LMM107: Criminal Procedure 2



1.

Ashworth, Andrew, Redmayne, Mike. The criminal process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

2.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, Burton, Mandy. Criminal justice. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

З.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter, Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

4.

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book [Internet]. Available from: http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp

5.

Sprack, John, Sprack, John. A practical approach to criminal procedure. 14th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

6.

Padfield, Nicola. Text and materials on the criminal justice process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford

University Press; 2008.

7.

Ashworth, Andrew, Redmayne, Mike. The criminal process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

8.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, Burton, Mandy. Criminal justice. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

9.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter, Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

10.

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book [Internet]. Available from: http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp

11.

European Court of Human Rights, Guide on Article 5 of the Convention: Right to liberty and security [Internet]. Available from: http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Art_5_ENG.pdf

12.

Law Commission Paper Number 269, Bail and the Human Rights Act 1998 [Internet]. Available from: http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269 Bail and the Human Rights Act.pdf

13.

Executive Summary of Law Com No 269 - Bail and the Human Rights Act 1998 [Internet].

Available from:

http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269_Bail_and_the_Human_Rights_Act_summary .pdf

14.

The Law Commission Guidance for Bail Decision-Takers and their Advisers [Internet]. Available from:

http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc269_Bail_and_the_Human_Rights_Act_advice.pd f

15.

Hucklesby A. Not necessarily a trip to the police station: the introduction of street bail [2004] Crim LR 803. Criminal Law Review.

16.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter, Hungerford-Welch, Peter. *Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

17.

Ashworth, Andrew, Redmayne, Mike. The criminal process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

18.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, Burton, Mandy. Criminal justice. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

19.

Sharpe S. *The Human Rights Act 1998: Part 3: Article 6 and the disclosure of evidence in criminal trials [1999] Crim LR 273. Criminal Law Review.

20.

Redmayne M. *The Criminal Justice Act: (1) Disclosure and its discontents [2004] Crim LR 441. Criminal Law Review.

21.

Barsby C, Ormerod DC. Special advocates: Human Rights Act 1998 Sch. 1, Pt 1, Art. 6 [2004] Crim LR 861. Criminal Law Review.

22.

Boon A, Nash S. Special advocacy: political expediency and legal roles in modern judicial systems [2006] 9(1) Legal Ethics 101. Legal Ethics [Internet]. Available from: http://0-heinonline.org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/lethics9&collection =journals&index=journals/lethics101&id=101

23.

Hungerford-Welch P. Prosecution interviews of defence witnesses [2010] Crim LR 690. Criminal Law Review.

24.

R-v- Mouncher [2011] ruling [Internet]. Available from: http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/Resources/JCO/Documents/Judgments/r-v-mouncher-ruling.pdf

25.

Review of disclosure in criminal proceedings - Gross LJ [Internet]. Available from: http://www.judiciary.gov.uk/publications-and-reports/reports/crime/review-disclosure-crimi nal-proceedings-september-2011

26.

*Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996, Part 1 (as amended) [Internet]. Available from:

http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4ST8-HC60-TWPY-Y0NT&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

Criminal Procedure Rules [Internet]. Available from: http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/criminal

28.

Disclosure Manual: Legal Guidance: The Crown Prosecution Service [Internet]. Available from: http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/d_to_g/disclosure_manual/

29.

Bar Standards Board: Guidance on preparation of defence statements [Internet]. Available from: https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/

30.

Attorney Generals Guidelines on Disclosure: Legal Guidance: The Crown Prosecution Service [Internet]. Available from: http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/a_to_c/attorney_generals_guidelines_on_disclosure/

31.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter, Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

32.

Criminal Procedure and Sentencing by Peter Hungerford-Welch - Updates on companion website to book [Internet]. Available from: http://cw.routledge.com/textbooks/9780415442923/updates.asp

33.

United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice (the Beijing Rules) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.unodc.org/pdf/compendium/compendium_2006_part_01_02.pdf

Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/ProfessionalInterest/crc.pdf

35.

Resolutions and recommendations adopted by the Committee of Ministers [Internet]. Available from:

http://www.coe.int/t/dghl/standardsetting/family/Resolutions_recommendations_cm_en.asp

36.

T v United Kingdom (2000) 30 E.H.R.R. 121.

37.

Crown Prosecution Service v P [2007] EWHC 946 (Admin) (27 April 2007) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2007/946.html

38.

R v JTB [2009] UKHL 20 (29 April 2009) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2009/20.html

39.

SC v United Kingdom (2005) 40 E.H.R.R. 10.

40.

TP, R (on the application of) v West London Youth Court & Ors [2005] EWHC 2583 (Admin) (21 November 2005) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Admin/2005/2583.html

41.

Ball C. Youth justice? Half a century of responses to youth offending [2004] Crim. L.R. 167. Criminal Law Review.

42.

Elliott C. Criminal responsibility and children: a new defence required to acknowledge the absence of capacity and choice (2011) 75 JCL 289. Journal of Criminal Law [Internet]. Available from:

http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=53D1-5Y41-DYJF-P03K&csi=280328&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

43.

