

# IP2031: Comparative Political Economy

View Online



---

1.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

2.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

3.

Frieden, J.A.: *Global capitalism: its fall and rise in the twentieth century*. W.W. Norton, New York (2007).

4.

Wittman, D., Weingast, B.R., Oxford University Press Staff, *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

5.

Katzenstein, P.J., EBL.: *Small states in world markets: industrial policy in Europe*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (1985).

6.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

7.

Knutsen, C.H.: Democracy and economic growth: A survey of arguments and results. *International Area Studies Review*. 15, 393–415 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2233865912455268>.

8.

Cox, G., McCubbins, M.: 'The Institutional Determinants of Economic Policy Outcomes, [http://wcfia.harvard.edu/files/wcfia/files/671\\_mccubbins\\_cox.pdf?m=1427473083](http://wcfia.harvard.edu/files/wcfia/files/671_mccubbins_cox.pdf?m=1427473083), (2001).

9.

Olson, M.: Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development. *The American Political Science Review*. 87, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2938736>.

10.

North, D.C., Weingast, B.R.: Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England. *The Journal of Economic History*. 49, (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050700009451>.

11.

Olson, M.: *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. Yale University Press, New Haven (1982).

12.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

13.

Wittman, D., Weingast, B.R., Oxford University Press Staff, *Oxford Handbooks Online: The*

Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

14.

Quinn, D.P., Woolley, J.T.: Democracy and National Economic Performance: The Preference for Stability. *American Journal of Political Science*. 45, (2001).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2669243>.

15.

Stasavage, D.: Credible Commitment in Early Modern Europe: North and Weingast Revisited. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 18, 155–186 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jleo/18.1.155>.

16.

Engerman, S.L., Sokoloff, K.L.: Debating the Role of Institutions in Political and Economic Development: Theory, History, and Findings\*. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 11, 119–135 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.120406.135217>.

17.

Doucouliağos, H., Ulubaşođlu, M.A.: Democracy and Economic Growth: A Meta-Analysis. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52, 61–83 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00299.x>.

18.

North, D.C.: *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).

19.

Acemoglu, D., Robinson, J.A.: *Why nations fail: the origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Profile, London (2012).

20.

Przeworski, A., Alvarez, M.E., Cheibub, J.A., Limongi, F.: *Democracy and Development: Political Institutions and Well-Being in the World, 1950–1990*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

21.

Hall, P.A., Taylor, R.C.R.: Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms. *Political Studies*. 44, 936–957 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb00343.x>.

22.

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., Robinson, J.A.: Institutions as a Fundamental Cause of Long-Run Growth, <http://economics.mit.edu/files/4469>, (2005).

23.

Barry R. Weingast: The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 11, 1–31 (1995).

24.

Rodrik, D., Subramanian, A., Trebbi, F.: Institutions Rule: The Primacy of Institutions Over Geography and Integration in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Growth*. 9, 131–165 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEG.0000031425.72248.85>.

25.

Baum, M.A., Lake, D.A.: The Political Economy of Growth: Democracy and Human Capital. *American Journal of Political Science*. 47, 333–347 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-5907.00023>.

26.

Beaulieu, E., Cox, G.W., Saiegh, S.: Sovereign Debt and Regime Type: Reconsidering the Democratic Advantage. *International Organization*. 66, 709–738 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818312000288>.

27.

Democracy, Autocracy, and Expropriation of Foreign Direct Investment. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 1098–1127 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414009331723>.

28.

Jensen, N.M.: Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization*. 57, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818303573040>.

29.

Schultz, K.A., Weingast, B.R.: The Democratic Advantage: Institutional Foundations of Financial Power in International Competition. *International Organization*. 57, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818303571065>.

30.

Gandhi, J.: Dictatorial Institutions and their Impact on Economic Growth. *European Journal of Sociology*. 49, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003975608000015>.

31.

Bailey, M.A., Goldstein, J., Weingast, B.R.: The Institutional Roots of American Trade Policy: Politics, Coalitions, and International Trade. *World Politics*. 49, 309–338 (1997).

32.

Mansfield, E.D., Milner, H.V., Rosendorff, B.P.: Free to Trade: Democracies, Autocracies, and International Trade. *The American Political Science Review*. 94, (2000). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2586014>.

33.

Rickard, S.J.: A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly*. 56, 777–785 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x>.

34.

Rickard, S.J.: A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions. *International Studies Quarterly*. 56, 777–785 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x>.

35.

Rogowski, R.: Trade and the variety of democratic institutions. *International Organization*. 41, (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027442>.

36.

Ehrlich, S.D.: Access to Protection: Domestic Institutions and Trade Policy in Democracies. *International Organization*. 61, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818307070191>.

37.

Hiscox, M.J.: The Magic Bullet? The RTAA, Institutional Reform, and Trade Liberalization. *International Organization*. 53, 669–698 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081899551039>.

38.

Wibbels, E.: Federalism and the Politics of Macroeconomic Policy and Performance. *American Journal of Political Science*. 44, (2000). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2669275>.

39.

Bernhard, W., Leblang, D.: Democratic Institutions and Exchange-rate Commitments. *International Organization*. 53, 71–97 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081899550814>.

40.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

41.

North, D.C.: *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).

42.

North, D.C.: *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).

43.

Keech, W.R.: *Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

44.

Keech, W.R.: *Economic Politics: The Costs of Democracy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

45.

Przeworski, A., Limongi, F.: *Political Regimes and Economic Growth*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 7, 51–69 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.7.3.51>.

46.

Schumpeter, J.A., Schumpeter, J.A., Stiglitz, J.E.: *Capitalism, socialism, and democracy*. Routledge, London (2010).

47.

Crepaz, M.M.L.: *Inclusion versus Exclusion: Political Institutions and Welfare Expenditures*. *Comparative Politics*. 31, (1998). <https://doi.org/10.2307/422106>.

48.

Landa, D., Kapstein, E.B.: *Inequality, Growth, and Democracy*. *World Politics*. 53, 264–296

(2001). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2001.0004>.

49.

Knack, S., Keefer, P.: Does Inequality Harm Growth Only in Democracies? A Replication and Extension. *American Journal of Political Science*. 41, (1997).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2111719>.

50.

Wright, J.: Do Authoritarian Institutions Constrain? How Legislatures Affect Economic Growth and Investment. *American Journal of Political Science*. 52, 322–343 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2008.00315.x>.

51.

Olson, M.: *The rise and decline of nations*. Yale University Press, London.

52.

Olson, M.: *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. Yale University Press, New Haven (1982).

53.

Hancké, B., Rhodes, M., Thatcher, M.: *Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

54.

Jensen, N.M.: Democratic Governance and Multinational Corporations: Political Regimes and Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment. *International Organization*. 57, (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818303573040>.

55.

Sylwester, K.: Does Democracy Increase Growth More in New Countries? *Economics &*



Politics. n/a-n/a (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12055>.

56.

Chandra, S., Rudra, N.: Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science*. 45, 253–285 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123413000355>.

57.

Moon, C.: Foreign Direct Investment, Commitment Institutions, and Time Horizon: How Some Autocrats Do Better than Others. *International Studies Quarterly*. n/a-n/a (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12182>.

58.

Bak, D.: Political Investment Cycles in Democracies and Autocracies. *International Interactions*. 42, 797–819 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050629.2016.1173547>.

59.

Baumgartner, F.R., Carammia, M., Epp, D.A., Noble, B., Rey, B., Yildirim, T.M.: Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–17 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482>.

60.

Chandra, S., Rudra, N.: Reassessing the Links between Regime Type and Economic Performance: Why Some Authoritarian Regimes Show Stable Growth and Others Do Not. *British Journal of Political Science*. 45, 253–285 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123413000355>.

61.

Osterloh, S., Debus, M.: Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 28, 192–207 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002>.

62.

BUSEMEYER, M.R.: From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research*. 48, 455–482 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x>.

63.

Allan, J.P., Scruggs, L.: Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 48, 496–512 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x>.

64.

Bermeo, N.: Does Electoral Democracy Boost Economic Equality? *Journal of Democracy*. 20, 21–35 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.0.0112>.

65.

Franzese, R.J.: Electoral and Partisan Cycles in Economic Policies and Outcomes. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 5, 369–421 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.5.112801.080924>.

66.

Boix, C.: *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

67.

Wittman, D., Weingast, B.R., Oxford University Press Staff, *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

68.

Wittman, D., Weingast, B.R., Oxford University Press Staff, *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

69.

Leighley, J.E., Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of American elections and political behavior. Oxford University Press, New York, NY (2010).

70.

Garrett, G.: Partisan Politics in the Global Economy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

71.

Wittman, D., Weingast, B.R., Oxford University Press Staff, Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

72.

Boix, C.: Partisan Governments, the International Economy, and Macroeconomic Policies in Advanced Nations, 1960–93. *World Politics*. 53, 38–73 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100009370>.

73.

Boix, C.: Political Parties and the Supply Side of the Economy: The Provision of Physical and Human Capital in Advanced Economies, 1960–90. *American Journal of Political Science*. 41, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111676>.

74.

Boix, C.: Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

75.

Tufte, E.R.: Political Control of the Economy. Princeton University Press, New Jersey (1980).

76.

Hibbs, D.A.: Political Parties and Macroeconomic Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 71, (1977).

77.

Oatley, T.: How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science*. 43, (1999).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2991815>.

78.

Garrett, G., Lange, P.: Political responses to interdependence: what's "left" for the left? *International Organization*. 45, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300033208>.

79.

KORPI, W., PALME, J.: New Politics and Class Politics in the Context of Austerity and Globalization: Welfare State Regress in 18 Countries, 1975–95. *American Political Science Review*. 97, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055403000789>.

80.

Garrett, G.: Introduction. In: *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. pp. 1–25. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

81.

Garrett, G.: *Partisan Politics in the Global Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

82.

Tavitis, M., Letki, N.: When Left Is Right: Party Ideology and Policy in Post-Communist Europe. *American Political Science Review*. 103, (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055409990220>.

83.

Hibbs, D.A.: Partisan theory after fifteen years. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 8, 361–373 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0176-2680\(92\)90001-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0176-2680(92)90001-W).

84.

Allan, J.P., Scruggs, L.: Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 48, 496–512 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x>.

85.

Canes-Wrone, B., Park, J.-K.: Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review*. 106, 103–122 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000529>.

86.

Alesina, A., Rosenthal, H.: *Partisan Politics, Divided Government, and the Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

87.

Kayser, M.A.: Who Surfs, Who Manipulates? The Determinants of Opportunistic Election Timing and Electorally Motivated Economic Intervention. *American Political Science Review*. 99, 17–27 (2005).

