

LMM107: Criminal Procedure 2

[View Online](#)

Ashworth, Andrew & Redmayne, Mike. (2010a). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Ashworth, Andrew & Redmayne, Mike. (2010b). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Ashworth, Andrew & Redmayne, Mike. (2010c). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Ashworth, Andrew & Redmayne, Mike. (2010d). The criminal process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Attorney Generals Guidelines on Disclosure: Legal Guidance: The Crown Prosecution Service. (n.d.).

http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/a_to_c/attorney_generals_guidelines_on_disclosure/

Ball, C. (n.d.). Youth justice? Half a century of responses to youth offending [2004] Crim. L.R. 167. Criminal Law Review.

Bar Standards Board: Guidance on preparation of defence statements. (n.d.).

<https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/>

Barsby, C., & Ormerod, D. C. (n.d.). Special advocates: Human Rights Act 1998 Sch. 1, Pt 1, Art. 6 [2004] Crim LR 861. Criminal Law Review.

*Blackstone's Criminal Practice. (n.d.). [Electronic resource]. [s.n.].

Boon, A., & Nash, S. (n.d.). Special advocacy: political expediency and legal roles in modern judicial systems [2006] 9(1) Legal Ethics 101. Legal Ethics.

<http://0-heinonline.org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lethics9&collection=journals&index=journals/lethics101&id=101>

Choo, Andrew L. - T. (2008). Abuse of process and judicial stays of criminal pleadings / Andrew L. - T. Choo: Vol. Oxford Monographs on Criminal Law and Justice.

Choo, Andrew L.-T. & Oxford Scholarship Online Law. (2008). Abuse of process and judicial stays of criminal proceedings (2nd ed) [Electronic resource]. Oxford University Press.

<http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199280834.001.0001>

Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990). (n.d.).

<http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/crc.pdf>

Criminal Appeal Act 1968. s2. (n.d.).

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-GVS0-TWPY-Y1P8&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Criminal Appeal Act 1995, s.13 (and generally). (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-GYD0-TWPY-Y14S&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Criminal Justice Act 2003, Part 9 (ss. 57-74). (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61N0-TWPY-Y1DV&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, ss 42, 44. (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61K0-TWPY-Y15R&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

*Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, Part 1 (as amended). (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-HC60-TWPY-Y0NT&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book. (n.d.-a). <http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp>

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book. (n.d.-b). <http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp>

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book. (n.d.-c). <http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp>

Criminal Procedure Rules. (n.d.). <http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/criminal>

Crown Prosecution Service v P [2007] EWHC 946 (Admin) (27 April 2007). (n.d.).

<http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2007/946.html>

Disclosure Manual: Legal Guidance: The Crown Prosecution Service. (n.d.).

http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/d_to_g/disclosure_manual/

*DPP v Humphrys [1977] AC 1. (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-617P&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Elliott, C. (n.d.). Criminal responsibility and children: a new defence required to acknowledge the absence of capacity and choice (2011) 75 JCL 289. Journal of Criminal Law.

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=53D1-5Y41-DYJF-P03K&csi=280328&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

European Court of Human Rights, Guide on Article 5 of the Convention: Right to liberty and

security. (n.d.). http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Art_5_ENG.pdf

Executive Summary of Law Com No 269 - Bail and the Human Rights Act 1998. (n.d.). http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269_Bail_and_the_Human_Rights_Act_summary.pdf

Great Britain. (1993). The Royal Commission on Criminal Justice: report: Cm 2263.

Great Britain. (2001). Double jeopardy and prosecution appeals: report on two references under section 3(1)(e) of the Law Commissions Act 1965 : presented to Parliament by the Lord High Chancellor...March 2001: Vol. LAW COM (Law Commission report). HMSO.

High Court's Jurisdiction in Relation to Criminal Proceedings - Law Commission. (n.d.). <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/publications/high-courts-jurisdiction.htm>

Hofmeyr, K. (n.d.). The problem of private entrapment [2006] Crim. L.R., Apr, 319. Criminal Law Review.

Hoyano, L. (n.d.). R v A: perverting the course of justice [2013] Crim. L.R., 3, 240. Criminal Law Review.

Hucklesby, A. (n.d.). Not necessarily a trip to the police station: the introduction of street bail [2004] Crim LR 803. Criminal Law Review.

Hungerford-Welch, P. (n.d.-a). Abuse of process: R v F - delayed complaint of sexual abuse [2012] Crim. L.R., 4, 282. Criminal Law Review.

Hungerford-Welch, P. (n.d.-b). Prosecution interviews of defence witnesses [2010] Crim LR 690. Criminal Law Review.

Hungerford-Welch, P. (n.d.-c). R v Austin: abuse of process - disclosure - non-disclosure in previous proceedings which had been discontinued [2013] Crim. L.R., 11, 914. Criminal Law Review.

