism and the decline of national varieties of capitalism. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2005. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230524439>

223

Nölke A. International financial regulation and domestic coalitions in state-permeated capitalism: China and global banking rules. *International Politics* 2015;**52**:743–59. doi:10.1057/ip.2015.17

224

Storz C, Amable B, Casper S, et al. Bringing Asia into the comparative capitalism perspective. *Socio-Economic Review* 2013;**11**:217–32. doi:10.1093/ser/mwt004

225

Cusack TR, Iversen T, Soskice D. Economic Interests and the Origins of Electoral Systems. *American Political Science Review* 2007;**101**. doi:10.1017/S0003055407070384

226

Allen M. The varieties of capitalism paradigm: not enough variety? *Socio-Economic Review* 2004;**2**:87–108. doi:10.1093/soceco/2.1.87

227

Wood G, Dibben P, Ogden S. Comparative Capitalism without Capitalism, and Production without Workers: The Limits and Possibilities of Contemporary Institutional Analysis. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 2014;**16**:384–96. doi:10.1111/ijmr.12025

228

McNally CA. Sino-Capitalism: China's Reemergence and the International Political Economy. *World Politics* 2012;**64**:741–76. doi:10.1017/S0043887112000202

229

Darcillon T. How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review* 2015;**13**:477–504. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu038

230

Piore MJ. Varieties of Capitalism Theory: Its Considerable Limits. *Politics & Society* 2016;**44**:237–41. doi:10.1177/0032329216638059

231

Schneider MR, Paunescu M. Changing varieties of capitalism and revealed comparative advantages from 1990 to 2005: a test of the Hall and Soskice claims. *Socio-Economic Review* 2012;**10**:731–53. doi:10.1093/ser/mwr038

232

Farkas B. *Models of capitalism in the European Union: post-crisis perspectives*. London: : Palgrave Macmillan 2016.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9781137600578>

233

Farkas B. The Central and Eastern European model of capitalism. *Post-Communist Economies* 2011;**23**:15–34. doi:10.1080/14631377.2011.546972

234

Hall PA. Varieties of capitalism in light of the euro crisis. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2018;**25**:7–30. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1310278

235

Casey T. Mapping stability and change in advanced capitalisms. *Comparative European Politics* 2009;**7**:255–78. doi:10.1057/cep.2008.19

236

Hay C. Does capitalism (still) come in varieties? *Review of International Political Economy* 2019;**1**:1–18. doi:10.1080/09692290.2019.1633382

237

Hall PA. Policy Paradigms, Social Learning, and the State: The Case of Economic Policymaking in Britain. *Comparative Politics* 1993;**25**. doi:10.2307/422246

238

Schmidt VA, Thatcher M, editors. *Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139857086>

239

Farrell H, Quiggin J. Consensus, Dissensus and Economic Ideas: The Rise and Fall of Keynesianism During the Economic Crisis. 2012.<http://www.henryfarrell.net/Keynes.pdf>

240

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

241

Widmaier W. The power of economic ideas – through, over and in – political time: the construction, conversion and crisis of the neoliberal order in the US and UK. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;**1**:1–19. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115890

242

Schmidt VA, Thatcher M, editors. Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139857086>

243

Hall PA, Social Science Research Council (U.S.). Committee on States and Social Structures. The political power of economic ideas: Keynesianism across nations. Princeton, N.J.: : Princeton University Press 1989.

244

Blyth M. Great Transformations: Economic Ideas and Institutional Change in the Twentieth Century. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139087230>

245

Blyth M. The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change. *World Politics* 2001;**54**:1–26. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0020

246

Blyth MM. 'Any More Bright Ideas?' The Ideational Turn of Comparative Political Economy. *Comparative Politics* 1997;**29**. doi:10.2307/422082

247

Finnemore M, Sikkink K. Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2001;**4**:391–416. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391

248

Blyth M. Paradigms and Paradox: The Politics of Economic Ideas in Two Moments of Crisis. *Governance* 2013;**26**:197–215. doi:10.1111/gove.12010

249

Blyth M, EBL. *Austerity: the history of a dangerous idea*. New York, N.Y.: : Oxford University Press 2013. <http://city.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1141983>

250

Ban C, Blyth M. The BRICs and the Washington Consensus: An introduction. *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:241–55. doi:10.1080/09692290.2013.779374

251

Ferchen M. Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:390–420. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660184

252

Mukherji R. Ideas, interests, and the tipping point: Economic change in India. *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:363–89. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.716371

253

Ban C. Brazil's liberal neo-developmentalism: New paradigm or edited orthodoxy? *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:298–331. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.660183

254

Babb S. The Washington Consensus as transnational policy paradigm: Its origins, trajectory and likely successor. *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:268–97. doi:10.1080/09692290.2011.640435

255

Blyth M. Austerity as ideology: A reply to my critics. *Comparative European Politics* 2013; **11**:737–51. doi:10.1057/cep.2013.25

256

Campbell JL, Pedersen OK. Policy ideas, knowledge regimes and comparative political economy. *Socio-Economic Review* 2015; **13**:679–701. doi:10.1093/ser/mwv004

257

Culpepper PD. The Politics of Common Knowledge: Ideas and Institutional Change in Wage Bargaining. *International Organization* 2008; **62**. doi:10.1017/S0020818308080016

258

Jacobsen JK. Much Ado About Ideas: The Cognitive Factor in Economic Policy. *World Politics* 1995; **47**:283–310. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016117

259

McNamara KR. *The currency of ideas: monetary politics in the European Union*. Pbk. ed. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1999.

260

Abdelal R, Blyth M, Parsons C. *Constructing the international economy*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 2010.

261

Abdelal R, Blyth M, Parsons C. *Constructing the international economy*. Ithaca, NY: : Cornell University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7591/j.ctt7v789>

262

Crouch C, EBL. *Strange non-death of neo-liberalism*. Cambridge: : Polity Press 2013.

<http://city.eblib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=1184115>

263

Peter M. Haas. Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization* 1992;**46**:1–35. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706951>

264

SCHMIDT VA. Does Discourse Matter in the Politics of Welfare State Adjustment? *Comparative Political Studies* 2002;**35**:168–93. doi:10.1177/0010414002035002002

265

Clift B. *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

266

Blyth M. The new Ideas scholarship in the mirror of historical institutionalism: a case of old whines in new bottles? *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;;1–8. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1118292

267

Matthijs M. Powerful rules governing the euro: the perverse logic of German ideas. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;;1–17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115535

268

Carstensen MB, Schmidt VA. Power through, over and in ideas: conceptualizing ideational power in discursive institutionalism. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;;1–20. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1115534

269

Morrison JA. Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. *International Organization* 2015;;1–33.



doi:10.1017/S0020818315000314

270

Helgadóttir O. The Bocconi boys go to Brussels: Italian economic ideas, professional networks and European austerity. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;:1–18. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1106573

271

Rodrik D. When Ideas Trump Interests: Preferences, Worldviews, and Policy Innovations. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 2014;**28**:189–208. doi:10.1257/jep.28.1.189