88.

Scheve, K., Stasavage, D.: Institutions, Partisanship, and Inequality in the Long Run. *World Politics*. 61, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887109000094>.

89.

Alvarez, R.M., Garrett, G., Lange, P.: Government Partisanship, Labor Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance. *The American Political Science Review*. 85, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1963174>.

90.

Blais, A., Blake, D., Dion, S.: Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 37, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111523>.

91.

Blais, A., Blake, D., Dion, S.: Do Parties Make a Difference? Parties and the Size of Government in Liberal Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 37, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111523>.

92.

Cusack, T.R.: Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy. *Comparative Political Studies*. 32, 464–486 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414099032004003>.

93.

Drazen, A.: The Political Business Cycle After 25 Years. *NBER Chapters*. 75–138.

94.

Clark, W.R., Hallerberg, M.: Mobile Capital, Domestic Institutions, and Electorally Induced Monetary and Fiscal Policy. *The American Political Science Review*. 94, (2000). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2586015>.

95.

Alesina, A., Roubini, N., Cohen, G.D.: *Political cycles and the macroeconomy*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (1997).

96.

Clark, W.R., Golder, S.N., Poast, P.: Monetary Institutions and the Political Survival of Democratic Leaders. *International Studies Quarterly*. 57, 556–567 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12013>.

97.

Oatley, T.: How Constraining is Capital Mobility? The Partisan Hypothesis in an Open Economy. *American Journal of Political Science*. 43, (1999).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2991815>.

98.

Camyar, I.: Political Parties, Supply-Side Strategies, and Firms: The Political Micro-Economy of Partisan Politics. *The Journal of Politics*. 76, 725–739 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022381614000164>.

99.

Sáez, L.: The Political Budget Cycle and Subnational Debt Expenditures in Federations: Panel Data Evidence from India. *Governance*. n/a-n/a (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12130>.

100.

Erikson, R.S., Wlezien, C.: Forecasting US Presidential Elections Using Economic and Noneconomic Fundamentals. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 47, 313–316 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096514000092>.

101.

Efthyvoulou, G.: Political budget cycles in the European Union and the impact of political pressures. *Public Choice*. 153, 295–327 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-011-9795-x>.

102.

Bove, V., Efthyvoulou, G.: Political Cycles in Public Expenditure: Butter vs. Guns. *Sheffield Economic Research Paper Series*. (2013).

103.

Kim, H., Kwon, C.: The Effects of Fiscal Consolidation and Welfare Composition of Spending

on Electoral Outcomes: Evidence from US Gubernatorial Elections between 1978 and 2006. *New Political Economy*. 20, 228–253 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2014.923822>.

104.

Krause, G.A.: Voters, Information Heterogeneity, and the Dynamics of Aggregate Economic Expectations. *American Journal of Political Science*. 41, (1997).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2960486>.

105.

Weschle, S.: Two types of economic voting: How economic conditions jointly affect vote choice and turnout. *Electoral Studies*. 34, 39–53 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2013.10.007>.

106.

Ademmer, E., Dreher, F.: Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 54, 508–524 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12306>.

107.

Hellwig, T.: Explaining the salience of left-right ideology in postindustrial democracies: The role of structural economic change. *European Journal of Political Research*. 47, 687–709 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2008.00778.x>.

108.

Pinto, P.M., Weymouth, S.: Partisan Cycles in Offshore Outsourcing: Evidence from U.S. Imports. *Economics & Politics*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12078>.

109.

Loftis, M.W., Mortensen, P.B.: A new approach to the study of partisan effects on social policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–22 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1298656>.



110.

Healy, A.J., Persson, M., Snowberg, E.: Digging into the Pocketbook: Evidence on Economic Voting from Income Registry Data Matched to a Voter Survey. *American Political Science Review*. 1–15 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055417000314>.

111.

Trein, P., Beckmann, R., Walter, S.: German Voters in Times of Crisis: The Impact of Perceptions and Economic Context on Electoral Behaviour. *German Politics*. 26, 414–439 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644008.2016.1266482>.

112.

Dassonneville, R., Hooghe, M.: Economic indicators and electoral volatility: economic effects on electoral volatility in Western Europe, 1950–2013. *Comparative European Politics*. 15, 919–943 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2015.3>.

113.

JENSEN, C.: Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research*. 49, 282–299 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x>.

114.

Bussemeyer, M.R.: *Skills and Inequality*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

115.

Potrafke, N.: Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004>.

116.

Belke, A., Potrafke, N.: Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 31, 1126–1139 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014>.

117.

CANES-WRONE, B., PARK, J.-K.: Electoral Business Cycles in OECD Countries. *American Political Science Review*. 106, 103–122 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000529>.

118.

Potrafke, N.: Did globalization restrict partisan politics? An empirical evaluation of social expenditures in a panel of OECD countries. *Public Choice*. 140, 105–124 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-009-9414-2>.

119.

Raess, D., Pontusson, J.: The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 54, 1–22 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12074>.

120.

Tavares, J.: Does right or left matter? Cabinets, credibility and fiscal adjustments. *Journal of Public Economics*. 88, 2447–2468 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2003.11.001>.

121.

Tingley, D.: Donors and domestic politics: Political influences on foreign aid effort. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*. 50, 40–49 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2009.10.003>.

122.

Dellmuth, L.M., Schraff, D., Stoffel, M.F.: Distributive Politics, Electoral Institutions and European Structural and Investment Funding: Evidence from Italy and France. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 55, 275–293 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12433>.

123.

Pinto, L.: Like Leaves in the Wind? Economic Conditions and Government Survival in Italy

(1946–2015). *South European Society and Politics*. 1–24 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13608746.2017.1398626>.

124.

Tilley, J., Neundorff, A., Hobolt, S.B.: When the Pound in People's Pocket Matters: How Changes to Personal Financial Circumstances Affect Party Choice. *The Journal of Politics*. 000–000 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1086/694549>.

125.

Fortunato, D., Loftis, M.W.: Cabinet Durability and Fiscal Discipline. *American Political Science Review*. 1–15 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055418000436>.

126.

Savage, L.: The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12354>.

127.

Potrafke, N.: Partisan politics: The empirical evidence from OECD panel studies. *Journal of Comparative Economics*. 45, 712–750 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2016.12.004>.

128.

Schmitt, C.: Panel data analysis and partisan variables: how periodization does influence partisan effects. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 23, 1442–1459 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1091030>.

129.

Bremer, B.: The missing left? Economic crisis and the programmatic response of social democratic parties in Europe. *Party Politics*. 24, 23–38 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068817740745>.

130.

Galasso, V.: The role of political partisanship during economic crises. *Public Choice*. 158, 143–165 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-012-9956-6>.

131.

King, G., Rosen, O., Tanner, M., Wagner, A.F.: Ordinary Economic Voting Behavior in the Extraordinary Election of Adolf Hitler. *The Journal of Economic History*. 68, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050708000788>.

132.

Savage, L.: The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12354>.

133.

Dassonneville, R., Lewis-Beck, M.S.: A changing economic vote in Western Europe? Long-term vs. short-term forces. *European Political Science Review*. 11, 91–108 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773918000231>.

134.

Godwin, K., Ainsworth, S.H., Godwin, E.: *Lobbying and policymaking: the public pursuit of private interests*. CQ Press, Los Angeles (2013).

135.

Falkner, R.: *Business power and conflict in international environmental politics*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2009).

136.

Colli, F., Kerremans, B.: Searching for influence: interest groups and social movements in the European Union. *Journal of European Integration*. 1–7 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2018.1406882>.

137.

Gilens, M., Page, B.I.: Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens. *Perspectives on Politics*. 12, 564–581 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592714001595>.

138.

Coen, D., Wilson, G.K., Wilson, G., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of business and government*. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

139.

Vogel, D.: Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science*. 17, (1987).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400004841>.

140.

Rasmussen, A., Carroll, B.J.: Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science*. 44, 445–459 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123412000750>.

141.

Woll, C.: *The power of inaction: bank bailouts in comparison*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (2014).

142.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

143.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

144.

Swenson, P.A.: Varieties of Capitalist Interests: Power, Institutions, and the Regulatory Welfare State in the United States and Sweden. *Studies in American Political Development*. 18, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0898588X0400001X>.

145.

Esping-Andersen, G.: *Politics without Class: Postindustrial Cleavages in Europe and America*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).

146.

Hacker, J.S., Pierson, P.: Winner-Take-All Politics: Public Policy, Political Organization, and the Precipitous Rise of Top Incomes in the United States. *Politics & Society*. 38, 152–204 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329210365042>.

147.

Fuchs, D., Lederer, M.M.: The Power of Business. *Business and Politics*. 9, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.2202/1469-3569.1214>.

148.

Culpepper, P.D.: Structural power and political science in the post-crisis era. *Business and Politics*. 17, (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1515/bap-2015-0031>.

149.

Bell, S.: The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly*. 56, 661–673 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x>.

150.

Culpepper, P.D., Reinke, R.: Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society*. 42, 427–454 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329214547342>.

151.

Przeworski, A., Wallerstein, M.: Structural Dependence of the State on Capital. *The American Political Science Review*. 82, (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1958056>.

152.

Becker, G.S.: A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 98, (1983). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1886017>.

153.

COEN, D.: The European Business Interest and the Nation State: Large-firm Lobbying in the European Union and Member States. *Journal of Public Policy*. 18, 75–100 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X9800004X>.

154.

Rasmussen, A., Carroll, B.J.: Determinants of Upper-Class Dominance in the Heavenly Chorus: Lessons from European Union Online Consultations. *British Journal of Political Science*. 44, 445–459 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123412000750>.

155.

Oxford Handbooks Online: *The Oxford handbook of historical institutionalism*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom (2016).

156.

Baumgartner, F.R., Berry, J.M., Hojnacki, M., EBL.: *Lobbying and policy change: who wins, who loses, and why*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (2009).

157.

Levy, D., Aseem Prakash: Bargains Old and New: Multinational Corporations in Global Governance. *Business and Politics*. 5, (2003).

158.

Siaroff, A.: *Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: Meaning and measurement*.

European Journal of Political Research. 36, 175–205 (1999).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.00467>.

159.

Hicks, A.: National Collective Action and Economic Performance: A Review Article. *International Studies Quarterly*. 32, (1988). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600624>.

160.

Binderkrantz, A.S., Christiansen, P.M.: From classic to modern corporatism. Interest group representation in Danish public committees in 1975 and 2010. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 22, 1022–1039 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.1000365>.

161.

Schmitter, P.C.: The Changing Politics of Organised Interests. *West European Politics*. 31, 195–210 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380701834994>.

162.