Hungerford-Welch, P. (n.d.-d). R v Barkshire (David Robert): evidence - non-disclosure - evidence of police officer's activity undermining prosecution case not disclosed to defence [2012] Crim. L.R., 6, 453. Criminal Law Review.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009a). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009b). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter & Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009a). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter & Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009b). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter & Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009c). *Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter & Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009d). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter & Hungerford-Welch, Peter. (2009e). Criminal procedure and sentencing (7th ed). Routledge-Cavendish.

Jackson, J., & Johnstone, J. (n.d.). The reasonable time requirement: an independent and meaningful right? [2005] Crim. L.R., Jan, 3. Criminal Law Review.

Law Commission Paper Number 269, Bail and the Human Rights Act 1998. (n.d.).
http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269_Bail_and_the_Human_Rights_Act.pdf

Malleson, K. (1996). Decision making in the Court of Appeal: the burden of proof in an inquisitorial process. International Journal of Evidence and Proof, 1(4), 175–186.
<http://0-heinonline.org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intjev1&collection=journals&index=journals/intjev261&id=261>

Malleson, Kate, Plotnikoff, Joyce, Woolfson, Richard, & Great Britain. (1993). Review of the appeal process: Vol. Research study / Royal Commission on Criminal Justice. HMSO.

Ministry of Justice: Youth justice. (n.d.). <http://www.justice.gov.uk/youth-justice>

O'Connor, P. (n.d.). 'Abuse of process' after Warren and Mitchell [2012] Crim. L.R., 9, 672. Criminal Law Review.

Ormerod, D., Waterman, A., & Fortson, R. (n.d.). Prosecution appeals - too much of a good thing? [2010] Crim. L.R. 3, 169. Criminal Law Review.

Padfield, Nicola. (2008a). Text and materials on the criminal justice process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Padfield, Nicola. (2008b). Text and materials on the criminal justice process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Padfield, Nicola. (2008c). Text and materials on the criminal justice process (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

R v Grant (Edward) [2006] QB 60 (CA). (n.d.).
<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K95-N7B0-TXD5-X1HT&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

R v JTB [2009] UKHL 20 (29 April 2009). (n.d.).
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2009/20.html>

Redmayne, M. (n.d.). *The Criminal Justice Act: (1) Disclosure and its discontents [2004] Crim LR 441. Criminal Law Review.

Resolutions and recommendations adopted by the Committee of Ministers. (n.d.).
http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/standardsetting/family/Resolutions_recommendations_cm_en.asp

Review of disclosure in criminal proceedings - Gross LJ. (n.d.).

<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/publications-and-reports/reports/crime/review-disclosure-criminal-proceedings-september-2011>

Rules of engagement - changing the heart of youth justice: a policy report by the Youth Justice Working Group (January 2012). (n.d.).
<http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/rules-of-engagement>

R-v- Mouncher [2011] ruling. (n.d.).
<http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/Resources/JCO/Documents/Judgments/r-v-mouncher-ruling.pdf>

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, & Burton, Mandy. (2010a). Criminal justice (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, & Burton, Mandy. (2010b). Criminal justice (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, & Burton, Mandy. (2010c). Criminal justice (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, & Burton, Mandy. (2010d). Criminal justice (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, & Burton, Mandy. (2010e). Criminal justice (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

SC v United Kingdom (2005) 40 E.H.R.R. 10. (n.d.).

Sharpe, S. (n.d.). *The Human Rights Act 1998: Part 3: Article 6 and the disclosure of evidence in criminal trials [1999] Crim LR 273. Criminal Law Review.

Shiels, R. S. (n.d.). The duties of a prosecutor [2012] Crim. L.R., 9, 687. Criminal Law Review.

Spencer, J. R. (n.d.). Quashing convictions for procedural irregularities [2007] Crim. L.R., Nov, 835. Criminal Law Review.

Sprack, John & Sprack, John. (2012). A practical approach to criminal procedure (14th ed). Oxford University Press.

T v United Kingdom (2000) 30 E.H.R.R. 121. (n.d.).

The Law Commission Guidance for Bail Decision-Takers and their Advisers. (n.d.).
http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269_Bail_and_the_Human_Rights_Act_advice.pdf

Thompson, R. (n.d.). Grave crimes - now it's personal [2012] Crim. L.R. 30. Criminal Law Review.

TP, R (on the application of) v West London Youth Court & Ors [2005] EWHC 2583 (Admin) (21 November 2005). (n.d.). <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2005/2583.html>
United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice (the

Beijing Rules). (n.d.).

http://www.unodc.org/pdf/compendium/compendium_2006_part_01_02.pdf

Warren and others v Attorney General for Jersey [2012] 1 AC 22. (n.d.).

<http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54VK-NV21-F02Y-M41R&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Youth Justice Board. (n.d.). <http://www.justice.gov.uk/about/yjb>