272

Béland D, Cox RH, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Ideas and politics in social science research. Oxford, [England]: : Oxford University Press 2011. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F5465>

273

Appel H, Orenstein MA. Why did Neoliberalism Triumph and Endure in the Post-Communist World? *Comparative Politics* 2016;**48**:313–31. doi:10.5129/001041516818254419

274

Stirling A, Laybourn-Langton L. Time for a New Paradigm? Past and Present Transitions in Economic Policy. *The Political Quarterly* Published Online First: 1 September 2017. doi:10.1111/1467-923X.12415

275

Hopkin J, Rosamond B. Post-truth Politics, Bullshit and Bad Ideas: 'Deficit Fetishism' in the UK. *New Political Economy* 2017;:1–15. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1373757

276

Christensen J, Ebook Central. The power of economists within the state. Stanford, California: : Stanford University Press 2017.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=4862151>

277

Morrison JA. Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. International Organization 2016;**70**:175–207.  
doi:10.1017/S0020818315000314

278

Bremer B, McDaniel S. The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the context of the great recession. Socio-Economic Review Published Online First: 14 February 2019. doi:10.1093/ser/mwz001

279

Salverda W, Nolan B, Smeeding TM, et al. The Oxford handbook of economic inequality. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34553>

280

Boix C, Stokes SC, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics . New York: : Oxford University Press, Incorporated  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F28345>

281

Pierson P. The New Politics of the Welfare State. World Politics 1996;**48**:143–79.  
doi:10.1353/wp.1996.0004

282

Clark WR, Golder M, Golder SN. Principles of comparative politics. Third edition, International student edition. Thousand Oaks, California: : SAGE 2018.

283

Rudra N. Globalization and the Race to the Bottom in Developing Countries: Who Really Gets Hurt? Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2008.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511491870>

284

Esping-Andersen G. The three worlds of welfare capitalism. Cambridge: : Polity 1990.

285

Garrett G. Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle? International Organization 1998;**52**:787–824. doi:10.1162/002081898550752

286

Scheve K. Religion and Preferences for Social Insurance. Quarterly Journal of Political Science 2006;**1**:255–86. doi:10.1561/100.00005052

287

Alesina A, Glaeser E, Sacerdote B. Why Doesn't The US Have A European-Style Welfare State? 2011.[http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/glaeser/files/why\\_doesnt\\_the\\_u.s.\\_have\\_a\\_european-style\\_welfare\\_state.pdf](http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/glaeser/files/why_doesnt_the_u.s._have_a_european-style_welfare_state.pdf)

288

Iversen T, Cusack TR. The Causes of Welfare State Expansion: Deindustrialization or Globalization? World Politics 2000;**52**:313–49. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016567

289

Cusack T, Iversen T, Rehm P. Risks at Work: The Demand and Supply Sides of Government Redistribution. Oxford Review of Economic Policy 2006;**22**:365–89.  
doi:10.1093/oxrep/grj022

290

Lichbach MI, Zuckerman AS. *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

291

Boix C. *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

292

Cusack TR. Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy. *Comparative Political Studies* 1999;**32**:464-86. doi:10.1177/0010414099032004003

293

Iversen T, Soskice D. Electoral Institutions and the Politics of Coalitions: Why Some Democracies Redistribute More Than Others. *American Political Science Review* 2006;**100**. doi:10.1017/S0003055406062083

294

Iversen T. *Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511758645>

295

Whitten GD, Williams LK. Battered Guns and Welfare Hawks: The Politics of Defense Spending in Advanced Industrial Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 2011;**55**:117-34. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00479.x

296

Lupu N, Pontusson J. The Structure of Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution. *American Political Science Review* 2011;**105**:316–36. doi:10.1017/S0003055411000128

297

Iversen T, Soskice D. Distribution and Redistribution: The Shadow of the Nineteenth Century. *World Politics* 2009;**61**. doi:10.1017/S004388710900015X

298

Bartels LM. Homer Gets a Tax Cut: Inequality and Public Policy in the American Mind. *Perspectives on Politics* 2005;**3**. doi:10.1017/S1537592705050036

299

Ross F. Cutting Public Expenditures in Advanced Industrial Democracies: The Importance of Avoiding Blame. *Governance* 1997;**10**:175–200. doi:10.1111/0952-1895.361997036

300

Quinn DP, Shapiro RY. Business Political Power: The Case of Taxation. *The American Political Science Review* 1991;**85**. doi:10.2307/1963853

301

Moene KO, Wallerstein M. Earnings Inequality and Welfare Spending: A Disaggregated Analysis. *World Politics* 2003;**55**:485–516. doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0022

302

Hicks A, Kenworthy L. Varieties of welfare capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review* 2003;**1**:27–61. doi:10.1093/soceco/1.1.27

303

Swenson P, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Capitalists against markets: the making of labor markets and welfare states in the United States and Sweden. New York: : Oxford University Press 2002.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F11836>

304

Giger N, Nelson M. The electoral consequences of welfare state retrenchment: Blame avoidance or credit claiming in the era of permanent austerity? *European Journal of Political Research* 2011;**50**:1–23. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01922.x

305

Mares I. The Sources of Business Interest in Social Insurance: Sectoral versus National Differences. *World Politics* 2003;**55**:229–58. doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0012

306

Rehm P, Hacker JS, Schlesinger M. Insecure Alliances: Risk, Inequality, and Support for the Welfare State. *American Political Science Review* 2012;**106**:386–406.  
doi:10.1017/S0003055412000147

307

Swank D, Martin CJ. Employers and the Welfare State: The Political Economic Organization of Firms and Social Policy in Contemporary Capitalist Democracies. *Comparative Political Studies* 2001;**34**:889–923. doi:10.1177/0010414001034008003

308

Clayton R, Pontusson J. Welfare-State Retrenchment Revisited: Entitlement Cuts, Public Sector Restructuring, and Inegalitarian Trends in Advanced Capitalist Societies. *World Politics* 1998;**51**:67–98. doi:10.1017/S0043887100007796

309

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics* 2006;**58**:167–206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

310

Paster T. Business and Welfare State Development: Why Did Employers Accept Social Reforms? *World Politics* 2013;**65**:416–51. doi:10.1017/S0043887113000117

311

Mares I, Carnes ME. Social Policy in Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2009;**12**:93–113. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.12.071207.093504

312

Pierson P, ebrary, Inc, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. The new politics of the welfare state. Oxford [England]: : Oxford University Press 2001.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F25843>

313

Kaufman RR, Segura-Ubiergo A. Globalization, Domestic Politics, and Social Spending in Latin America: A Time-Series Cross-Section Analysis, 1973–97. *World Politics* 2001;**53**:553–87. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0016

314

Rudra N, Haggard S. Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies* 2005;**38**:1015–49.  
doi:10.1177/0010414005279258

315

Kenworthy L, Pontusson J. Rising Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution in Affluent Countries. *Perspectives on Politics* 2005;**3**. doi:10.1017/S1537592705050292