Eising, R.: The access of business interests to EU institutions: towards élite pluralism? *Journal of European Public Policy*. 14, 384–403 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760701243772>.

163.

Olson, M.: *The rise and decline of nations: economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities*. Yale University Press, New Haven (1982).

164.

Olson, M.: *The logic of collective action: public goods and the theory of groups*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass (1971).

165.

Naoh, M., Krauss, E.: *Who Lobbies Whom? Special Interest Politics under Alternative*



Electoral Systems. *American Journal of Political Science*. 53, 874–892 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2009.00406.x>.

166.

Hall, R., Deardorff, A.: Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review*. 100, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055406062010>.

167.

Thelen, K., Fulcher, J., Streeck, W., Swenson, P., Turner, L.: Beyond Corporatism: Toward a New Framework for the Study of Labor in Advanced Capitalism. *Comparative Politics*. 27, (1994). <https://doi.org/10.2307/422220>.

168.

Halpin, D., Jordan, A.G.: The scale of interest organization in democratic politics: Data and research methods. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2011).

169.

Wallerstein, M., Western, B.: Unions in Decline? What Has Changed and Why. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 3, 355–377 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.355>.

170.

Woll, C.: Firm interests: how governments shape business lobbying on global trade. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (2008).

171.

Culpepper, P.D.: Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

172.

Stigler, G.J.: The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and*

Management Science. 2, (1971). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3003160>.

173.

Michael J. Hiscox: 'Class Versus Industry Cleavages: Inter-Industry Factor Mobility and the Politics of Trade. International Organization. 55, 1-46 (2001).

174.

Rogowski, R.: Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade. The American Political Science Review. 81, 1121-1137 (1987).

175.

Rogowski, R.: Commerce and coalitions: how trade affects domestic political alignments. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1990).

176.

Alt, J.E., Gilligan, M.: The Political Economy of Trading States: Factor Specificity, Collective Action Problems and Domestic Political Institutions. Journal of Political Philosophy. 2, 165-192 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9760.1994.tb00020.x>.

177.

Alt, J.E., Carlsen, F., Heum, P., Johansen, K.: Asset Specificity and the Political Behavior of Firms: Lobbying for Subsidies in Norway. International Organization. 53, 99-116 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081899550823>.

178.

Martin, C.J., Swank, D.: The Political Construction of Business Interests: Coordination, Growth, and Equality. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

179.

Swank, D.: Politics and the Structural Dependence of the State in Democratic Capitalist Nations. The American Political Science Review. 86, (1992).

<https://doi.org/10.2307/1964014>.

180.

Vogel, D.: Political Science and the Study of Corporate Power: A Dissent from the New Conventional Wisdom. *British Journal of Political Science*. 17, (1987).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400004841>.

181.

Walker, E.T., Rea, C.M.: The Political Mobilization of Firms and Industries. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 40, 281–304 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043215>.

182.

Culpepper, P.D., Reinke, R.: Structural Power and Bank Bailouts in the United Kingdom and the United States. *Politics & Society*. 42, 427–454 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329214547342>.

183.

Hall, R., Deardorff, A.: Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy. *American Political Science Review*. 100, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055406062010>.

184.

Ademmer, E., Dreher, F.: Constraining Political Budget Cycles: Media Strength and Fiscal Institutions in the Enlarged EU. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 54, 508–524 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12306>.

185.

De Bruycker, I.: Pressure and Expertise: Explaining the Information Supply of Interest Groups in EU Legislative Lobbying. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. 54, 599–616 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12298>.

186.

Bell, S., Hindmoor, A.: Structural Power and the Politics of Bank Capital Regulation in the United Kingdom. *Political Studies*. (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032321716629479>.

187.

Woll, C.: Politics in the Interest of Capital: A Not-So-Organized Combat. *Politics & Society*. 44, 373–391 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329216655318>.

188.

Chalmers, A.W.: When Banks Lobby: The Effects of Organizational Characteristics and Banking Regulations on International Bank Lobbying. *Business and Politics*. 19, 107–134 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/bap.2016.7>.

189.

Hofman, A., Aalbers, M.B.: Spaces of lobbying. *Geography Compass*. 11, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12309>.

190.

Kastner, L.: From Outsiders to Insiders: A Civil Society Perspective on EU Financial Reforms. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12644>.

191.

Dür, A., Mateo, G.: Public opinion and interest group influence: how citizen groups derailed the Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 21, 1199–1217 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.900893>.

192.

Bell, S.: The Power of Ideas: The Ideational Shaping of the Structural Power of Business. *International Studies Quarterly*. 56, 661–673 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00743.x>.

193.

Fairfield, T.: Structural power in comparative political economy: perspectives from policy formulation in Latin America. *Business and Politics*. 17, 411–441 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1515/bap-2014-0047>.

194.

Giger, N., Klüver, H.: Voting Against Your Constituents? How Lobbying Affects Representation. *American Journal of Political Science*. 60, 190–205 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12183>.

195.

Evans, A.: The politics of pro-worker reforms. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/soceco/mwy042>.

196.

Beyers, J., Braun, C.: Ties that count: explaining interest group access to policymakers. *Journal of Public Policy*. 34, 93–121 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X13000263>.

197.

Woll, C.: Leading the Dance? Power and Political Resources of Business Lobbyists. *Journal of Public Policy*. 27, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X07000633>.

198.

Jackson, G., Deeg, R.: How Many Varieties of Capitalism? Comparing the Comparative Institutional Analyses of Capitalist Diversity, [http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg\\_dp/dp06-2.pdf](http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp06-2.pdf), (2006).

199.

Witt, M.A., Redding, G.: Asian business systems: institutional comparison, clusters and implications for varieties of capitalism and business systems theory. *Socio-Economic Review*. 11, 265–300 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwt002>.

200.

Feldmann, M.: Global Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics*. 71, 162–196 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887118000230>.

201.

Morgan, G., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of comparative institutional analysis*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

202.

Hall, P.A., Soskice, D.W.: *Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of comparative advantage*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2001).

203.

Hancké, B., Rhodes, M., Thatcher, M.: *Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

204.

Overbeek, H., Apeldoorn, B. van: *Neoliberalism in crisis*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2012).

205.

Katzenstein, P.J.: *Small states in world markets: industrial policy in Europe*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1985).

206.

Hall, P.A., Thelen, K.: Institutional change in varieties of capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review*. 7, 7–34 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwn020>.

207.

Hall, P.A., Gingerich, D.W.: Varieties of Capitalism and Institutional Complementarities in the Political Economy: An Empirical Analysis. *British Journal of Political Science*. 39, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123409000672>.

208.

Howell, C.: Varieties of Capitalism: And Then There Was One? *Comparative Politics*. 36, 103–124 (2003).

209.

Thelen, K.: Varieties of Capitalism: Trajectories of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 15, 137–159 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-070110-122959>.

210.

Crouch, C.: *Capitalist diversity and change: recombinant governance and institutional entrepreneurs*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

211.

Thelen, K.: *How Institutions Evolve: The Political Economy of Skills in Germany, Britain, the United States, and Japan*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

212.

Streeck, W., Yamamura, Kμ.: *The origins of nonliberal capitalism: Germany and Japan in comparison*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (2001).

213.

Thatcher, M.: Varieties of Capitalism in an Internationalized World: Domestic Institutional Change in European Telecommunications. *Comparative Political Studies*. 37, 751–780 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414004266868>.

214.

Berger, S., Dore, R.: National diversity and global capitalism. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, [N.Y.] (1996).

215.

Deeg, R., Jackson, G.: Towards a more dynamic theory of capitalist variety. *Socio-Economic Review*. 5, 149–179 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwl021>.

216.

Carney, R.W.: Chinese Capitalism in the OECD Mirror. *New Political Economy*. 14, 71–99 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563460802673309>.

217.

Carlin, W., Soskice, D.: German economic performance: disentangling the role of supply-side reforms, macroeconomic policy and coordinated economy institutions. *Socio-Economic Review*. 7, 67–99 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwn021>.

218.

Feldmann, M.: Emerging Varieties of Capitalism in Transition Countries: Industrial Relations and Wage Bargaining in Estonia and Slovenia. *Comparative Political Studies*. 39, 829–854 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006288261>.

219.

Nölke, A., Vliegenthart, A.: Enlarging the Varieties of Capitalism: The Emergence of Dependent Market Economies in East Central Europe. *World Politics*. 61, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887109990098>.

220.

Schneider, B.R.: Hierarchical Market Economies and Varieties of Capitalism in Latin America. *Journal of Latin American Studies*. 41, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022216X09990186>.

221.



Streeck, W.: E Pluribus Unum? Varieties and Commonalities of Capitalism, [http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg\\_dp/dp10-12.pdf](http://www.mpifg.de/pu/mpifg_dp/dp10-12.pdf), (2010).

222.

Soederberg, S., Menz, G., Cerny, P.G.: Internalizing globalization: The rise of neoliberalism and the decline of national varieties of capitalism. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2005).

223.

Nölke, A.: International financial regulation and domestic coalitions in state-permeated capitalism: China and global banking rules. *International Politics*. 52, 743–759 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1057/ip.2015.17>.

224.

Storz, C., Amable, B., Casper, S., Lechevalier, S.: Bringing Asia into the comparative capitalism perspective. *Socio-Economic Review*. 11, 217–232 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwt004>.

225.

Cusack, T.R., Iversen, T., Soskice, D.: Economic Interests and the Origins of Electoral Systems. *American Political Science Review*. 101, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055407070384>.

226.

Allen, M.: The varieties of capitalism paradigm: not enough variety? *Socio-Economic Review*. 2, 87–108 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1093/soceco/2.1.87>.

227.

Wood, G., Dibben, P., Ogden, S.: Comparative Capitalism without Capitalism, and Production without Workers: The Limits and Possibilities of Contemporary Institutional Analysis. *International Journal of Management Reviews*. 16, 384–396 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12025>.

228.

McNally, C.A.: Sino-Capitalism: China's Reemergence and the International Political Economy. *World Politics*. 64, 741–776 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887112000202>.

229.

Darcillon, T.: How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review*. 13, 477–504 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu038>.

230.

Piore, M.J.: Varieties of Capitalism Theory: Its Considerable Limits. *Politics & Society*. 44, 237–241 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329216638059>.

231.

Schneider, M.R., Paunescu, M.: Changing varieties of capitalism and revealed comparative advantages from 1990 to 2005: a test of the Hall and Soskice claims. *Socio-Economic Review*. 10, 731–753 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwr038>.

232.

Farkas, B.: *Models of capitalism in the European Union: post-crisis perspectives*. Palgrave Macmillan, London (2016).

233.