Thompson R. Grave crimes - now it's personal [2012] Crim. L.R. 30. Criminal Law Review.

44.

Rules of engagement - changing the heart of youth justice: a policy report by the Youth Justice Working Group (January 2012) [Internet]. Available from: http://www.centreforsocialjustice.org.uk/publications/rules-of-engagement

45.

Youth Justice Board [Internet]. Available from: http://www.justice.gov.uk/about/yjb

46.

Ministry of Justice: Youth justice [Internet]. Available from: http://www.justice.gov.uk/youth-justice

47.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter, Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

Padfield, Nicola. Text and materials on the criminal justice process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.

49.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, Burton, Mandy. Criminal justice. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

50.

High Court's Jurisdiction in Relation to Criminal Proceedings - Law Commission [Internet]. Available from: http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/publications/high-courts-jurisdiction.htm

51.

Criminal Appeal Act 1968. s2 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4ST8-GVS0-TWPY-Y1P8 &csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

52.

Criminal Appeal Act 1995, s.13 (and generally) [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4ST8-GYD0-TWPY-Y14S&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

53.

Criminal Justice Act 2003, Part 9 (ss. 57-74) [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61N0-TWPY-Y1DV&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

54.

Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, ss 42, 44 [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4ST8-61K0-TWPY-Y15R&csi=274768&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

56.

Padfield, Nicola. Text and materials on the criminal justice process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.

57.

Sanders, Andrew, Young, Richard, Burton, Mandy. Criminal justice. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

58.

Great Britain. The Royal Commission on Criminal Justice: report: Cm 2263. London : HMSO; 1993.

59.

Malleson, Kate, Plotnikoff, Joyce, Woolfson, Richard, Great Britain. Review of the appeal process. London: HMSO; 1993.

60.

Great Britain. Double jeopardy and prosecution appeals: report on two references under section 3(1)(e) of the Law Commissions Act 1965 : presented to Parliament by the Lord High Chancellor...March 2001. HMSO; 2001.

61.

Malleson K. Decision making in the Court of Appeal: the burden of proof in an inquisitorial process. International Journal of Evidence and Proof [Internet]. 1996;1(4):175–186. Available from:

http://0-heinonline.org.wam.city.ac.uk/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intjevp1&collection =journals&index=journals/intjevp261&id=261

Ormerod D, Waterman A, Fortson R. Prosecution appeals - too much of a good thing? [2010] Crim. L.R. 3, 169. Criminal Law Review.

63.

*Blackstone's Criminal Practice. [S.I.]: [s.n.];

64.

Choo, Andrew L.-T., Oxford Scholarship Online Law. Abuse of process and judicial stays of criminal proceedings [Internet]. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. Available from: http://0-dx.doi.org.wam.city.ac.uk/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199280834.001.0001

65.

Choo, Andrew L. - T. Abuse of process and judicial stays of criminal pleadings / Andrew L. - T. Choo. New York : Oxford University Press; 2008.

66.

Hungerford-Welch, Peter. Criminal procedure and sentencing. 7th ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish; 2009.

67.

Ashworth, Andrew, Redmayne, Mike. The criminal process. 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

68.

*DPP v Humphrys [1977] AC 1 [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-617P&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

69.

Hungerford-Welch P. R v Austin: abuse of process - disclosure - non-disclosure in previous

proceedings which had been discontinued [2013] Crim. L.R., 11, 914. Criminal Law Review.

70.

Hoyano L. R v A: perverting the course of justice [2013] Crim. L.R., 3, 240. Criminal Law Review.

71.

Hungerford-Welch P. R v Barkshire (David Robert): evidence - non-disclosure - evidence of police officer's activity undermining prosecution case not disclosed to defence [2012] Crim. L.R., 6, 453. Criminal Law Review.

72.

Hungerford-Welch P. Abuse of process: R v F - delayed complaint of sexual abuse [2012] Crim. L.R., 4, 282. Criminal Law Review.

73.

R v Grant (Edward) [2006] QB 60 (CA) [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K95-N7B0-TXD5-X1HT&csi=296982&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

74.

Warren and others v Attorney General for Jersey [2012] 1 AC 22 [Internet]. Available from: http://0-www.lexisnexis.com.wam.city.ac.uk/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=54VK-NV21-F02Y-M41R&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t

75.

Jackson J, Johnstone J. The reasonable time requirement: an independent and meaningful right? [2005] Crim. L.R., Jan, 3. Criminal Law Review.

76.

Hofmeyr K. The problem of private entrapment [2006] Crim. L.R., Apr, 319. Criminal Law Review.

77.

Spencer JR. Quashing convictions for procedural irregularities [2007] Crim. L.R., Nov, 835. Criminal Law Review.

78.

O'Connor P. 'Abuse of process' after Warren and Mitchell [2012] Crim. L.R., 9, 672. Criminal Law Review.

79.

Shiels RS. The duties of a prosecutor [2012] Crim. L.R., 9, 687. Criminal Law Review.