316

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World*

Politics 2006;**58**:167–206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

317

Bussemeyer MR. From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research* 2009;**48**:455–82.  
doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x

318

Jahn D. Globalization as 'Galton's Problem': The Missing Link in the Analysis of Diffusion Patterns in Welfare State Development. *International Organization* 2006;**60**.  
doi:10.1017/S0020818306060127

319

Swank D. *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

320

Wibbels E. Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization* 2006;**60**.  
doi:10.1017/S0020818306060139

321

Manow P. Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review* 2008;**7**:101–21.  
doi:10.1093/ser/mwn022

322

Alesina A, Giugliano P. Preferences for Redistribution. 2009.  
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w14825.pdf>



323

Walter S. Crisis Politics in Europe: Why Austerity Is Easier to Implement in Some Countries Than in Others. *Comparative Political Studies* Published Online First: 16 December 2015. doi:10.1177/0010414015617967

324

Pontusson J, Raess D. How (and Why) Is This Time Different? The Politics of Economic Crisis in Western Europe and the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2012;**15**:13–33. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-031710-100955

325

Rodrik D. Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy* 1998;**106**:997–1032. doi:10.1086/250038

326

Adserà A, Boix C. Trade, Democracy, and the Size of the Public Sector: The Political Underpinnings of Openness. *International Organization* 2002;**56**:229–62. doi:10.1162/002081802320005478

327

Cameron DR. The Expansion of the Public Economy: A Comparative Analysis. *American Political Science Review* 1978;**72**:1243–61. doi:10.2307/1954537

328

Wibbels E. Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization* 2006;**60**. doi:10.1017/S0020818306060139

329

Mosley L. Room to Move: International Financial Markets and National Welfare States. *International Organization* 2000;**54**:737–73. doi:10.1162/002081800551352

330

Swank D. Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

331

Mosley L. Global Capital and National Governments. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615672>

332

Armingeon K. The Politics of Fiscal Responses to the Crisis of 2008-2009. Governance 2012;**25**:543-65. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0491.2012.01594.x

333

Clift B. Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

334

Mosley L. Global Capital and National Governments. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511615672>

335

Boix C. Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 1998.

<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174947>

336

Cheibub JA. Presidentialism, Electoral Identifiability, and Budget Balances in Democratic Systems. *American Political Science Review* 2006;**100**. doi:10.1017/S000305540606223X

337

Hacker JS, Pierson P. Abandoning the Middle: The Bush Tax Cuts and the Limits of Democratic Control. *Perspectives on Politics* 2005;**3**. doi:10.1017/S1537592705050048

338

Bradley D, Huber E, Moller S, et al. Distribution and Redistribution in Postindustrial Democracies. *World Politics* 2003;**55**:193–228. doi:10.1353/wp.2003.0009

339

Hicks AM, Swank DH. Politics, Institutions, and Welfare Spending in Industrialized Democracies, 1960-82. *The American Political Science Review* 1992;**86**. doi:10.2307/1964129

340

Klitgaard MB, Schumacher G, Soentken M. The partisan politics of institutional welfare state reform. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2014;:1–19. doi:10.1080/13501763.2014.978355

341

Heins E, de la Porte C. The sovereign debt crisis, the EU and welfare state reform. *Comparative European Politics* 2015;**13**:1–7. doi:10.1057/cep.2014.38

342

Barnes L. The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 27 March 2014. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu007

343

Iversen T, Soskice D. Democratic Limits to Redistribution: Inclusionary versus Exclusionary Coalitions in the Knowledge Economy. *World Politics* 2015;:1-41. doi:10.1017/S0043887115000039

344

Finseraas H, Vernby K. What parties are and what parties do: partisanship and welfare state reform in an era of austerity. *Socio-Economic Review* 2011;9:613-38. doi:10.1093/ser/mwr003

345

Rueda D. The State of the Welfare State: Unemployment, Labor Market Policy, and Inequality in the Age of Workfare. *Comparative Politics* 2015;47:296-314. doi:10.5129/001041515814709275

346

van Nispen FKM. Policy Analysis in Times of Austerity: A Cross-National Comparison of Spending Reviews. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice* 2015;:1-23. doi:10.1080/13876988.2015.1005929

347

Afonso A. Choosing whom to betray: populist right-wing parties, welfare state reforms and the trade-off between office and votes. *European Political Science Review* 2015;7:271-92. doi:10.1017/S1755773914000125

348

Kersbergen K van, Vis B. *Comparative Welfare State Politics: Development, Opportunities, and Reform*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139021852>

349

Jensen C, Seeberg HB. The power of talk and the welfare state: evidence from 23 countries on an asymmetric opposition-government response mechanism. *Socio-Economic Review* 2015;13:215-33. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu016

350

Bohle D. Varieties of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *West European Politics* 2015;:1-2. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1114296

351

Mares I. The Comparative Political Economy of the Welfare State. In: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2009. 358-75. <http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1017/CBO9780511804007>

352

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research* 2015;**54**:1-22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

353

Hübscher E. The politics of fiscal consolidation revisited. *Journal of Public Policy* 2015;:1-29. doi:10.1017/S0143814X15000057

354

Plümper T, Troeger VE, Winner H. Why is There No Race to the Bottom in Capital Taxation? *International Studies Quarterly* 2009;**53**:761-86. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00555.x

355

Dellepiane-Avellaneda S, Hardiman N. Fiscal politics in time: pathways to fiscal consolidation in Ireland, Greece, Britain, and Spain, 1980-2012. *European Political Science Review* 2015;**7**:189-219. doi:10.1017/S1755773914000186

356

Lierse H, Seelkopf L. Room to Manoeuvre? International Financial Markets and the National Tax State. *New Political Economy* 2016;**21**:145-65. doi:10.1080/13563467.2014.999761

357

Swank D. Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

358

Allan JP, Scruggs L. Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. American Journal of Political Science 2004;**48**:496–512.  
doi:10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x

359

Clift B. Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

360

Iversen T. Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511758645>

361

Swank D. Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2002.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511613371>

362

Armingeon K, Guthmann K, Weisstanner D. Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. West European Politics 2015;;1–20. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072

363

Manow P. Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review* 2008;**7**:101–21. doi:10.1093/ser/mwn022

364

Korpi W. Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics* 2006;**58**:167–206. doi:10.1353/wp.2006.0026

365

Blyth M. The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change. *World Politics* 2001;**54**:1–26. doi:10.1353/wp.2001.0020

366

Ha E. Globalization, Veto Players, and Welfare Spending. *Comparative Political Studies* 2007;**41**:783–813. doi:10.1177/0010414006298938

367

Swank D. Taxing choices: international competition, domestic institutions and the transformation of corporate tax policy. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;:1–33. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1053511

368

Ostry J, Berg A, Tsangarides C. Redistribution, Inequality, and Growth. <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/sdn/2014/sdn1402.pdf>