Farkas, B.: The Central and Eastern European model of capitalism. *Post-Communist Economies*. 23, 15–34 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2011.546972>.

234.

Hall, P.A.: Varieties of capitalism in light of the euro crisis. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 25, 7–30 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1310278>.

235.

Casey, T.: Mapping stability and change in advanced capitalisms. *Comparative European Politics*. 7, 255–278 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2008.19>.

236.

Hay, C.: Does capitalism (still) come in varieties? *Review of International Political Economy*. 1–18 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2019.1633382>.

237.

Hall, P.A.: Policy Paradigms, Social Learning, and the State: The Case of Economic Policymaking in Britain. *Comparative Politics*. 25, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/422246>.

238.

Schmidt, V.A., Thatcher, M. eds: *Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

239.

Farrell, H., Quiggin, J.: Consensus, Dissensus and Economic Ideas: The Rise and Fall of Keynesianism During the Economic Crisis, <http://www.henryfarrell.net/Keynes.pdf>, (2012).

240.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

241.

Widmaier, W.: The power of economic ideas – through, over and in – political time: the construction, conversion and crisis of the neoliberal order in the US and UK. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–19 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1115890>.

242.

Schmidt, V.A., Thatcher, M. eds: *Resilient Liberalism in Europe's Political Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

243.

Hall, P.A., Social Science Research Council (U.S.). Committee on States and Social Structures: *The political power of economic ideas: Keynesianism across nations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1989).

244.

Blyth, M.: *Great Transformations: Economic Ideas and Institutional Change in the Twentieth Century*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

245.

Blyth, M.: *The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change*. *World Politics*. 54, 1-26 (2001).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2001.0020>.

246.

Blyth, M.M.: 'Any More Bright Ideas?' *The Ideational Turn of Comparative Political Economy*. *Comparative Politics*. 29, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/422082>.

247.

Finnemore, M., Sikkink, K.: *Taking Stock: The Constructivist Research Program in International Relations and Comparative Politics*. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 4, 391-416 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.4.1.391>.

248.

Blyth, M.: *Paradigms and Paradox: The Politics of Economic Ideas in Two Moments of Crisis. Governance*. 26, 197-215 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12010>.

249.

Blyth, M., EBL.: Austerity: the history of a dangerous idea. Oxford University Press, New York, N.Y. (2013).

250.

Ban, C., Blyth, M.: The BRICs and the Washington Consensus: An introduction. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 241–255 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2013.779374>.

251.

Ferchen, M.: Whose China Model is it anyway? The contentious search for consensus. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 390–420 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.660184>.

252.

Mukherji, R.: Ideas, interests, and the tipping point: Economic change in India. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 363–389 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.716371>.

253.

Ban, C.: Brazil's liberal neo-developmentalism: New paradigm or edited orthodoxy? *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 298–331 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.660183>.

254.

Babb, S.: The Washington Consensus as transnational policy paradigm: Its origins, trajectory and likely successor. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 268–297 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2011.640435>.

255.

Blyth, M.: Austerity as ideology: A reply to my critics. *Comparative European Politics*. 11, 737–751 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2013.25>.

256.

Campbell, J.L., Pedersen, O.K.: Policy ideas, knowledge regimes and comparative political economy. *Socio-Economic Review*. 13, 679–701 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwv004>.

257.

Culpepper, P.D.: The Politics of Common Knowledge: Ideas and Institutional Change in Wage Bargaining. *International Organization*. 62, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818308080016>.

258.

Jacobsen, J.K.: Much Ado About Ideas: The Cognitive Factor in Economic Policy. *World Politics*. 47, 283–310 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100016117>.

259.

McNamara, K.R.: The currency of ideas: monetary politics in the European Union. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1999).

260.

Abdelal, R., Blyth, M., Parsons, C.: Constructing the international economy. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (2010).

261.

Abdelal, R., Blyth, M., Parsons, C.: Constructing the international economy. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY (2010).

262.

Crouch, C., EBL.: Strange non-death of neo-liberalism. Polity Press, Cambridge (2013).

263.

Peter M. Haas: Introduction: Epistemic Communities and International Policy Coordination. *International Organization*. 46, 1–35 (1992).

264.

SCHMIDT, V.A.: Does Discourse Matter in the Politics of Welfare State Adjustment? *Comparative Political Studies*. 35, 168–193 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414002035002002>.

265.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

266.

Blyth, M.: The new Ideas scholarship in the mirror of historical institutionalism: a case of old whines in new bottles? *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–8 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1118292>.

267.

Matthijs, M.: Powerful rules governing the euro: the perverse logic of German ideas. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–17 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1115535>.

268.

Carstensen, M.B., Schmidt, V.A.: Power through, over and in ideas: conceptualizing ideational power in discursive institutionalism. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–20 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1115534>.

269.

Morrison, J.A.: Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. *International Organization*. 1–33 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000314>.

270.

Helgadóttir, O.: The Bocconi boys go to Brussels: Italian economic ideas, professional networks and European austerity. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1-18 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1106573>.

271.

Rodrik, D.: When Ideas Trump Interests: Preferences, Worldviews, and Policy Innovations. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 28, 189-208 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.1.189>.

272.

Béland, D., Cox, R.H., Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science: Ideas and politics in social science research. Oxford University Press, Oxford, [England] (2011).

273.

Appel, H., Orenstein, M.A.: Why did Neoliberalism Triumph and Endure in the Post-Communist World? *Comparative Politics*. 48, 313-331 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.5129/001041516818254419>.

274.

Stirling, A., Laybourn-Langton, L.: Time for a New Paradigm? Past and Present Transitions in Economic Policy. *The Political Quarterly*. (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-923X.12415>.

275.

Hopkin, J., Rosamond, B.: Post-truth Politics, Bullshit and Bad Ideas: 'Deficit Fetishism' in the UK. *New Political Economy*. 1-15 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2017.1373757>.

276.

Christensen, J., Ebook Central: The power of economists within the state. Stanford



University Press, Stanford, California (2017).

277.

Morrison, J.A.: Shocking Intellectual Austerity: The Role of Ideas in the Demise of the Gold Standard in Britain. *International Organization*. 70, 175–207 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818315000314>.

278.

Bremer, B., McDaniel, S.: The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the context of the great recession. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwz001>.

279.

Salverda, W., Nolan, B., Smeeding, T.M., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of economic inequality*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

280.

Boix, C., Stokes, S.C., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Politics*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated, New York.

281.

Pierson, P.: The New Politics of the Welfare State. *World Politics*. 48, 143–179 (1996).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.1996.0004>.

282.

Clark, W.R., Golder, M., Golder, S.N.: *Principles of comparative politics*. SAGE, Thousand Oaks, California (2018).

283.

Rudra, N.: *Globalization and the Race to the Bottom in Developing Countries: Who Really Gets Hurt?* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

284.

Esping-Andersen, G.: *The three worlds of welfare capitalism*. Polity, Cambridge (1990).

285.

Garrett, G.: *Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle?* *International Organization*. 52, 787–824 (1998).  
<https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550752>.

286.

Scheve, K.: *Religion and Preferences for Social Insurance*. *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*. 1, 255–286 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1561/100.00005052>.

287.

Alesina, A., Glaeser, E., Sacerdote, B.: *Why Doesn't The US Have A European-Style Welfare State?*, [http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/glaeser/files/why\\_doesnt\\_the\\_u.s.\\_have\\_a\\_european-style\\_welfare\\_state.pdf](http://scholar.harvard.edu/files/glaeser/files/why_doesnt_the_u.s._have_a_european-style_welfare_state.pdf), (2011).

288.

Iversen, T., Cusack, T.R.: *The Causes of Welfare State Expansion: Deindustrialization or Globalization?* *World Politics*. 52, 313–349 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100016567>.

289.

Cusack, T., Iversen, T., Rehm, P.: *Risks at Work: The Demand and Supply Sides of Government Redistribution*. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 22, 365–389 (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grj022>.

290.

Lichbach, M.I., Zuckerman, A.S.: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

291.

Boix, C.: *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

292.

Cusack, T.R.: *Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy*. *Comparative Political Studies*. 32, 464–486 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414099032004003>.

293.

Iversen, T., Soskice, D.: *Electoral Institutions and the Politics of Coalitions: Why Some Democracies Redistribute More Than Others*. *American Political Science Review*. 100, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055406062083>.

294.

Iversen, T.: *Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

295.

Whitten, G.D., Williams, L.K.: *Buttery Guns and Welfare Hawks: The Politics of Defense Spending in Advanced Industrial Democracies*. *American Journal of Political Science*. 55, 117–134 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2010.00479.x>.

296.

Lupu, N., Pontusson, J.: *The Structure of Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution*. *American Political Science Review*. 105, 316–336 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055411000128>.

297.

Iversen, T., Soskice, D.: *Distribution and Redistribution: The Shadow of the Nineteenth Century*. *World Politics*. 61, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S004388710900015X>.

298.

Bartels, L.M.: Homer Gets a Tax Cut: Inequality and Public Policy in the American Mind. *Perspectives on Politics*. 3, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592705050036>.

299.

Ross, F.: Cutting Public Expenditures in Advanced Industrial Democracies: The Importance of Avoiding Blame. *Governance*. 10, 175–200 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1111/0952-1895.361997036>.

300.

Quinn, D.P., Shapiro, R.Y.: Business Political Power: The Case of Taxation. *The American Political Science Review*. 85, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1963853>.

301.

Moene, K.O., Wallerstein, M.: Earnings Inequality and Welfare Spending: A Disaggregated Analysis. *World Politics*. 55, 485–516 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2003.0022>.

302.

Hicks, A., Kenworthy, L.: Varieties of welfare capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review*. 1, 27–61 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1093/soceco/1.1.27>.

303.

Swenson, P., Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science: Capitalists against markets: the making of labor markets and welfare states in the United States and Sweden. Oxford University Press, New York (2002).

304.

Giger, N., Nelson, M.: The electoral consequences of welfare state retrenchment: Blame avoidance or credit claiming in the era of permanent austerity? *European Journal of Political Research*. 50, 1–23 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01922.x>.

305.

Mares, I.: The Sources of Business Interest in Social Insurance: Sectoral versus National Differences. *World Politics*. 55, 229–258 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2003.0012>.

306.

Rehm, P., Hacker, J.S., Schlesinger, M.: Insecure Alliances: Risk, Inequality, and Support for the Welfare State. *American Political Science Review*. 106, 386–406 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055412000147>.

307.

Swank, D., Martin, C.J.: Employers and the Welfare State: The Political Economic Organization of Firms and Social Policy in Contemporary Capitalist Democracies. *Comparative Political Studies*. 34, 889–923 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414001034008003>.