369

Fernández-Albertos J, Manzano D. Dualism and support for the welfare state. *Comparative European Politics* 2016;**14**:349–75. doi:10.1057/cep.2014.32

370

Armingeon K, Guthmann K, Weisstanner D. Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. *West European Politics* 2016;**39**:628–47. doi:10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072

371

Bueno de Mesquita B, Downs G, Smith A. A Political Economy of Income Tax Policies. *Political Science Research and Methods* 2017;**5**:1–29. doi:10.1017/psrm.2015.53

372

Menendez I. Globalization and Welfare Spending: How Geography and Electoral Institutions Condition Compensation. *International Studies Quarterly* 2016;**60**:665–76. doi:10.1093/isq/sqw028

373

ARNOLD T, STADELMANN-STEFFEN I. How federalism influences welfare spending: Belgium federalism reform through the perspective of the synthetic control method. *European Journal of Political Research* Published Online First: March 2017. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12196

374

Baumgartner FR, Carammia M, Epp DA, et al. Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2017;**1**–17. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482

375

Franco Chuaire M, Scartascini C, Tommasi M. State capacity and the quality of policies revisiting the relationship between openness and government size. *Economics & Politics* Published Online First: 20 April 2017. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12090

376

Busemeyer MR, Garritzmann JL. Public opinion on policy and budgetary trade-offs in European welfare states: evidence from a new comparative survey. *Journal of European*



Public Policy 2017;:1–19. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1298658

377

Barnes L. The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review* 2015;**13**:55–78. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu007

378

Oser J, Hooghe M. Give Me Your Tired, Your Poor? Support for Social Citizenship Rights in the United States and Europe. *Sociological Perspectives* Published Online First: 26 March 2017. doi:10.1177/0731121417697305

379

König PD, Wenzelburger G. Honeymoon in the crisis: A comparative analysis of the strategic timing of austerity policies and their effect on government popularity in three countries. *Comparative European Politics* 2017;**15**:991–1015. doi:10.1057/cep.2016.1

380

Osterloh S, Debus M. Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy* 2012;**28**:192–207. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002

381

JENSEN C. Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research* 2010;**49**:282–99. doi:10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x

382

Raess D, Pontusson J. The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research* 2015;**54**:1–22. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12074

383

Neundorf A, Soroka S. The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics* 2017;:1–28.

doi:10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666

384

Pontusson J, Weisstanner D. Macroeconomic conditions, inequality shocks and the politics of redistribution, 1990–2013. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2018;**25**:31–58. doi:10.1080/13501763.2017.1310280

385

Scruggs L, Hayes TJ. The Influence of Inequality on Welfare Generosity. *Politics & Society* 2017;**45**:35–66. doi:10.1177/0032329216683165

386

Neundorff A, Soroka S. The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics* 2018;**41**:400–27. doi:10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666

387

Feierherd G, Schiumerini L, Stokes S. When Do the Wealthy Support Redistribution? Inequality Aversion in Buenos Aires. *British Journal of Political Science* 2017;:1–13. doi:10.1017/S0007123417000588

388

Emmenegger P, Marx P. The Politics of Inequality as Organised Spectacle: Why the Swiss Do Not Want to Tax the Rich. *New Political Economy* 2018;:1–22. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1420641

389

Alemán J, Woods D. A Comparative Analysis of Inequality and Redistribution in Democracies. *International Studies Quarterly* 2018;**62**:171–81. doi:10.1093/isq/sqx089

390

Abou-Chadi T, Immergut EM. Recalibrating social protection: Electoral competition and the new partisan politics of the welfare state. *European Journal of Political Research* Published Online First: 15 October 2018. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12308

391

Savage L. The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance* Published Online First: 2 September 2018. doi:10.1111/gove.12354

392

Bremer B, McDaniel S. The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the context of the great recession. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 14 February 2019. doi:10.1093/ser/mwz001

393

Savage L. Religion, partisanship and preferences for redistribution. *European Journal of Political Research* Published Online First: 16 May 2019. doi:10.1111/1475-6765.12341

394

Lockwood E. The Global Politics of Central Banking: A View from Political Science. [https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/55059/2016\\_WP5\\_Lockwood.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/55059/2016_WP5_Lockwood.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)

395

McNamara K. Rational Fictions: Central Bank Independence and the Social Logic of Delegation. *West European Politics* 2002;**25**:47–76. doi:10.1080/713601585

396

Fernández-Albertos J. The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2015;**18**:217–37. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-071112-221121

397

Lombardi D, Moschella M. The institutional and cultural foundations of the Federal Reserve's and ECB's non-standard policies. *Stato e Mercato*. 2015. doi:10.1425/79495

398

Bernhard W, Broz JL, Clark WR. The Political Economy of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization* 2002;**56**:693–723. doi:10.1162/002081802760403748

399

Scheve K. Public Inflation Aversion and the Political Economy of Macroeconomic Policymaking. *International Organization* 2004;**58**. doi:10.1017/S0020818304581018

400

Goodman JB. The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Comparative Politics* 1991;**23**. doi:10.2307/422090

401

Crowe C, Meade EE. The Evolution of Central Bank Governance around the World. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 2007;**21**:69–90. doi:10.1257/jep.21.4.69

402

Bernhard W. A Political Explanation of Variations in Central Bank Independence. *The American Political Science Review* 1998;**92**. doi:10.2307/2585666

403

Polillo S, Guillén MF. Globalization Pressures and the State: The Worldwide Spread of Central Bank Independence<sup>1</sup>. *American Journal of Sociology* 2005;**110**:1764–802. doi:10.1086/428685

404

Marcussen M. Central banks on the move. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2005;**12**:903–23. doi:10.1080/13501760500161597

405

Cukierman A. Monetary policy and institutions before, during, and after the global financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Stability* 2013;**9**:373–84. doi:10.1016/j.jfs.2013.02.002

406

Adolph C. Bankers, Bureaucrats, and Central Bank Politics: The Myth of Neutrality. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139506762>

407

Hall PA, Franzese RJ. Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union. *International Organization* 1998;**52**:505–35. doi:10.1162/002081898550644

408

Clark WR, Arel-Bundock V. Independent but Not Indifferent: Partisan Bias in Monetary Policy at the Fed. *Economics & Politics* 2013;**25**:1–26. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12006

409

King M. Epistemic Communities and the Diffusion of Ideas: Central Bank Reform in the United Kingdom. *West European Politics* 2005;**28**:94–123. doi:10.1080/0140238042000297107

410

Cukierman A, Web SB, Neyapti B. Measuring the Independence of Central Banks and Its Effect on Policy Outcomes. *The World Bank Economic Review* 1992;**6**:353–98. doi:10.1093/wber/6.3.353

411

Elgie R. The politics of the European Central Bank: principal-agent theory and the

democratic deficit. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2002;**9**:186–200.  
doi:10.1080/13501760110120219

412

McNamara KR. *The currency of ideas: monetary politics in the European Union*. Pbk. ed. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1999.

413

Lohmann S. Federalism and Central bank Independence: The Politics of German Monetary Policy, 1957–92. *World Politics* 1998;**50**:401–46. doi:10.1017/S0043887100012867

414

Broz JL. The Origins of Central Banking: Solutions to the Free-Rider Problem. *International Organization* 1998;**52**:231–68. doi:10.1162/002081898753162811

415

Quaglia L. An Integrative Approach to the Politics of Central Bank Independence: Lessons from Britain, Germany and Italy. *West European Politics* 2005;**28**:549–68.  
doi:10.1080/01402380500085798

416

Chang KH. *Appointing Central Bankers: The Politics of Monetary Policy in the United States and the European Monetary Union*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2003.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511510526>

417

The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review* 2003;**97**:407–23.  
doi:10.1017.S0003055403000777

418

Mukherjee B, Singer DA. Monetary Institutions, Partisanship, and Inflation Targeting. International Organization 2008;**62**. doi:10.1017/S0020818308080119

419

Broz JL. Political System Transparency and Monetary Commitment Regimes. International Organization 2002;**56**:861–87. doi:10.1162/002081802760403801

420

Posen A. Declarations Are Not Enough: Financial Sector Sources of Central Bank Independence. 1995;:253–74.<http://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/nbrnberch/11021.htm>

421

Hallerberg M. Veto Players and the Choice of Monetary Institutions. International Organization 2002;**56**:775–802. doi:10.1162/002081802760403775

422

WAY C. Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. Comparative Political Studies 2000;**33**:196–224. doi:10.1177/0010414000033002002

423

Frieden J. Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance. International Organization 1991;**45**:425–51.<http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/2706944?uid=3738032&uid=2134&uid=2&uid=70&uid=4&sid=21102569325157>

424

Frieden JA. Real Sources of European Currency Policy: Sectoral Interests and European Monetary Integration. International Organization 2002;**56**:831–60. doi:10.1162/002081802760403793

425

Bodea C. Independent central banks, regime type, and fiscal performance: the case of post-communist countries. *Public Choice* 2013;**155**:81–107.  
doi:10.1007/s11127-011-9843-6

426

Jeong G-H, Miller GJ, Sobel AC. Political Compromise and Bureaucratic Structure: The Political Origins of the Federal Reserve System. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 2009;**25**:472–98. doi:10.1093/jleo/ewn010

427

Verdun A. The Institutional Design of EMU: A Democratic Deficit? *Journal of Public Policy* 1998;**18**:107–32.[http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007626?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007626?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

428

Quaglia L, Taylor & Francis. Central banking governance in the European Union: a comparative analysis. London: : Routledge 2008.  
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203932803>

429

Lombardi D, Moschella M. The government bond buying programmes of the European Central Bank: an analysis of their policy settings. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2015;:1–20. doi:10.1080/13501763.2015.1069374

430

Arnove M, Laurens BJ, Segalotto J-F. Measures of Central Bank Autonomy: Empirical Evidence for OECD, Developing, and Emerging Market Economies. ;**WP/06/228**  
[.https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2006/wp06228.pdf](https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2006/wp06228.pdf)

431

Davies H, Davies H, Green D. Banking on the future: the fall and rise of central banking. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2010.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=557127>



432

Braun B. Governing the future: the European Central Bank's expectation management during the Great Moderation. *Economy and Society* 2015;**44**:367–91. doi:10.1080/03085147.2015.1049447

433

Broz L. The Federal Reserve as global lender of last resort, 2007-2010. Published Online First: 2015.<http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/60951/>

434

Bodea C, Hicks R. Price Stability and Central Bank Independence: Discipline, Credibility, and Democratic Institutions. *International Organization* 2014;;1–27. doi:10.1017/S0020818314000277

435

Schwartz HM. Banking on the FED: QE1-2-3 and the Rebalancing of the Global Economy. *New Political Economy* 2015;;1–23. doi:10.1080/13563467.2015.1041480

436

Dobler M, Gray S, Murphy D, et al. The Lender of Last Resort Function after the Global Financial Crisis. ;**WP/16/10**.<http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2016/wp1610.pdf>

437

WAY C. Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. *Comparative Political Studies* 2000;**33**:196–224. doi:10.1177/0010414000033002002

438

Bodea C, Higashijima M. Central Bank Independence and Fiscal Policy: Can the Central Bank Restrain Deficit Spending? *British Journal of Political Science* 2015;;1–24. doi:10.1017/S0007123415000058

439

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 2012;**31**:1126–39. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

440

Lepers E. The Neutrality Illusion: Biased Economics, Biased Training, and Biased Monetary Policy. Testing the Role of Ideology on FOMC Voting Behaviour. *New Political Economy* 2017;:1–23. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1332019

441

Goodhart C, Lastra R. Populism and Central Bank Independence. *Open Economies Review* 2018;**29**:49–68. doi:10.1007/s11079-017-9447-y

442

Jacobs LR, King D. The Fed's Political Economy. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2018;:1–5. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000884

443

Adolph C. The Missing Politics of Central Banks. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2018;:1–6. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000847

444

Pontusson J. The Fed, Finance, and Inequality in Comparative Perspective. *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2018;:1–4. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000860

445

Binder S, Spindel M. Why Study Monetary Politics? *PS: Political Science & Politics* 2018;:1–5. doi:10.1017/S1049096518000835

446

Braun B. Speaking to the people? Money, trust, and central bank legitimacy in the age of quantitative easing. *Review of International Political Economy* 2016;**23**:1064–92. doi:10.1080/09692290.2016.1252415

447

Johnson J, Arel-Bundock V, Portniaguine V. Adding Rooms onto a House We Love: Central Banking after the Global Financial Crisis. *Public Administration* Published Online First: 26 October 2018. doi:10.1111/padm.12567

448

de Haan J, Eijffinger S. The politics of central bank independence. Published Online First: 2016. [https://www.dnb.nl/en/binaries/Working%20paper%20539\\_tcm47-350814.pdf](https://www.dnb.nl/en/binaries/Working%20paper%20539_tcm47-350814.pdf)

449

Alesina A, Stella A. The Politics of Monetary Policy. Published Online First: 2010. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w15856.pdf>

450

Alesina A, Stella A. The Politics of Monetary Policy. In: *Handbook of Monetary Economics: Vols. 3A & 3B, Set*. San Diego: : North Holland [Imprint] 1001–54. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53454-5.00006-2

451

Belke A, Potrafke N. Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 2012;**31**:1126–39. doi:10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014

452

Crowe C. Goal independent central banks: Why politicians decide to delegate. *European Journal of Political Economy* 2008;**24**:748–62. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2008.05.002

453

Keefer P, Stasavage D. The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review* 2003;**97**. doi:10.1017/S0003055403000777

454

Dumiter FC. Central Bank Independence, Transparency and Accountability Indexes: a Survey. *Timisoara Journal of Economics and Business* 2014;**7**:35–54. doi:10.2478/tjeb-2014-0002