308.

Clayton, R., Pontusson, J.: Welfare-State Retrenchment Revisited: Entitlement Cuts, Public Sector Restructuring, and Inegalitarian Trends in Advanced Capitalist Societies. *World Politics*. 51, 67–98 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100007796>.

309.

Korpi, W.: Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 58, 167–206 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2006.0026>.

310.

Paster, T.: Business and Welfare State Development: Why Did Employers Accept Social Reforms? *World Politics*. 65, 416–451 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887113000117>.

311.

Mares, I., Carnes, M.E.: Social Policy in Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 12, 93–113 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.12.071207.093504>.

312.

Pierson, P., ebrary, Inc, Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science: The new politics of the welfare state. Oxford University Press, Oxford [England] (2001).

313.

Kaufman, R.R., Segura-Ubiergo, A.: Globalization, Domestic Politics, and Social Spending in Latin America: A Time-Series Cross-Section Analysis, 1973–97. *World Politics*. 53, 553–587 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2001.0016>.

314.

Rudra, N., Haggard, S.: Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies*. 38, 1015–1049 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414005279258>.

315.

Kenworthy, L., Pontusson, J.: Rising Inequality and the Politics of Redistribution in Affluent Countries. *Perspectives on Politics*. 3, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592705050292>.

316.

Korpi, W.: Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 58, 167–206 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2006.0026>.

317.

Busemeyer, M.R.: From myth to reality: Globalisation and public spending in OECD countries revisited. *European Journal of Political Research*. 48, 455–482 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.00838.x>.

318.

Jahn, D.: Globalization as 'Galton's Problem': The Missing Link in the Analysis of Diffusion Patterns in Welfare State Development. *International Organization*. 60, (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818306060127>.

319.

Swank, D.: *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

320.

Wibbels, E.: Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization*. 60, (2006).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818306060139>.

321.

Manow, P.: Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review*. 7, 101–121 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwn022>.

322.

Alesina, A., Giugliano, P.: Preferences for Redistribution,  
<http://www.nber.org/papers/w14825.pdf>, (2009).

323.

Walter, S.: Crisis Politics in Europe: Why Austerity Is Easier to Implement in Some Countries Than in Others. *Comparative Political Studies*. (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414015617967>.

324.

Pontusson, J., Raess, D.: How (and Why) Is This Time Different? The Politics of Economic Crisis in Western Europe and the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 15, 13–33 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-031710-100955>.

325.

Rodrik, D.: Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy*. 106, 997–1032 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1086/250038>.

326.

Adserà, A., Boix, C.: Trade, Democracy, and the Size of the Public Sector: The Political Underpinnings of Openness. *International Organization*. 56, 229–262 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081802320005478>.

327.

Cameron, D.R.: The Expansion of the Public Economy: A Comparative Analysis. *American Political Science Review*. 72, 1243–1261 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1954537>.

328.

Wibbels, E.: Dependency Revisited: International Markets, Business Cycles, and Social Spending in the Developing World. *International Organization*. 60, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818306060139>.

329.

Mosley, L.: Room to Move: International Financial Markets and National Welfare States. *International Organization*. 54, 737–773 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081800551352>.

330.

Swank, D.: *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

331.

Mosley, L.: *Global Capital and National Governments*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).



332.

Armingeon, K.: The Politics of Fiscal Responses to the Crisis of 2008-2009. *Governance*. 25, 543-565 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2012.01594.x>.

333.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

334.

Mosley, L.: *Global Capital and National Governments*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

335.

Boix, C.: *Political Parties, Growth and Equality: Conservative and Social Democratic Economic Strategies in the World Economy*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

336.

Cheibub, J.A.: Presidentialism, Electoral Identifiability, and Budget Balances in Democratic Systems. *American Political Science Review*. 100, (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000305540606223X>.

337.

Hacker, J.S., Pierson, P.: Abandoning the Middle: The Bush Tax Cuts and the Limits of Democratic Control. *Perspectives on Politics*. 3, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592705050048>.

338.

Bradley, D., Huber, E., Moller, S., Nielsen, F., Stephens, J.D.: Distribution and Redistribution in Postindustrial Democracies. *World Politics*. 55, 193-228 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2003.0009>.

339.

Hicks, A.M., Swank, D.H.: Politics, Institutions, and Welfare Spending in Industrialized Democracies, 1960-82. *The American Political Science Review*. 86, (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1964129>.

340.

Klitgaard, M.B., Schumacher, G., Soentken, M.: The partisan politics of institutional welfare state reform. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1-19 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2014.978355>.

341.

Heins, E., de la Porte, C.: The sovereign debt crisis, the EU and welfare state reform. *Comparative European Politics*. 13, 1-7 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2014.38>.

342.

Barnes, L.: The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu007>.

343.

Iversen, T., Soskice, D.: Democratic Limits to Redistribution: Inclusionary versus Exclusionary Coalitions in the Knowledge Economy. *World Politics*. 1-41 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887115000039>.

344.

Finseraas, H., Vernby, K.: What parties are and what parties do: partisanship and welfare state reform in an era of austerity. *Socio-Economic Review*. 9, 613-638 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwr003>.

345.

Rueda, D.: The State of the Welfare State: Unemployment, Labor Market Policy, and Inequality in the Age of Workfare. *Comparative Politics*. 47, 296-314 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.5129/001041515814709275>.

346.

van Nispen, F.K.M.: Policy Analysis in Times of Austerity: A Cross-National Comparison of Spending Reviews. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*. 1–23 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2015.1005929>.

347.

Afonso, A.: Choosing whom to betray: populist right-wing parties, welfare state reforms and the trade-off between office and votes. *European Political Science Review*. 7, 271–292 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773914000125>.

348.

Kersbergen, K. van, Vis, B.: *Comparative Welfare State Politics: Development, Opportunities, and Reform*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

349.

Jensen, C., Seeberg, H.B.: The power of talk and the welfare state: evidence from 23 countries on an asymmetric opposition-government response mechanism. *Socio-Economic Review*. 13, 215–233 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu016>.

350.

Bohle, D.: Varieties of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity. *West European Politics*. 1–2 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2015.1114296>.

351.

Mares, I.: The Comparative Political Economy of the Welfare State. In: *Comparative Politics: Rationality, Culture, and Structure*. pp. 358–375. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

352.

Raess, D., Pontusson, J.: The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981-2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 54, 1-22 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12074>.

353.

Hübscher, E.: The politics of fiscal consolidation revisited. *Journal of Public Policy*. 1-29 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X15000057>.

354.

Plümper, T., Troeger, V.E., Winner, H.: Why is There No Race to the Bottom in Capital Taxation? *International Studies Quarterly*. 53, 761-786 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00555.x>.

355.

Dellepiane-Avellaneda, S., Hardiman, N.: Fiscal politics in time: pathways to fiscal consolidation in Ireland, Greece, Britain, and Spain, 1980-2012. *European Political Science Review*. 7, 189-219 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773914000186>.

356.

Lierse, H., Seelkopf, L.: Room to Manoeuvre? *International Financial Markets and the National Tax State*. *New Political Economy*. 21, 145-165 (2016).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2014.999761>.

357.

Swank, D.: *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

358.

Allan, J.P., Scruggs, L.: Political Partisanship and Welfare State Reform in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 48, 496-512 (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0092-5853.2004.00083.x>.

359.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

360.

Iversen, T.: *Capitalism, Democracy, and Welfare*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

361.

Swank, D.: *Global Capital, Political Institutions, and Policy Change in Developed Welfare States*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002).

362.

Armingeon, K., Guthmann, K., Weisstanner, D.: Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. *West European Politics*. 1–20 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072>.

363.

Manow, P.: Electoral rules, class coalitions and welfare state regimes, or how to explain Esping-Andersen with Stein Rokkan. *Socio-Economic Review*. 7, 101–121 (2008).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwn022>.

364.

Korpi, W.: Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists. *World Politics*. 58, 167–206 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2006.0026>.

365.

Blyth, M.: The Transformation of the Swedish Model: Economic Ideas, Distributional Conflict, and Institutional Change. *World Politics*. 54, 1–26 (2001).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2001.0020>.

366.

Ha, E.: Globalization, Veto Players, and Welfare Spending. *Comparative Political Studies*. 41, 783–813 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414006298938>.

367.

Swank, D.: Taxing choices: international competition, domestic institutions and the transformation of corporate tax policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–33 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1053511>.

368.

Ostry, J., Berg, A., Tsangarides, C.: Redistribution, Inequality, and Growth, <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/sdn/2014/sdn1402.pdf>.

369.

Fernández-Albertos, J., Manzano, D.: Dualism and support for the welfare state. *Comparative European Politics*. 14, 349–375 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2014.32>.

370.

Armingeon, K., Guthmann, K., Weisstanner, D.: Choosing the path of austerity: how parties and policy coalitions influence welfare state retrenchment in periods of fiscal consolidation. *West European Politics*. 39, 628–647 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2015.1111072>.

371.

Bueno de Mesquita, B., Downs, G., Smith, A.: A Political Economy of Income Tax Policies. *Political Science Research and Methods*. 5, 1–29 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2015.53>.

372.

Menendez, I.: Globalization and Welfare Spending: How Geography and Electoral

Institutions Condition Compensation. *International Studies Quarterly*. 60, 665–676 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/isq/sqw028>.

373.

ARNOLD, T., STADELMANN-STEFFEN, I.: How federalism influences welfare spending: Belgium federalism reform through the perspective of the synthetic control method. *European Journal of Political Research*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12196>.

374.

Baumgartner, F.R., Carammia, M., Epp, D.A., Noble, B., Rey, B., Yildirim, T.M.: Budgetary change in authoritarian and democratic regimes. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–17 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1296482>.

375.

Franco Chuaire, M., Scartascini, C., Tommasi, M.: State capacity and the quality of policies revisiting the relationship between openness and government size. *Economics & Politics*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12090>.

376.

Busemeyer, M.R., Garritzmann, J.L.: Public opinion on policy and budgetary trade-offs in European welfare states: evidence from a new comparative survey. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–19 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1298658>.

377.

Barnes, L.: The size and shape of government: preferences over redistributive tax policy. *Socio-Economic Review*. 13, 55–78 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu007>.

378.

Oser, J., Hooghe, M.: Give Me Your Tired, Your Poor? Support for Social Citizenship Rights in the United States and Europe. *Sociological Perspectives*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121417697305>.

379.

König, P.D., Wenzelburger, G.: Honeymoon in the crisis: A comparative analysis of the strategic timing of austerity policies and their effect on government popularity in three countries. *Comparative European Politics*. 15, 991–1015 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2016.1>.