455

Garriga AC. Central Bank Independence in the World: A New Data Set. *International Interactions* 2016;**42**:849–68. doi:10.1080/03050629.2016.1188813

456

Vaubel R. The bureaucratic and partisan behavior of independent central banks: German and international evidence. *European Journal of Political Economy* 1997;**13**:201–24. doi:10.1016/S0176-2680(97)00004-9

457

Giesenow FM, de Haan J. The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics* Published Online First: 10 January 2019. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12126

458

Kaya A, Golub S, Kuperberg M, et al. The Federal Reserve's Dual Mandate and the Inflation-Unemployment Tradeoff. *Contemporary Economic Policy* Published Online First: 20 February 2019. doi:10.1111/coep.12422

459

McPhilemy S, Moschella M. Central Banks under stress Reputation, Accountability and Regulatory Coherence. *Public Administration* Published Online First: 23 May 2019. doi:10.1111/padm.12606

460

Reisenbichler A. The politics of quantitative easing and housing stimulus by the Federal Reserve and European Central Bank, 2008–2018. *West European Politics* 2019;:1–21. doi:10.1080/01402382.2019.1612160

461

Giesenow FM, de Haan J. The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics* 2019;**31**:216–39. doi:10.1111/ecpo.12126

462

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M, et al. *The Oxford handbook of regulation*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34523>

463

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M, et al. *The Oxford handbook of regulation*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34523>

464

Webb Yackee S. The Politics of Rulemaking in the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2019;**22**. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-050817-092302

465

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M. *Understanding regulation: theory, strategy, and practice*. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=829488>

466

Baldwin R, Cave M, Lodge M. Regulatory Strategies (Chapter 7). In: Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice. Oxford University Press, USA 2011. 105–36.

467

Thatcher M. Regulation after delegation: independent regulatory agencies in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2002;**9**:954–72. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046445

468

Majone G. From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy* 1997;**17**. doi:10.1017/S0143814X00003524

469

Vogel SK. *Freer markets, more rules: regulatory reform in advanced industrial countries*. Ithaca, N.Y.: : Cornell University Press 1996.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.jstor.org%2Fstable%2F10.7591%2Fj.ctv1nhm3h>

470

Vogel D. Chapter 1. Understanding Regulatory Reform. In: *Freer Markets, More Rules: Regulatory Reform in Advanced Industrial Countries*. Ithaca: : Cornell University Press 1995. 9–24.

471

Levi-Faur D. The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Capitalism. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 2005;**598**:12–32. doi:10.1177/0002716204272371

472

Thatcher M. Delegation to Independent Regulatory Agencies: Pressures, Functions and Contextual Mediation. *West European Politics* 2002;**25**:125–47. doi:10.1080/713601588

473

Gilardi F. The Same, But Different: Central Banks, Regulatory Agencies, and the Politics of Delegation to Independent Authorities. *Comparative European Politics* 2007;**5**:303-27.  
doi:10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110098

474

Carpenter D, Moss DA, editors. Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit it. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139565875>

475

Carpenter D, Moss DA, editors. Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit it. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2013.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139565875>

476

Carrigan C, Coglianese C. The Politics of Regulation: From New Institutionalism to New Governance. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2011;**14**:107-29.  
doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.032408.171344

477

Majone G. From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy* 1997;**17**.  
doi:10.1017/S0143814X00003524

478

Baldwin R, Baldwin R, Cave M, et al. Understanding regulation: theory, strategy, and practice. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=829488>

479

Gilardi F. Policy credibility and delegation to independent regulatory agencies: a comparative empirical analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2002;**9**:873–93. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046409

480

Hancké B, Rhodes M, Thatcher M. *Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=CityUniLon&isbn=9780191525681>

481

Gandrud C. The diffusion of financial supervisory governance ideas. *Review of International Political Economy* 2013;**20**:881–916. doi:10.1080/09692290.2012.727362

482

Stigler GJ. The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science* 1971;**2**. doi:10.2307/3003160

483

Peltzman S. Toward a More General Theory of Regulation. *The Journal of Law and Economics* 1976;**19**:211–40. doi:10.1086/466865

484

Reed KK. A Look at Firm--Regulator Exchanges: Friendly Enough or Too Friendly? *Business & Society* 2009;**48**:147–78. doi:10.1177/0007650308316525

485

Moran M. Review Article: Understanding the Regulatory State. *British Journal of Political Science* 2002;**32**:391–413. doi:10.1017/S0007123402000169

486



Gilardi F. The Institutional Foundations of Regulatory Capitalism: The Diffusion of Independent Regulatory Agencies in Western Europe. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 2005;**598**:84–101. doi:10.1177/0002716204271833

487

Jordana J, Levi-Faur D, i Marin XF. The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Agencies: Channels of Transfer and Stages of Diffusion. *Comparative Political Studies* 2011;**44**:1343–69. doi:10.1177/0010414011407466

488

Braithwaite J, Drahos P. *Global business regulation*. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2000.

489

Levi-Faur D Sharon Gilad, Gilad S. The Rise of the British Regulatory State: Transcending the Privatization Debate. *Comparative Politics* 2004;**37**:105–24. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150126?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/4150126?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

490

Moe TM. Control and Feedback in Economic Regulation: The Case of the NLRB. *The American Political Science Review* 1985;**79**. doi:10.2307/1956250

491

Dal Bo E. Regulatory Capture: A Review. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 2006;**22**:203–25. doi:10.1093/oxrep/grj013

492

Becker GS. A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1983;**98**. doi:10.2307/1886017

493

Elgie R, McMenamin I. Credible Commitment, Political Uncertainty or Policy Complexity? Explaining Variations in the Independence of Non-majoritarian Institutions in France. *British Journal of Political Science* 2005;**35**:531–48. doi:10.1017/S0007123405000281

494

Guidi M. Delegation and varieties of capitalism: Explaining the independence of national competition agencies in the European Union. *Comparative European Politics* 2014;**12**:343–65. doi:10.1057/cep.2013.6

495

Hanretty C, Koop C. Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance* 2013;**7**:195–214. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x

496

Maggetti M. De facto independence after delegation: A fuzzy-set analysis. *Regulation & Governance* 2007;**1**:271–94. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2007.00023.x

497

Carpenter DP. Protection without Capture: Product Approval by a Politically Responsive, Learning Regulator. *American Political Science Review* 2004;**98**. doi:10.1017/S0003055404041383

498

Baxter L. Capture in Financial Regulation: Can We Redirect It Toward the Common Good? *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy* Published Online First: 2011. [http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/faculty\\_scholarship/2355/](http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/faculty_scholarship/2355/)

499

Majone G. The rise of the regulatory state in Europe. *West European Politics* 1994;**17**:77–101. doi:10.1080/01402389408425031

500

Thatcher M. Regulatory agencies, the state and markets: a Franco-British comparison. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2007;**14**:1028–47. doi:10.1080/13501760701576510