380.

Osterloh, S., Debus, M.: Partisan politics in corporate taxation. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 28, 192–207 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2011.11.002>.

381.

JENSEN, C.: Issue compensation and right-wing government social spending. *European Journal of Political Research*. 49, 282–299 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01898.x>.

382.

Raess, D., Pontusson, J.: The politics of fiscal policy during economic downturns, 1981–2010. *European Journal of Political Research*. 54, 1–22 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12074>.

383.

Neundorf, A., Soroka, S.: The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics*. 1–28 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666>.

384.

Pontusson, J., Weisstanner, D.: Macroeconomic conditions, inequality shocks and the politics of redistribution, 1990–2013. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 25, 31–58 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2017.1310280>.

385.

Scruggs, L., Hayes, T.J.: The Influence of Inequality on Welfare Generosity. *Politics & Society*. 45, 35–66 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329216683165>.



386.

Neundorff, A., Soroka, S.: The origins of redistributive policy preferences: political socialisation with and without a welfare state. *West European Politics*. 41, 400–427 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2017.1388666>.

387.

Feierherd, G., Schiumerini, L., Stokes, S.: When Do the Wealthy Support Redistribution? Inequality Aversion in Buenos Aires. *British Journal of Political Science*. 1–13 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123417000588>.

388.

Emmenegger, P., Marx, P.: The Politics of Inequality as Organised Spectacle: Why the Swiss Do Not Want to Tax the Rich. *New Political Economy*. 1–22 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2017.1420641>.

389.

Alemán, J., Woods, D.: A Comparative Analysis of Inequality and Redistribution in Democracies. *International Studies Quarterly*. 62, 171–181 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/isq/sqx089>.

390.

Abou-Chadi, T., Immergut, E.M.: Recalibrating social protection: Electoral competition and the new partisan politics of the welfare state. *European Journal of Political Research*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12308>.

391.

Savage, L.: The politics of social spending after the Great Recession: The return of partisan policy making. *Governance*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12354>.

392.

Bremer, B., McDaniel, S.: The ideational foundations of social democratic austerity in the

context of the great recession. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwz001>.

393.

Savage, L.: Religion, partisanship and preferences for redistribution. *European Journal of Political Research*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12341>.

394.

Lockwood, E.: The Global Politics of Central Banking: A View from Political Science, [https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/55059/2016\\_WP5\\_Lockwood.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://ecommons.cornell.edu/bitstream/handle/1813/55059/2016_WP5_Lockwood.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).

395.

McNamara, K.: Rational Fictions: Central Bank Independence and the Social Logic of Delegation. *West European Politics*. 25, 47–76 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/713601585>.

396.

Fernández-Albertos, J.: The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 18, 217–237 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-071112-221121>.

397.

Lombardi, D., Moschella, M.: The institutional and cultural foundations of the Federal Reserve's and ECB's non-standard policies, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277307695\\_The\\_institutional\\_and\\_cultural\\_foundations\\_of\\_the\\_Federal\\_Reserve%27s\\_and\\_ECB%27s\\_non-standard\\_policies](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277307695_The_institutional_and_cultural_foundations_of_the_Federal_Reserve%27s_and_ECB%27s_non-standard_policies), (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1425/79495>.

398.

Bernhard, W., Broz, J.L., Clark, W.R.: The Political Economy of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization*. 56, 693–723 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1162/002081802760403748>.

399.

Scheve, K.: Public Inflation Aversion and the Political Economy of Macroeconomic Policymaking. *International Organization*. 58, (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818304581018>.

400.

Goodman, J.B.: The Politics of Central Bank Independence. *Comparative Politics*. 23, (1991). <https://doi.org/10.2307/422090>.

401.

Crowe, C., Meade, E.E.: The Evolution of Central Bank Governance around the World. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 21, 69–90 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.21.4.69>.

402.

Bernhard, W.: A Political Explanation of Variations in Central Bank Independence. *The American Political Science Review*. 92, (1998). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2585666>.

403.

Polillo, S., Guillén, M.F.: Globalization Pressures and the State: The Worldwide Spread of Central Bank Independence<sup>1</sup>. *American Journal of Sociology*. 110, 1764–1802 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1086/428685>.

404.

Marcussen, M.: Central banks on the move. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 12, 903–923 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760500161597>.

405.

Cukierman, A.: Monetary policy and institutions before, during, and after the global financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Stability*. 9, 373–384 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2013.02.002>.

406.

Adolph, C.: *Bankers, Bureaucrats, and Central Bank Politics: The Myth of Neutrality*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

407.

Hall, P.A., Franzese, R.J.: Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union. *International Organization*. 52, 505–535 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550644>.

408.

Clark, W.R., Arel-Bundock, V.: Independent but Not Indifferent: Partisan Bias in Monetary Policy at the Fed. *Economics & Politics*. 25, 1–26 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12006>.

409.

King, M.: Epistemic Communities and the Diffusion of Ideas: Central Bank Reform in the United Kingdom. *West European Politics*. 28, 94–123 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0140238042000297107>.

410.

Cukierman, A., Web, S.B., Neyapti, B.: Measuring the Independence of Central Banks and Its Effect on Policy Outcomes. *The World Bank Economic Review*. 6, 353–398 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/6.3.353>.

411.

Elgie, R.: The politics of the European Central Bank: principal-agent theory and the democratic deficit. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 9, 186–200 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760110120219>.

412.

McNamara, K.R.: *The currency of ideas: monetary politics in the European Union*. Cornell

University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1999).

413.

Lohmann, S.: Federalism and Central bank Independence: The Politics of German Monetary Policy, 1957–92. *World Politics*. 50, 401–446 (1998).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100012867>.

414.

Broz, J.L.: The Origins of Central Banking: Solutions to the Free-Rider Problem. *International Organization*. 52, 231–268 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898753162811>.

415.

Quaglia, L.: An Integrative Approach to the Politics of Central Bank Independence: Lessons from Britain, Germany and Italy. *West European Politics*. 28, 549–568 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380500085798>.

416.

Chang, K.H.: *Appointing Central Bankers: The Politics of Monetary Policy in the United States and the European Monetary Union*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

417.

The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 97, 407–423 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017.S0003055403000777>.

418.

Mukherjee, B., Singer, D.A.: Monetary Institutions, Partisanship, and Inflation Targeting. *International Organization*. 62, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818308080119>.

419.

Broz, J.L.: Political System Transparency and Monetary Commitment Regimes. *International Organization*. 56, 861–887 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081802760403801>.

420.

Posen, A.: Declarations Are Not Enough: Financial Sector Sources of Central Bank Independence. 253–274 (1995).

421.

Hallerberg, M.: Veto Players and the Choice of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization*. 56, 775–802 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081802760403775>.

422.

WAY, C.: Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. *Comparative Political Studies*. 33, 196–224 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414000033002002>.

423.

Frieden, J.: Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance. *International Organization*. 45, 425–451 (1991).

424.

Frieden, J.A.: Real Sources of European Currency Policy: Sectoral Interests and European Monetary Integration. *International Organization*. 56, 831–860 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1162/002081802760403793>.

425.

Bodea, C.: Independent central banks, regime type, and fiscal performance: the case of post-communist countries. *Public Choice*. 155, 81–107 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-011-9843-6>.

426.

Jeong, G.-H., Miller, G.J., Sobel, A.C.: Political Compromise and Bureaucratic Structure: The

Political Origins of the Federal Reserve System. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 25, 472–498 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jleo/ewn010>.

427.

Verdun, A.: The Institutional Design of EMU: A Democratic Deficit? *Journal of Public Policy*. 18, 107–132 (1998).

428.

Quaglia, L., Taylor & Francis: Central banking governance in the European Union: a comparative analysis. Routledge, London (2008).

429.

Lombardi, D., Moschella, M.: The government bond buying programmes of the European Central Bank: an analysis of their policy settings. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 1–20 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2015.1069374>.

430.

Arnone, M., Laurens, B.J., Segalotto, J.-F.: Measures of Central Bank Autonomy: Empirical Evidence for OECD, Developing, and Emerging Market Economies, <https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2006/wp06228.pdf>.

431.

Davies, H., Davies, H., Green, D.: Banking on the future: the fall and rise of central banking. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2010).

432.

Braun, B.: Governing the future: the European Central Bank's expectation management during the Great Moderation. *Economy and Society*. 44, 367–391 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2015.1049447>.

433.

Broz, L.: The Federal Reserve as global lender of last resort, 2007-2010. (2015).

434.

Bodea, C., Hicks, R.: Price Stability and Central Bank Independence: Discipline, Credibility, and Democratic Institutions. *International Organization*. 1-27 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818314000277>.

435.

Schwartz, H.M.: Banking on the FED: QE1-2-3 and the Rebalancing of the Global Economy. *New Political Economy*. 1-23 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2015.1041480>.

436.

Dobler, M., Gray, S., Murphy, D., Radzewicz-Bak, B.: The Lender of Last Resort Function after the Global Financial Crisis, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2016/wp1610.pdf>.

437.

WAY, C.: Central Banks, Partisan Politics, and Macroeconomic Outcomes. *Comparative Political Studies*. 33, 196-224 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414000033002002>.

438.

Bodea, C., Higashijima, M.: Central Bank Independence and Fiscal Policy: Can the Central Bank Restrain Deficit Spending? *British Journal of Political Science*. 1-24 (2015).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123415000058>.

439.

Belke, A., Potrafke, N.: Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 31, 1126-1139 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014>.

440.



Lepers, E.: The Neutrality Illusion: Biased Economics, Biased Training, and Biased Monetary Policy. Testing the Role of Ideology on FOMC Voting Behaviour. *New Political Economy*. 1-23 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2017.1332019>.

441.

Goodhart, C., Lastra, R.: Populism and Central Bank Independence. *Open Economies Review*. 29, 49-68 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11079-017-9447-y>.

442.

Jacobs, L.R., King, D.: The Fed's Political Economy. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 1-5 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518000884>.

443.

Adolph, C.: The Missing Politics of Central Banks. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 1-6 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518000847>.

444.

Pontusson, J.: The Fed, Finance, and Inequality in Comparative Perspective. *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 1-4 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518000860>.

445.

Binder, S., Spindel, M.: Why Study Monetary Politics? *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 1-5 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518000835>.

446.

Braun, B.: Speaking to the people? Money, trust, and central bank legitimacy in the age of quantitative easing. *Review of International Political Economy*. 23, 1064-1092 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2016.1252415>.

447.

Johnson, J., Arel-Bundock, V., Portniaguine, V.: Adding Rooms onto a House We Love:

Central Banking after the Global Financial Crisis. *Public Administration*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12567>.