501

McCubbins MD, Schwartz T. Congressional Oversight Overlooked: Police Patrols versus Fire Alarms. *American Journal of Political Science* 1984;**28**:165–79. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/2110792?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/2110792?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

502

Guardiancich I, Guidi M. Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? *Regulation & Governance* 2015;;n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/rego.12080

503

Fernández-i-Marín X, Jordana J. The emergence of regulatory regionalism: transnational networks and the diffusion of regulatory agencies within regions. *Contemporary Politics* 2015;;1–18. doi:10.1080/13569775.2015.1010776

504

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. Credibility Versus Control: Agency Independence and Partisan Influence in the Regulatory State. *Comparative Political Studies* 2015;**48**:823–53. doi:10.1177/0010414014558259

505

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. The Politicization of Regulatory Agencies: Between Partisan Influence and Formal Independence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2016;**26**:507–18. doi:10.1093/jopart/muv022

506

Guardiancich I, Guidi M. Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? *Regulation & Governance* 2016;**10**:211–29. doi:10.1111/rego.12080

507

Ennsner-Jedenastik L. Do parties matter in delegation? Partisan preferences and the creation of regulatory agencies in Europe. *Regulation & Governance* 2016;**10**:193–210. doi:10.1111/rego.12072

508

Abbott KW, Levi-faur D, Snidal D. Theorizing Regulatory Intermediaries. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 2017;**670**:14–35. doi:10.1177/0002716216688272

509

Hanretty C, Koop C. Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance* 2013;**7**:195–214. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x

510

Pavón Mediano A. Agencies' formal independence and credible commitment in the Latin American regulatory state: A comparative analysis of 8 countries and 13 sectors. *Regulation & Governance* Published Online First: 15 February 2018. doi:10.1111/rego.12187

511

Eckert S. Two spheres of regulation: Balancing social and economic goals. *Regulation & Governance* 2018;**12**:177–91. doi:10.1111/rego.12137

512

Malhotra N, Monin B, Tomz M. Does Private Regulation Preempt Public Regulation? *American Political Science Review* 2018;:1–19. doi:10.1017/S0003055418000679

513

Smith MG, Urpelainen J. Windows of opportunity: legislative fragmentation conditions the

effect of partisanship on product market deregulation. *Journal of Public Policy* 2016;**36**:51–86. doi:10.1017/S0143814X14000300

514

Lodge M. Regulation, the Regulatory State and European Politics. *West European Politics* 2008;**31**:280–301. doi:10.1080/01402380701835074

515

Thatcher M. Analysing regulatory reform in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy* 2002;**9**:859–72. doi:10.1080/1350176022000046391

516

Thelen K. Regulating Uber: The Politics of the Platform Economy in Europe and the United States. *Perspectives on Politics* 2018;**16**:938–53. doi:10.1017/S1537592718001081

517

Milner HV. The Political Economy of International Trade. *Annual Review of Political Science* 1999;**2**:91–114. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.91

518

MARGALIT Y. Lost in Globalization: International Economic Integration and the Sources of Popular Discontent<sup>1</sup>. *International Studies Quarterly* 2012;**56**:484–500. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00747.x

519

Berger S. Globalization and Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2000;**3**:43–62. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.43

520

Brune N, Garrett G. The globalization Rorschach Test: International Economic Integration, Inequality, and the Role of Government. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2005;**8**

:399–423. doi:10.1146/annurev.polisci.6.121901.085727

521

Rodrik D. Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy* 1998;**106**:997–1032. doi:10.1086/250038

522

Rudra N, Haggard S. Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies* 2005;**38**:1015–49. doi:10.1177/0010414005279258

523

Autor D, Dorn D, Hanson G, et al. Importing Political Polarization? The Electoral Consequences of Rising Trade Exposure. Published Online First: 2016. <http://www.ddorn.net/papers/ADHM-PoliticalPolarization.pdf>

524

KIM IS. Political Cleavages within Industry: Firm-level Lobbying for Trade Liberalization. *American Political Science Review* 2017;**111**:1–20. doi:10.1017/S0003055416000654

525

Rho S, Tomz M. Why Don't Trade Preferences Reflect Economic Self-Interest? *International Organization* 2017;**71**:S85–108. doi:10.1017/S0020818316000394

526

Baker A. Who Wants to Globalize? Consumer Tastes and Labor Markets in a Theory of Trade Policy Beliefs. *American Journal of Political Science* 2005;**49**:924–38. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2005.00164.x

527

Beaulieu E, Benarroch M, Gaisford JD. Intra-industry Trade Liberalization: Why Skilled

Workers are More Likely to Support Free Trade. *Review of International Economics* 2011;**19**:579–94. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9396.2011.00967.x

528

Dean A. *From conflict to coalition: profit-sharing institutions and the political economy of trade*. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2016.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316717592>

529

Walter S. Globalization and the Welfare State: Testing the Microfoundations of the Compensation Hypothesis. *International Studies Quarterly* 2010;**54**:403–26.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2478.2010.00593.x

530

Hwang W, Lee H. Globalization, Factor Mobility, Partisanship, and Compensation Policies. *International Studies Quarterly* 2014;**58**:92–105. doi:10.1111/isqu.12070

531

Owen E, Johnston NP. Occupation and the Political Economy of Trade: Job Routineness, Offshorability, and Protectionist Sentiment. *International Organization* 2017;:1–35.  
doi:10.1017/S0020818317000339

532

Borges FA. Debating Trade: The Legislative Politics of Free Trade Agreements in Latin America. *Government and Opposition* 2017;:1–29. doi:10.1017/gov.2017.28

533

Mansfield ED, Mutz DC. Support for Free Trade: Self-Interest, Sociotropic Politics, and Out-Group Anxiety. *International Organization* 2009;**63**:425–57.  
doi:10.1017/S0020818309090158

534

Rode M, Sáenz de Viteri A. Expressive attitudes to compensation: The case of globalization. *European Journal of Political Economy* 2018;**54**:42–55. doi:10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.05.007

535

Mansfield ED, Milner HV, Pevehouse JC. Vetoing Co-operation: The Impact of Veto Players on Preferential Trading Arrangements. *British Journal of Political Science* 2007;**37**. doi:10.1017/S0007123407000221

536

Mutz DC, Kim E. The Impact of In-group Favoritism on Trade Preferences. *International Organization* 2017;**71**:827–50. doi:10.1017/S0020818317000327

537

Clift B. *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

538

Overbeek H, Apeldoorn B van, Nölke A. *The transnational politics of corporate governance regulation*. London: : Routledge 2007. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/start-session?idp=https://eresources.city.ac.uk/oala/metadata&redirectUri=https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780203946688>

539

Davis GF, Kim S. Financialization of the Economy. *Annual Review of Sociology* 2015;**41**:203–21. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112402

540

Lazonick W, O'Sullivan M. Maximizing shareholder value: a new ideology for corporate governance. *Economy and Society* 2000;**29**:13–35. doi:10.1080/030851400360541



541

Morgan G, Oxford Handbooks Online. The Oxford handbook of comparative institutional analysis. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F34531>

542

Hall PA, Soskice DW. Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of comparative advantage. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

543

Roe MJ. Political determinants of corporate governance: political context, corporate impact. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2003.