448.

de Haan, J., Eijffinger, S.: The politics of central bank independence. (2016).

449.

Alesina, A., Stella, A.: The Politics of Monetary Policy. (2010).

450.

Alesina, A., Stella, A.: The Politics of Monetary Policy. In: *Handbook of Monetary Economics: Vols. 3A & 3B, Set.* pp. 1001–1054. North Holland [Imprint], San Diego. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53454-5.00006-2>.

451.

Belke, A., Potrafke, N.: Does government ideology matter in monetary policy? A panel data analysis for OECD countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 31, 1126–1139 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jimonfin.2011.12.014>.

452.

Crowe, C.: Goal independent central banks: Why politicians decide to delegate. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 24, 748–762 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2008.05.002>.

453.

Keefer, P., Stasavage, D.: The Limits of Delegation: Veto Players, Central Bank Independence, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *American Political Science Review*. 97, (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055403000777>.

454.

Dumiter, F.C.: Central Bank Independence, Transparency and Accountability Indexes: a

Survey. *Timisoara Journal of Economics and Business*. 7, 35–54 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.2478/tjeb-2014-0002>.

455.

Garriga, A.C.: Central Bank Independence in the World: A New Data Set. *International Interactions*. 42, 849–868 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050629.2016.1188813>.

456.

Vaubel, R.: The bureaucratic and partisan behavior of independent central banks: German and international evidence. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 13, 201–224 (1997).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-2680\(97\)00004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-2680(97)00004-9).

457.

Giesenow, F.M., de Haan, J.: The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12126>.

458.

Kaya, A., Golub, S., Kuperberg, M., Lin, F.: The Federal Reserve's Dual Mandate and the Inflation-Unemployment Tradeoff. *Contemporary Economic Policy*. (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/coep.12422>.

459.

McPhilemy, S., Moschella, M.: Central Banks under stress Reputation, Accountability and Regulatory Coherence. *Public Administration*. (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12606>.

460.

Reisenbichler, A.: The politics of quantitative easing and housing stimulus by the Federal Reserve and European Central Bank, 2008–2018. *West European Politics*. 1–21 (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2019.1612160>.

461.

Giesenow, F.M., de Haan, J.: The influence of government ideology on monetary policy: New cross-country evidence based on dynamic heterogeneous panels. *Economics & Politics*. 31, 216–239 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12126>.

462.

Baldwin, R., Cave, M., Lodge, M., Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of regulation. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

463.

Baldwin, R., Cave, M., Lodge, M., Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of regulation. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

464.

Webb Yackee, S.: The Politics of Rulemaking in the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 22, (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-050817-092302>.

465.

Baldwin, R., Cave, M., Lodge, M.: Understanding regulation: theory, strategy, and practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

466.

Baldwin, R., Cave, M., Lodge, M.: Regulatory Strategies (Chapter 7). In: *Understanding Regulation: Theory, Strategy, and Practice*. pp. 105–136. Oxford University Press, USA (2011).

467.

Thatcher, M.: Regulation after delegation: independent regulatory agencies in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 9, 954–972 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350176022000046445>.

468.

Majone, G.: From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy*. 17, (1997).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X00003524>.

469.

Vogel, S.K.: *Freer markets, more rules: regulatory reform in advanced industrial countries*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y. (1996).

470.

Vogel, D.: Chapter 1. Understanding Regulatory Reform. In: *Freer Markets, More Rules: Regulatory Reform in Advanced Industrial Countries*. pp. 9–24. Cornell University Press, Ithaca (1995).

471.

Levi-Faur, D.: The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Capitalism. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 598, 12–32 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716204272371>.

472.

Thatcher, M.: Delegation to Independent Regulatory Agencies: Pressures, Functions and Contextual Mediation. *West European Politics*. 25, 125–147 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/713601588>.

473.

Gilardi, F.: The Same, But Different: Central Banks, Regulatory Agencies, and the Politics of Delegation to Independent Authorities. *Comparative European Politics*. 5, 303–327 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110098>.

474.

Carpenter, D., Moss, D.A. eds: *Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit it*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

475.

Carpenter, D., Moss, D.A. eds: Preventing Regulatory Capture: Special Interest Influence and How to Limit it. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

476.

Carrigan, C., Coglianese, C.: The Politics of Regulation: From New Institutionalism to New Governance. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 14, 107–129 (2011).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.032408.171344>.

477.

Majone, G.: From the Positive to the Regulatory State: Causes and Consequences of Changes in the Mode of Governance. *Journal of Public Policy*. 17, (1997).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X00003524>.

478.

Baldwin, R., Baldwin, R., Cave, M., Lodge, M.: Understanding regulation: theory, strategy, and practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

479.

Gilardi, F.: Policy credibility and delegation to independent regulatory agencies: a comparative empirical analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 9, 873–893 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1350176022000046409>.

480.

Hancké, B., Rhodes, M., Thatcher, M.: Beyond varieties of capitalism: conflict, contradictions, and complementarities in the European economy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

481.

Gandrud, C.: The diffusion of financial supervisory governance ideas. *Review of International Political Economy*. 20, 881–916 (2013).

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.727362>.

482.

Stigler, G.J.: The Theory of Economic Regulation. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*. 2, (1971). <https://doi.org/10.2307/3003160>.

483.

Peltzman, S.: Toward a More General Theory of Regulation. *The Journal of Law and Economics*. 19, 211–240 (1976). <https://doi.org/10.1086/466865>.

484.

Reed, K.K.: A Look at Firm--Regulator Exchanges: Friendly Enough or Too Friendly? *Business & Society*. 48, 147–178 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650308316525>.

485.

Moran, M.: Review Article: Understanding the Regulatory State. *British Journal of Political Science*. 32, 391–413 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123402000169>.

486.

Gilardi, F.: The Institutional Foundations of Regulatory Capitalism: The Diffusion of Independent Regulatory Agencies in Western Europe. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 598, 84–101 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716204271833>.

487.

Jordana, J., Levi-Faur, D., i Marin, X.F.: The Global Diffusion of Regulatory Agencies: Channels of Transfer and Stages of Diffusion. *Comparative Political Studies*. 44, 1343–1369 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414011407466>.

488.

Braithwaite, J., Drahos, P.: *Global business regulation*. Cambridge University Press,

Cambridge (2000).

489.

Levi-Faur, D., Sharon Gilad, Gilad, S.: The Rise of the British Regulatory State: Transcending the Privatization Debate. *Comparative Politics*. 37, 105–124 (2004).

490.

Moe, T.M.: Control and Feedback in Economic Regulation: The Case of the NLRB. *The American Political Science Review*. 79, (1985). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1956250>.

491.

Dal Bo, E.: Regulatory Capture: A Review. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 22, 203–225 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grj013>.

492.

Becker, G.S.: A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 98, (1983). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1886017>.

493.

Elgie, R., McMenamin, I.: Credible Commitment, Political Uncertainty or Policy Complexity? Explaining Variations in the Independence of Non-majoritarian Institutions in France. *British Journal of Political Science*. 35, 531–548 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123405000281>.

494.

Guidi, M.: Delegation and varieties of capitalism: Explaining the independence of national competition agencies in the European Union. *Comparative European Politics*. 12, 343–365 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1057/cep.2013.6>.

495.

Hanretty, C., Koop, C.: Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of



regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance*. 7, 195–214 (2013).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x>.

496.

Maggetti, M.: De facto independence after delegation: A fuzzy-set analysis. *Regulation & Governance*. 1, 271–294 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5991.2007.00023.x>.

497.

Carpenter, D.P.: Protection without Capture: Product Approval by a Politically Responsive, Learning Regulator. *American Political Science Review*. 98, (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055404041383>.

498.

Baxter, L.: Capture in Financial Regulation: Can We Redirect It Toward the Common Good? *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy*. (2011).

499.

Majone, G.: The rise of the regulatory state in Europe. *West European Politics*. 17, 77–101 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402389408425031>.

500.

Thatcher, M.: Regulatory agencies, the state and markets: a Franco-British comparison. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 14, 1028–1047 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760701576510>.

501.

McCubbins, M.D., Schwartz, T.: Congressional Oversight Overlooked: Police Patrols versus Fire Alarms. *American Journal of Political Science*. 28, 165–179 (1984).

502.

Guardiancich, I., Guidi, M.: Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of

Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? Regulation & Governance. n/a-n/a (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12080>.

503.

Fernández-i-Marín, X., Jordana, J.: The emergence of regulatory regionalism: transnational networks and the diffusion of regulatory agencies within regions. *Contemporary Politics*. 1–18 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13569775.2015.1010776>.

504.

Ennsner-Jedenastik, L.: Credibility Versus Control: Agency Independence and Partisan Influence in the Regulatory State. *Comparative Political Studies*. 48, 823–853 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414014558259>.

505.

Ennsner-Jedenastik, L.: The Politicization of Regulatory Agencies: Between Partisan Influence and Formal Independence. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 26, 507–518 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muv022>.

506.

Guardiancich, I., Guidi, M.: Formal independence of regulatory agencies and Varieties of Capitalism: A case of institutional complementarity? *Regulation & Governance*. 10, 211–229 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12080>.

507.

Ennsner-Jedenastik, L.: Do parties matter in delegation? Partisan preferences and the creation of regulatory agencies in Europe. *Regulation & Governance*. 10, 193–210 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12072>.

508.

Abbott, K.W., Levi-faur, D., Snidal, D.: Theorizing Regulatory Intermediaries. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 670, 14–35 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716216688272>.

509.

Hanretty, C., Koop, C.: Shall the law set them free? The formal and actual independence of regulatory agencies. *Regulation & Governance*. 7, 195–214 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-5991.2012.01156.x>.

510.

Pavón Mediano, A.: Agencies' formal independence and credible commitment in the Latin American regulatory state: A comparative analysis of 8 countries and 13 sectors. *Regulation & Governance*. (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12187>.

511.

Eckert, S.: Two spheres of regulation: Balancing social and economic goals. *Regulation & Governance*. 12, 177–191 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12137>.

512.

Malhotra, N., Monin, B., Tomz, M.: Does Private Regulation Preempt Public Regulation? *American Political Science Review*. 1–19 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055418000679>.

513.

Smith, M.G., Urpelainen, J.: Windows of opportunity: legislative fragmentation conditions the effect of partisanship on product market deregulation. *Journal of Public Policy*. 36, 51–86 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X14000300>.

514.

Lodge, M.: Regulation, the Regulatory State and European Politics. *West European Politics*. 31, 280–301 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380701835074>.

515.

Thatcher, M.: Analysing regulatory reform in Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 9, 859–872 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350176022000046391>.