544

Clift B. Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism. Second edition. London: : Macmillan International Higher Education 2021.

545

Callaghan H. Insiders, Outsiders, and the Politics of Corporate Governance: How Ownership Structure Shapes Party Positions in Britain, Germany, and France. *Comparative Political Studies* 2009;**42**:733–62. doi:10.1177/0010414008329895

546

Hardie I, Howarth D, Maxfield S, et al. Banks and the False Dichotomy in the Comparative Political Economy of Finance. *World Politics* 2013;**65**:691–728.  
doi:10.1017/S0043887113000221

547

Cioffi JW, Hopner M. The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform. *Politics & Society* 2006;**34**:463–502. doi:10.1177/0032329206293642

548

Culpepper PD. Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

549

Culpepper PD. Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2010.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760716>

550

Gourevitch PA, Shinn J, EBL. Political power and corporate control: the new global politics of corporate governance. Princeton: : Princeton University Press 2005.  
<http://city.ebilib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=557133>

551

Culpepper PD. Institutional Change in Contemporary Capitalism: Coordinated Financial Systems since 1990. *World Politics* 2005;**57**:173–99. doi:10.1353/wp.2005.0016

552

Martin Höpner. What connects industrial relations and corporate governance? Explaining institutional complementarity. *Socio-Economic Review* 2005;**3**:331–58.  
doi:10.1093/SER/mwi014

553

Callaghan H, Höpner M. European Integration and the Clash of Capitalisms: Political Cleavages over Takeover Liberalization. *Comparative European Politics* 2005;**3**:307–32.  
doi:10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110061

554

Beyer J, Hoppner M. The disintegration of organised capitalism: German corporate governance in the 1990s. *West European Politics* 2003;**26**:179–98. doi:10.1080/01402380312331280738

555

Horn L. *Regulating corporate governance in the EU: Towards a marketization of corporate control*. Basingstoke: : Palgrave Macmillan 2011. <https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=http%3A%2F%2Flink.springer.com%2F10.1057%2F9780230356405>

556

Höpner M. Corporate Governance Reform and the German Party Paradox. *Comparative Politics* 2007;**39**:401–20. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/20434052?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/20434052?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

557

Cioffi JW, Hopner M. The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform. *Politics & Society* 2006;**34**:463–502. doi:10.1177/0032329206293642

558

O'Sullivan M. The political economy of comparative corporate governance. *Review of International Political Economy* 2003;**10**:23–72. doi:10.1080/0969229032000048899

559

Cioffi JW. The Political Determinants of Corporate Governance: Political Context, Corporate Impact. *The American Journal of Comparative Law* 2004;**52**. doi:10.2307/4144483

560

Allen F, Gale D. *Comparing financial systems*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2000. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=27227&authtype=shib&custid=s1089299>

561

Roe MJ. Political Preconditions to Separating Ownership from Corporate Control. *Stanford Law Review* 2000;**53**:539–606. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229469?seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1229469?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

562

Alvarez I. Financialization, non-financial corporations and income inequality: the case of France. *Socio-Economic Review* 2015;**13**:449–75. doi:10.1093/ser/mwv007

563

Callaghan H. Who cares about financialization? Self-reinforcing feedback, issue salience, and increasing acquiescence to market-enabling takeover rules. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 17 February 2015. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu037

564

Hall PA, Soskice DW. *Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of comparative advantage*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/city/detail.action?docID=3052699>

565

Pontusson J, Rueda D, Way C. Comparative Political Economy of Wage Distribution: The Role of Partisanship and Labour Market Institutions. *British Journal of Political Science* 2002;**32**:281–308. doi:10.1017/S000712340200011X

566

Traxler F, Kittel B. The Bargaining System and Performance: A Comparison of 18 OECD Countries. *Comparative Political Studies* 2000;**33**:1154–90. doi:10.1177/0010414000033009003

567

Wallerstein M. Wage-Setting Institutions and Pay Inequality in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science* 1999;**43**. doi:10.2307/2991830

568

Rueda D, Pontusson J. Wage Inequality and Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics* 2000;**52**:350–83. doi:10.1017/S0043887100016579

569

Crouch C, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science. Industrial relations and European state traditions. Oxford: : Clarendon 1993.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fbook%2F10494>

570

Baccaro L, Simoni M. Organizational Determinants of Wage Moderation. *World Politics* 2010;**62**:594–635. doi:10.1017/S0043887110000201

571

Beck N, Katz JN, Alvarez RM, et al. Government Partisanship, Labor Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance: A Corrigendum. *The American Political Science Review* 1993;**87**. doi:10.2307/2938825

572

Mares I. Wage Bargaining in the Presence of Social Services and Transfers. *World Politics* 2004;**57**:99–142. doi:10.1353/wp.2005.0011

573

Martin CJ, Swank D. Does the Organization of Capital Matter? Employers and Active Labor Market Policy at the National and Firm Levels. *American Political Science Review* 2004;**98**. doi:10.1017/S0003055404041371

574

Eichengreen B, Iversen T. Institutions and economic performance: evidence from the labour market. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 1999;**15**:121–38.

doi:10.1093/oxrep/15.4.121

575

Bermeo N. Unemployment in the New Europe. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2001.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511664151>

576

Hessami Z, Baskaran T. Has Globalisation Affected Collective Bargaining? An Empirical Test, 1980-2009. *The World Economy* 2014;:n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/twec.12239

577

Emmenegger P. The Politics of Job Security Regulations in Western Europe: From Drift to Layering. *Politics & Society* Published Online First: 16 December 2014.  
doi:10.1177/0032329214555099

578

Gordon JC. Protecting the unemployed: varieties of unionism and the evolution of unemployment benefits and active labor market policy in the rich democracies. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 16 October 2014. doi:10.1093/ser/mwu029

579

Knotz C, Lindvall J. Coalitions and Compensation: The Case of Unemployment Benefit Duration. *Comparative Political Studies* Published Online First: 28 November 2014.  
doi:10.1177/0010414014556209

580

Darcillon T. How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 17 February 2015.  
doi:10.1093/ser/mwu038

581

Jung J. A struggle on two fronts: labour resistance to changing layoff policies at large US companies. *Socio-Economic Review* Published Online First: 11 July 2015.  
doi:10.1093/ser/mwv015

582

Roe MJ, Vatiero M. Corporate Governance and Its Political Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* Published Online First: 2015. doi:10.2139/ssrn.2588760

583

Mueller DC, Oxford Handbooks Online. *The Oxford handbook of capitalism*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.  
<https://go.openathens.net/redirector/city.ac.uk?url=https%3A%2F%2Facademic.oup.com%2Fedited-volume%2F38626>

584

van der Zwan N. Making sense of financialization. *Socio-Economic Review* 2014;**12**:99–129.  
doi:10.1093/ser/mwt020