516.

Thelen, K.: Regulating Uber: The Politics of the Platform Economy in Europe and the United States. *Perspectives on Politics*. 16, 938–953 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592718001081>.

517.

Milner, H.V.: The Political Economy of International Trade. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 2, 91–114 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.91>.

518.

MARGALIT, Y.: Lost in Globalization: International Economic Integration and the Sources of Popular Discontent<sup>1</sup>. *International Studies Quarterly*. 56, 484–500 (2012).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00747.x>.

519.

Berger, S.: Globalization and Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 3, 43–62 (2000).  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.43>.

520.

Brune, N., Garrett, G.: The globalization Rorschach Test: International Economic Integration, Inequality, and the Role of Government. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 8, 399–423 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.6.121901.085727>.

521.

Rodrik, D.: Why do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments? *Journal of Political Economy*. 106, 997–1032 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1086/250038>.

522.

Rudra, N., Haggard, S.: Globalization, Democracy, and Effective Welfare Spending in the Developing World. *Comparative Political Studies*. 38, 1015–1049 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414005279258>.

523.

Autor, D., Dorn, D., Hanson, G., Majlesi, K.: Importing Political Polarization? The Electoral Consequences of Rising Trade Exposure. (2016).

524.

KIM, I.S.: Political Cleavages within Industry: Firm-level Lobbying for Trade Liberalization. *American Political Science Review*. 111, 1-20 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055416000654>.

525.

Rho, S., Tomz, M.: Why Don't Trade Preferences Reflect Economic Self-Interest? *International Organization*. 71, S85-S108 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818316000394>.

526.

Baker, A.: Who Wants to Globalize? Consumer Tastes and Labor Markets in a Theory of Trade Policy Beliefs. *American Journal of Political Science*. 49, 924-938 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2005.00164.x>.

527.

Beaulieu, E., Benarroch, M., Gaisford, J.D.: Intra-industry Trade Liberalization: Why Skilled Workers are More Likely to Support Free Trade. *Review of International Economics*. 19, 579-594 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9396.2011.00967.x>.

528.

Dean, A.: *From conflict to coalition: profit-sharing institutions and the political economy of trade*. Cambridge University Press, New York (2016).

529.

Walter, S.: Globalization and the Welfare State: Testing the Microfoundations of the Compensation Hypothesis. *International Studies Quarterly*. 54, 403-426 (2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2478.2010.00593.x>.

530.

Hwang, W., Lee, H.: Globalization, Factor Mobility, Partisanship, and Compensation Policies. *International Studies Quarterly*. 58, 92–105 (2014).  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12070>.

531.

Owen, E., Johnston, N.P.: Occupation and the Political Economy of Trade: Job Routineness, Offshorability, and Protectionist Sentiment. *International Organization*. 1–35 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818317000339>.

532.

Borges, F.A.: Debating Trade: The Legislative Politics of Free Trade Agreements in Latin America. *Government and Opposition*. 1–29 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/gov.2017.28>.

533.

Mansfield, E.D., Mutz, D.C.: Support for Free Trade: Self-Interest, Sociotropic Politics, and Out-Group Anxiety. *International Organization*. 63, 425–457 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309090158>.

534.

Rode, M., Sáenz de Viteri, A.: Expressive attitudes to compensation: The case of globalization. *European Journal of Political Economy*. 54, 42–55 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.05.007>.

535.

Mansfield, E.D., Milner, H.V., Pevehouse, J.C.: Vetoing Co-operation: The Impact of Veto Players on Preferential Trading Arrangements. *British Journal of Political Science*. 37, (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123407000221>.

536.

Mutz, D.C., Kim, E.: The Impact of In-group Favoritism on Trade Preferences. *International Organization*. 71, 827–850 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818317000327>.

537.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

538.

Overbeek, H., Apeldoorn, B. van, Nölke, A.: *The transnational politics of corporate governance regulation*. Routledge, London (2007).

539.

Davis, G.F., Kim, S.: Financialization of the Economy. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 41, 203–221 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112402>.

540.

Lazonick, W., O'Sullivan, M.: Maximizing shareholder value: a new ideology for corporate governance. *Economy and Society*. 29, 13–35 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1080/030851400360541>.

541.

Morgan, G., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of comparative institutional analysis*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010).

542.

Hall, P.A., Soskice, D.W.: *Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of comparative advantage*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2001).

543.

Roe, M.J.: *Political determinants of corporate governance: political context, corporate impact*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

544.

Clift, B.: *Comparative political economy: states, markets and global capitalism*. Macmillan International Higher Education, London (2021).

545.

Callaghan, H.: *Insiders, Outsiders, and the Politics of Corporate Governance: How Ownership Structure Shapes Party Positions in Britain, Germany, and France*. *Comparative Political Studies*. 42, 733–762 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414008329895>.

546.

Hardie, I., Howarth, D., Maxfield, S., Verdun, A.: *Banks and the False Dichotomy in the Comparative Political Economy of Finance*. *World Politics*. 65, 691–728 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887113000221>.

547.

Cioffi, J.W., Hopner, M.: *The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform*. *Politics & Society*. 34, 463–502 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329206293642>.

548.

Culpepper, P.D.: *Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

549.

Culpepper, P.D.: *Quiet Politics and Business Power: Corporate Control in Europe and Japan*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

550.

Gourevitch, P.A., Shinn, J., EBL.: *Political power and corporate control: the new global politics of corporate governance*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2005).



551.

Culpepper, P.D.: Institutional Change in Contemporary Capitalism: Coordinated Financial Systems since 1990. *World Politics*. 57, 173–199 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2005.0016>.

552.

Martin Höpner: What connects industrial relations and corporate governance? Explaining institutional complementarity. *Socio-Economic Review*. 3, 331–358 (2005).  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/SER/mwi014>.

553.

Callaghan, H., Höpner, M.: European Integration and the Clash of Capitalisms: Political Cleavages over Takeover Liberalization. *Comparative European Politics*. 3, 307–332 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110061>.

554.

Beyer, J., Hoppner, M.: The disintegration of organised capitalism: German corporate governance in the 1990s. *West European Politics*. 26, 179–198 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380312331280738>.

555.

Horn, L.: *Regulating corporate governance in the EU: Towards a marketization of corporate control*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2011).

556.

Höpner, M.: Corporate Governance Reform and the German Party Paradox. *Comparative Politics*. 39, 401–420 (2007).

557.

Cioffi, J.W., Hopner, M.: The Political Paradox of Finance Capitalism: Interests, Preferences, and Center-Left Party Politics in Corporate Governance Reform. *Politics & Society*. 34,

463–502 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329206293642>.

558.

O'Sullivan, M.: The political economy of comparative corporate governance. *Review of International Political Economy*. 10, 23–72 (2003).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0969229032000048899>.

559.

Cioffi, J.W.: The Political Determinants of Corporate Governance: Political Context, Corporate Impact. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*. 52, (2004).  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/4144483>.

560.

Allen, F., Gale, D.: *Comparing financial systems*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2000).

561.

Roe, M.J.: Political Preconditions to Separating Ownership from Corporate Control. *Stanford Law Review*. 53, 539–606 (2000).

562.

Alvarez, I.: Financialization, non-financial corporations and income inequality: the case of France. *Socio-Economic Review*. 13, 449–475 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwv007>.

563.

Callaghan, H.: Who cares about financialization? Self-reinforcing feedback, issue salience, and increasing acquiescence to market-enabling takeover rules. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu037>.

564.

Hall, P.A., Soskice, D.W.: *Varieties of capitalism: the institutional foundations of*

comparative advantage. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2001).

565.

Pontusson, J., Rueda, D., Way, C.: Comparative Political Economy of Wage Distribution: The Role of Partisanship and Labour Market Institutions. *British Journal of Political Science*. 32, 281–308 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000712340200011X>.

566.

Traxler, F., Kittel, B.: The Bargaining System and Performance: A Comparison of 18 OECD Countries. *Comparative Political Studies*. 33, 1154–1190 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414000033009003>.

567.

Wallerstein, M.: Wage-Setting Institutions and Pay Inequality in Advanced Industrial Societies. *American Journal of Political Science*. 43, (1999). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2991830>.

568.

Rueda, D., Pontusson, J.: Wage Inequality and Varieties of Capitalism. *World Politics*. 52, 350–383 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887100016579>.

569.

Crouch, C., Oxford Scholarship Online Political Science: Industrial relations and European state traditions. Clarendon, Oxford (1993).

570.

Baccaro, L., Simoni, M.: Organizational Determinants of Wage Moderation. *World Politics*. 62, 594–635 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887110000201>.

571.

Beck, N., Katz, J.N., Alvarez, R.M., Garrett, G., Lange, P.: Government Partisanship, Labor

Organization, and Macroeconomic Performance: A Corrigendum. *The American Political Science Review*. 87, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2938825>.

572.

Mares, I.: Wage Bargaining in the Presence of Social Services and Transfers. *World Politics*. 57, 99–142 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.2005.0011>.

573.

Martin, C.J., Swank, D.: Does the Organization of Capital Matter? Employers and Active Labor Market Policy at the National and Firm Levels. *American Political Science Review*. 98, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055404041371>.

574.

Eichengreen, B., Iversen, T.: Institutions and economic performance: evidence from the labour market. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 15, 121–138 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/15.4.121>.

575.

Bermeo, N.: *Unemployment in the New Europe*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).

576.

Hessami, Z., Baskaran, T.: Has Globalisation Affected Collective Bargaining? An Empirical Test, 1980-2009. *The World Economy*. n/a-n/a (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.12239>.

577.

Emmenegger, P.: The Politics of Job Security Regulations in Western Europe: From Drift to Layering. *Politics & Society*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329214555099>.

578.

Gordon, J.C.: Protecting the unemployed: varieties of unionism and the evolution of unemployment benefits and active labor market policy in the rich democracies. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu029>.

579.

Knotz, C., Lindvall, J.: Coalitions and Compensation: The Case of Unemployment Benefit Duration. *Comparative Political Studies*. (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414014556209>.

580.

Darcillon, T.: How does finance affect labor market institutions? An empirical analysis in 16 OECD countries. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwu038>.

581.

Jung, J.: A struggle on two fronts: labour resistance to changing layoff policies at large US companies. *Socio-Economic Review*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwv015>.

582.

Roe, M.J., Vatiero, M.: Corporate Governance and Its Political Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. (2015). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2588760>.

583.

Mueller, D.C., *Oxford Handbooks Online: The Oxford handbook of capitalism*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

584.

van der Zwan, N.: Making sense of financialization. *Socio-Economic Review*. 12, 99–129 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwt020>.